

**Rezoning Application:** PD 25-0469  
**Zoning Hearing Master Date:** July 21, 2025  
**BOCC CPA Public Hearing Date:** September 9, 2025




**Hillsborough  
County Florida**

Development Services Department

## 1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY

**Applicant:** 301 Wimauma LLC  
**FLU Category:** RES-4  
**Service Area:** Urban  
**Site Acreage:** 506.69 acres  
**Community Plan Area:** SouthShore Area Wide Systems  
**Overlay:** None



### Introduction Summary:

This is a request to rezone a site to a Planned Development (PD) to facilitate residential single-family development. The majority of the site is currently zoned PD which was proposed to be open space for the purpose of meeting open space requirements and “sending” additional units to other areas of the PD. Subject site is designated the “Sending Zone” under the current PD zoning (23-0041, as most recently modified by PRS 24-1036). The remaining area of the proposed PD is currently zoned AR. The application is running concurrently with PD 25-0371 for a property located to the south, designated the “Receiving Zone” under the same existing PD zoning. Recently adopted CPAs (CPA 24-12 and 24-13) changed the site from the WVR-2 to RES-4 Future Land use Category and service area from Rural to Urban.

Zoning:		Existing	Proposed
District(s)	PD 23-0041 (“Sending Zone”)	AR	PD 25-0469
Typical General Use(s)	Open Space/Conservation Area and 1 single-family home	Agriculture, Single-Family Residential	Single-Family Detached & Attached (Townhomes) / K-8 Public School
Acreage	270 acres	236.60	506.69 acres
Density/Intensity	0.003 units per acre residential FAR: 0.0	1 unit per 5 acres	3.17 DU/AC – 1,620 students
Mathematical Maximum*	1 dwelling unit	47 units	1,600 dwelling units

\*number represents a pre-development approximation

Development Standards:		Existing	Proposed	
District(s)	PD 23-0041 (“Sending Zone”)	AR	PD 25-0371	
Lot Size / Lot Width	21,780 sf / 100'	1 ac/150'	SF Detached 4,400 sq. ft. / 40'	Townhomes 1,200 sq. ft. / 15'
Setbacks/Buffering and Screening	Front: 25' Side: 10' Rear: 25'	Front: 50' Side: 25' Rear: 50'	Front: 20' (Garage 25') Side: 5' (Corner 10'/20') Rear: 15'	Front: 20' Side: 5' (Corner 15') Rear: 10'
Height	35'		35'	35'

<b>APPLICATION NUMBER:</b>	<b>PD 25-0469</b>
----------------------------	-------------------

ZHM HEARING DATE:
BOCC PUBLIC HEARING DATE:

July 21, 2025
September 9, 2025

Case Reviewer: Jared Follin


<b>Additional Information:</b>	
PD Variation(s)	None requested as part of this application
Waiver(s) to the Land Development Code	None requested as part of this application

<b>Planning Commission Recommendation:</b> Consistent	<b>Development Services Recommendation:</b> Approvable, subject to the proposed conditions
--	---



## 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

## 2.1 Vicinity Map




**Context of Surrounding Area:**

Surrounding area is residential and agricultural in nature. Adjacent properties to the north, east, and south are primarily utilized for agricultural uses. Residential homes are located northwest of the property. ELLAP property is located to the east (Little Manatee River).



## 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.2 Future Land Use Map



Subject Site Future Land Use Category:

Residential - 4 (RES-4)

Maximum Density/F.A.R.:

4.0 dwelling units per gross acre / 0.25 FAR


Typical Uses:

Agricultural, residential, neighborhood commercial, office uses, multi-purpose projects and mixed-use development.



## 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.3 Immediate Area Map




## Adjacent Zonings and Uses

Location:	Zoning:	Maximum Density/F.A.R. Permitted by Zoning District:	Allowable Use:	Existing Use:
North	AR	1 dwelling unit per 5 acres	Agriculture/Single-Family Conventional	Fire Station/Agriculture
South	PD 18-1048	3.84 dwelling units per acre	Single-Family Conventional	Agriculture
East	AR	1 dwelling unit per 5 acres	Agriculture/Single-Family Conventional	Agriculture and Conservation
West	AR	1 dwelling unit per 5 acres	Agriculture/Single-Family Conventional	Residential

## 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

## 2.4 Proposed Site Plan (partial provided below for size and orientation purposes. See Section 8.0 for full site plan)





### 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
CR 579	County Collector - Rural	2 Lanes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other – Off-Site Signal
	Choose an item.	Choose an item. Lanes <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Other
	Choose an item.	Choose an item. Lanes <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Other
	Choose an item.	Choose an item. Lanes <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Project Trip Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request			
	Average Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	504	37	49
Proposed	17,235	2,148	1,656
Difference (+/-)	(+) 16,731	(+) 2,111	(+) 1,607

\*Trips reported are based on gross external trips unless otherwise noted.

Connectivity and Cross Access <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request				
Project Boundary	Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
North		None	None	Meets LDC
South		Pedestrian & Vehicular	None	Meets LDC
East	X	Pedestrian & Vehicular	None	Meets LDC
West	X	Pedestrian & Vehicular	None	Meets LDC
Notes:				

Design Exception/Administrative Variance <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Type	Finding
CR 579/ Substandard Road	Design Exception Requested	Approvable
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Notes:		

#### 4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION & AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY				
Environmental:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Environmental Protection Commission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Wetlands present
Natural Resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Conservation & Environ. Lands Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Check if Applicable: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Other Surface Waters  <input type="checkbox"/> Use of Environmentally Sensitive Land Credit  <input type="checkbox"/> Wellhead Protection Area  <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water Resource Protection Area             </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Wildlife Habitat  <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal High Hazard Area  <input type="checkbox"/> Urban/Suburban/Rural Scenic Corridor  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adjacent to ELAPP property  <input type="checkbox"/> Other             </div> </div>				
Public Facilities:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
<b>Transportation</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off-site Improvements Provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Service Area/ Water &amp; Wastewater</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> City of Tampa <input type="checkbox"/> Rural <input type="checkbox"/> City of Temple Terrace	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Hillsborough County School Board</b> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Inadequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Impact/Mobility Fees</b> Estimate assumes 1400 SFR and 200 TH Townhouse (Fee estimate is based on a 1,500 s.f., 1-2 Story) Mobility: \$9,445 * 200 = \$1,889,000 Parks: \$1,957 * 200 = \$391,400 School: \$7,027 * 200 = \$1,405,400 Fire: \$249 * 200 = \$49,800				
Single Family Detached (Fee estimate is based on a 2,000 s.f.) Mobility: \$13,038 * 1,400 = \$18,253,200 Parks: \$2,145 * 1,400 = \$3,003,000 School: \$8,227 * 1,400 = \$11,517,800 Fire: \$335 * 1,400 = \$469,000  School (K-8) Mobility (Elem) (per student): \$990 Mobility (Mid) (per student): \$1,134 Fire (per 1,000 sf): \$95				
Comprehensive Plan:	Comments Received	Findings	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments

<b>Planning Commission</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Meets Locational Criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
<input type="checkbox"/> Locational Criteria Waiver Requested	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Minimum Density Met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A				

5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Compatibility

Subject site is located predominately in a residential and agricultural area in Wimauma. Adjacent properties are zoned primarily agricultural, with several being utilized for agricultural or residential uses. Nearby residential uses are primarily clustered to the northwest of the subject site, located on properties zoned AR.

The development is proposing a residential development at a gross density of 3.17 dwelling units per acre. Residential types include 1,600 single-family detached units, of which up to 200 is to be townhome units. In addition, a K-8 public school, with a maximum of 1,620 students, is proposed within the development. The site was previously approved to only be open space, serving as a “Sending Area” by crediting additional units to the “Receiving Area” and meeting open space requirements.

Property recently went through a Comprehensive Plan Amendment, changing the Future Land Use Category from WVR-2 (Wimauma Village Residential-2) to RES-4 (Residential-4). Proposed uses and development standards are consistent with proposed PD 25-0371 and approved PD 18-1048 located to the south.

Development Services does not foresee any compatibility concerns with the proposed Planned Development.

5.2 Recommendation

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the proposed Planned Development district, subject to the conditions, approvable.



**Requirements for Certification:**

1. Prior to PD Site Plan Certification, the developer shall revise the PD site plan to:
  1. Correct the symbology for the southernmost stubout along the western PD boundary (staff notes it should use the access stubout symbology rather than the pedestrian/vehicular access symbology) and label as “Proposed Southernmost Roadway Stubout – See Conditions of Approval”.
  2. Correct the symbology for the northernmost stubout along the western PD boundary (staff notes it should use the access stubout symbology rather than the undefined symbology used) and correct the label to instead read “Proposed Northernmost Roadway Stubout – See Conditions of Approval”.
  3. Extend the hatching east along the southern boundary of the School Site, such that it extends along the entire length of the boundary of the potential school.
  4. Add labels at both project intersections on CR 579 and label as “Potential Signal/Roundabout/Other Improvement – See Condition of Approval”.
  5. Add labels/depict the approximate location of “Lesser Goldfinch Dr.” and “Redpoll Cliff Place” (reference Westlake Phase 2, Plat Book 148 Page 159 for additional information).
  6. Label the unimproved right-of-way “F St.” (reference Plat of Halifax inset within the Revised Map of Town of Wimauma, Plat Book 1, Page 136).
  7. Revise Note 13 to instead state “Roadways within the Western Development Area shall be public. Roadways within the Eastern Development Area may be public or private. Roadways that are proposed to be maintained by Hillsborough County shall demonstrate consistency with Policy 4.1.4 of the Mobility Element of the Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan.”
  8. Revise Note 21 to replace the words “Access and” with the words “Except as otherwise specified in the zoning conditions.”
  9. Revise Note 25 to delete the duplicated “25.”

**6.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS**

**Approval** - Approval of the request, subject to the conditions listed below, is based on the general site plan submitted June 30, 2025.

1. The Development shall be limited to 1,600 residential single-family detached and single-family attached (townhome) lots, maximum of 200 may be townhome lots, and a K-8 public school with a maximum of 1,620 students. A maximum 630 dwelling units are permitted to the west of CR 579 and a maximum of 970 dwelling units permitted to the east of CR 579.
2. Single-family and townhome lots shall be developed in accordance with the following:

Single-Family Detached Lots

Minimum Lot Size: 4,400 square feet  
 Minimum Lot Width: 40 feet  
 Minimum Lot Depth: 110 feet

Minimum front yard setback: 20 feet\*  
 Minimum side yard setback: 5 feet\*\*  
 Minimum rear yard setback: 15 feet  
 Maximum building coverage: 75%  
 Maximum building height: 35 feet (1-3 stories)  
 \*Garages shall be setback an additional 5 feet.  
 \*\*Corner lots shall require a front yard functioning as a side yard setback of 10 feet. If the corner side yard is used for access, the required setback shall be 20 feet.

Townhome Lots

Minimum Lot Size: 1,200 square feet  
 Minimum Lot Width: 15 feet  
 Minimum Lot Depth: 80 feet  
 Minimum front yard setback: 20 feet  
 Minimum side yard setback: 5 feet (Corner: 15 feet)  
 Minimum rear yard setback: 10 feet  
 Maximum building coverage: 75%  
 Maximum building height: 35 feet

- 2.1 Any single-family detached lot developed at a lot width of less than 50 feet shall require a 2-car garage.
- 2.2 Any single-family detached lot developed at a lot width of less than 50 feet shall have the home's primary door face the roadway.
- 3. The Planned Development shall permit a public school facility where depicted on the general site plan. The school site shall be a minimum of 25 upland acres in size. Development of this public school shall require compliance by the School Board with the Hillsborough County Interlocal Agreement for School Facilities Planning, Siting and Concurrency.
  - 3.1 The School District and the Developer will use their best efforts to reach a mutually agreeable dedication agreement within five (5) years of approval of the final plan amendment for RZ 25-0469. Within ninety (90) days of the expiration of the "Agreement Period," the Developer will provide written notice to the School District that at the end of the Agreement Period, the Developer will be moving forward with development of the School Site for residential use at the expiration of the Agreement Period. The Developer may develop the School Site prior to expiration of the Agreement Period should the School District at any time advise the Developer in writing that they do not intend to enter into a dedication agreement to acquire the School Site.
  - 3.2 Any and all roadways within the Planned Development serving and/or providing access to the public school parcel shall be platted to the public school parcel's property line(s) as a public road(s). In no event shall there be any intervening land restricting access to the public school parcel.
- 4. Approval of this zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impact to wetlands, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.

5. The construction and location of any proposed wetland impacts are not approved by this correspondence but shall be reviewed by EPC staff under separate application pursuant to the EPC Wetlands rule detailed in Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC, (Chapter 1-11) to determine whether such impacts are necessary to accomplish reasonable use of the subject property.
6. Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the approved wetland / other surface water (OSW) line must be incorporated into the site plan. The wetland / OSW line must appear on all site plans, labeled as “EPC Wetland Line”, and the wetland must be labeled as “Wetland Conservation Area” pursuant to the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).
7. Final design of buildings, stormwater retention areas, and ingress/egresses are subject to change pending formal agency jurisdictional determination of wetland and other surface water boundaries and approval by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
8. An evaluation of the property identified the potential existence of significant wildlife habitat as delineated on the Hillsborough County Significant Wildlife Habitat Map. The potential for upland significant wildlife habitat within the boundaries of the proposed application shall require the site plan to identify its existence by type (mesic or xeric), location and how the Land Development Code preservation provision for upland significant wildlife habitat will be addressed.
9. Approval of this petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that Natural Resources approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impacts to trees, natural plant communities or wildlife habitat, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental.
10. The construction and location of any proposed environmental impacts are not approved by this correspondence, but shall be reviewed by Natural Resources staff through the site and subdivision development plan process pursuant to the Land Development Code.
11. The subject application is adjacent to the ELAPP preserve, Little Manatee River Corridor. Per LDC 4.01.11, compatibility of the development with the preserve will be ensured with a compatibility plan that addresses issues related to the development such as, but not necessarily limited to, access, prescribed fire, and landscaping. The compatibility plan shall be proposed by the developer, reviewed and approved by the Conservation and Environmental Lands Management Department, and shall be required as a condition of granting a Natural Resources Permit.
12. For the purposes of these zoning conditions:
  - a. The portion of the PD lying east of CR 579 is hereafter referred to as the “Eastern Development Area”; and,
  - b. The portion of the PD lying west of CR 579 is hereafter referred to as the “Western Development Area”.
13. Development shall be limited to a maximum of 1,600 single-family detached dwelling units or townhomes (of which a maximum of 200 may be constructed as townhomes), and a 1,620-student maximum non-charter public school with grade levels K-8 as further described in Condition 14. Additionally:

- a. The above development maximums shall be further restricted within the Eastern Development Area and Western Development Area, as further detailed below.
  - b. Within the Eastern Development Area, residential development shall be limited to a maximum of 970 dwelling units; and,
  - c. Within the Western Development Area, residential development shall be limited to a maximum of 630 dwelling units.
14. The school shall be limited to a non-charter public facility serving grade levels K through 8, and with a maximum of 1,620 students. Notwithstanding the exemptions provided in LDC Sec. 6.03.10 which are specifically applicable to public schools, the property owner shall provide adequate on-site vehicular queueing and take other actions to limit off-site impacts as further described herein. Additionally, the school shall provide for onsite vehicular queueing for the number of students who are projected to be ineligible for busing (hereafter referred to as "Non-Bussed Students"). Specifically:
  - a. The queue shall provide for the uninterrupted stacking of vehicles within the subject site;
  - b. The minimum length of queue for the school shall be determined by multiplying the number of Non-Bussed Students by 0.196, then multiplying by 25 feet, and then multiplying by 1.25; and,
  - c. The school shall take all actions necessary to ensure that students are not dropped off or picked up outside of school property (i.e. within adjacent parcels or along roadways along the school frontage or proximate to the school).
15. The project shall be served by and limited to the following access connections:
  - a. Within the Eastern Development Area, two (2) full access connections to CR 579; and,
  - b. Within the Western Development Area:
    - i. One (1) full access connection to CR 579;
    - ii. Two (2) vehicular and pedestrian roadway stubouts along the western PD boundary as further described below; and,
    - iii. Two (2) vehicular and pedestrian roadway stubouts along the southern PD boundary.
  - c. Notwithstanding anything herein these conditions or shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the PD boundaries.
16. With respect to project roadways and required site access improvements:
  - a. The developer shall construct the two (2) roadway stubouts described in Condition 15.b.ii. concurrent with development of the Western Development Area.
    - i. The southernmost stubout shall be constructed such that it extends the internal roadway network through folio 79456.0010 (i.e. to that folio's western edge). The

intent of this stubout is to provide an opportunity for the future connection of adjacent neighborhoods, increase accessibility to schools planned and/or under construction, and further the planned Wimauma grid network via the future extension of the stubout (by others) such that it connects to Lesser Goldfinch Dr., Redpoll Cliff Pl., or another suitable roadway in the same vicinity. As such, the intent of this condition is to require the developer to secure the dedication and conveyance of such right-of-way to Hillsborough County.

- ii. The northernmost stubout shall be constructed to the eastern edge of folio 79456.0010. The intent of this stubout is to provide an opportunity for the future connection of adjacent neighborhoods, increase accessibility to schools planned and/or under construction, and further the planned Wimauma grid network via the future extension of the stubout (by others) such that it aligns with the existing (unimproved F. St. right-of-way).
- b. The developer shall construct the two (2) vehicular and pedestrian roadway stubouts along the southern PD boundary concurrent with development of the Western Development Area. The location of these stubouts shall be coordinated with the location of planned stubouts within the adjacent PD to the south of the subject PD.
- c. At the time of construction of the northernmost access within the Eastern Development Area, the developer shall disclose whether the area designated as the School Site will or could be constructed for that purpose or if the developer intends to exercise the residential development option described in Note 24 as shown on the PD site plan. If the School Site will be developed for such use (or a determination has not been made) then the developer shall construct an east-west collector road between the northernmost CR 579 access and extending east along the entirety of the school parcel, concurrently with construction of such access. The east-west collector road shall be constructed to the C3-2U (i.e. Suburban 2-lane Undivided) Typical Section standard as found within the Transportation Design Manual (TDM). Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, access to the school site shall be permitted anywhere along this internal collector roadway (subject to LDC Sec. 6.04.07 access spacing standards).
- d. Concurrent with the initial increment of development within the Eastern Development Area and Western Development Area, or otherwise at the request of the County during the site/construction plan review process, the developer shall submit transportation analyses which examines trip generation at each project access with CR 579. Such analyses shall be subject to the review and approval of Development Services and will be used to examine if single or dual inbound (or outbound) turn lanes are warranted pursuant to the analysis and/or Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC, and whether additional receiving lanes must be constructed (either on CR 579 or internal roadways, as applicable) to accommodate required turning movements. The developer shall also submit a signal warrant analysis for each project access along CR 579, which shall be reviewed by and subject to the approval of Hillsborough County Public Works. If such signal is found to be warranted, the developer shall install the signal. Alternatively, at the developer's option, the developer may construct a single lane or dual lane roundabout (as necessary) at each access.
- e. Notwithstanding Condition 16.d., the developer shall construct a minimum of one roundabout, one traffic signal, or one controlled pedestrian crossing (e.g. Rapid Rectangular Flashing Beacons, pedestrian actuated signal, etc.) in order to provide bicycle and pedestrian connectivity between the

Eastern Development Area and Western Development Area. All such infrastructure shall be subject to the review and approval of Hillsborough County Public Works.

- f. Other internal project roadways shall be constructed to an appropriate urban typical section as described in the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) or Florida Design Manual (FDM), as applicable. Designation of the appropriate typical section shall occur at the time of plat/site/construction plan review and be based upon anticipated traffic volumes within each segment as demonstrated by an analysis to be submitted by the developer. Such study shall be reviewed and approved by Hillsborough County Development Services.
- 17. The developer has proffered to install a traffic signal and associated turn lane/geometric improvements (through the Mobility Fee Alternative Satisfaction Agreement [MFASA] process) at the intersection of CR 579 and SR 674. The developer shall be responsible for the design and construction of the signal, together with any signal warrant studies necessary to support its installation (which shall be subject to the review and approval of Hillsborough County Public Works and FDOT).
- 18. In accordance with the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan, the developer shall preserve the minimum necessary right-of-way along its CR 579 frontages as necessary to accommodate a 2-lane enhanced rural collector roadway (i.e. a minimum width of 108 feet of right-of-way). Notwithstanding anything in the Design Exception to the contrary (in which the developer has proffered to dedicate up to 96 feet of right-of-way along its frontage), the specific alignment of such preservation areas shall be determined at the time of site/construction plan approval and the alignment shall be adjusted/transitioned as necessary as it approaches the northern and southern boundaries of the project (i.e. to accommodate a western roadway shift) in order to avoid future impacts to adjacent ELAPP properties on the east side of CR 579 north and south of the project. Only those interim uses allowed by the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan shall be permitted within the preserved right-of-way. The right-of-way preservation areas shall be shown on all future site plans, and building setbacks shall be calculated from the future right-of-way line. Additionally, the developer may be required to dedicate and convey additional lands to Hillsborough County as necessary to accommodate required substandard road or site access improvements.
- 19. If 25-0469 is approved by the BOCC, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated June 12, 2025) and which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on July 8, 2025) for the CR 579 substandard road improvements. As CR 579 is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make certain improvements to CR 579 within three segments, consistent with the Design Exception approval. Specifically:
  - a. Within Segment A, which is defined as that portion of CR 579 between SR 674 and the southern boundary of the PD:
    - i. The developer shall dedicate and convey a minimum of 96 feet of right-of-way (exclusive of any additional right-of-way needed for required site access or other improvements);
    - ii. The developer shall ensure there 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders, of which 5 feet is paved, along both sides of the roadway;

- iii. The developer shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of CR 579 (transitioning to a 5-foot-wide sidewalk where the 10-foot-wide sidewalk is not feasible north of the proposed project boundary, due to right-of-way constraints); and,
    - iv. The developer shall construct a minimum 5-foot-wide sidewalk along the eastern side of CR 579, but only along the project frontages.
  - b. Within Segment B, which is defined as that portion of CR 579 between the southern boundary of the subject PD and the southern boundary of the adjacent Cypress Ridge Ranch project (i.e. adjacent PD 24-1033), the developer shall construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders, of which 5 feet are paved, along both sides of the roadway; and,
  - c. Within Segment C, which is defined as that portion of CR 579 between the southern boundary of the adjacent Cypress Ridge Ranch project (i.e. adjacent PD 24-1033) and Saffold Rd.:
    - i. The developer shall construct 5-foot-wide paved shoulders along both sides of the roadway; and,
    - ii. The developer shall construct a minimum 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of CR 579.
- 20. If the notes and/or graphics on the site plan conflict with specific zoning conditions and/or the Land Development Code (LDC) regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall apply, unless specifically conditioned otherwise. References to development standards of the LDC in the above stated conditions shall be interpreted as the regulations in effect at the time of preliminary site plan/plat approval.
- 21. In accordance with LDC Section 5.03.07.C, the certified PD general site plan shall expire for the internal transportation network and external access points, as well as for any conditions related to the internal transportation network and external access points, if site construction plans, or equivalent thereof, have not been approved for all or part of the subject Planned Development within 5 years of the effective date of the PD unless an extension is granted as provided in the LDC. Upon expiration, re-certification of the PD General Site Plan shall be required in accordance with provisions set forth in LDC Section 5.03.07.C.



**Zoning Administrator Sign Off:**


*J. Brian Grady*

**SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN & BUILDING REVIEW AND APPROVAL.**

Approval of this re-zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the project will receive approvals/permits necessary for site development as proposed will be issued, nor does it imply that other required permits needed for site development or building construction are being waived or otherwise approved. The project will be required to comply with the Site Development Plan Review approval process in addition to obtain all necessary building permits for on-site structures.

**7.0 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND/OR GRAPHICS (See following pages)**

## 8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)



---

**9.0 FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT (see following pages)**

## AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department

DATE: 7/15/2025

REVIEWER: James Ratliff, AICP, PTP, Principal Planner

AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation

PLANNING AREA/SECTOR: WM/ South

PETITION NO: PD 25-0371

- ☐ This agency has no comments.
- ☐ This agency has no objection.
- ☒ This agency has no objection, subject to listed or attached conditions.
- ☐ This agency objects for the reasons outlined below.

### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

1. For the purposes of these zoning conditions:
  - a. The portion of the PD lying north of the northern boundaries of folios 79703.0000 and 79705.0000 is hereafter referred to as “Northern Development Area”.
  - b. The portion of the PD lying south of the northern boundaries of folios 79703.0000 and 79705.0000 is hereafter referred to as “Southern Development Area”.
2. Development shall be limited as follows:
  - a. Under Development Option A, development shall be to a maximum of 2,300 single-family detached dwelling units, 300 townhomes, and a 1,000-student maximum non-charter public school with grade levels K-5 as further described in **Condition 3**.
  - b. Under Development Option B, development shall be to a maximum of 2,192 single-family detached dwelling units, and 300 townhomes.
  - c. Irrespective of which option is chosen:
    - i. Townhomes shall be constructed in buildings with 3 or more attached dwelling units within each building; and,
    - ii. The above development maximums shall be further restricted by the additional maximum trip generation thresholds within the Northern Development Area and Southern Development Area, as further detailed below.
  - d. Notwithstanding anything herein these conditions to the contrary, no development shall be permitted that causes cumulative development to exceed the following thresholds:
    - i. Within the Northern Development Area, no development shall be permitted that causes cumulative development to exceed 8,436 gross average daily trips, 1,171 gross a.m. peak hour trips, or 747 gross p.m. peak hour trips, nor shall development be permitted which exceeds 7,183 net average daily trips, 762 net a.m. peak hour trips, or 659 net p.m. peak hour trips.

- ii. Within the Southern Development Area, no development shall be permitted that causes cumulative development to exceed 11,950 gross average daily trips, 857 gross a.m. peak hour trips, or 1,249 gross p.m. peak hour trips, nor shall development be permitted which exceeds 11,287 net average daily trips, 640 net a.m. peak hour trips, or 1,203 net p.m. peak hour trips.
  - iii. Concurrent with each increment of development, the developer shall provide a list of existing and previously approved uses within the PD. The list shall contain data including gross floor area, number of students, type of use, date the use was approved by Hillsborough County, references to the site subdivision Project Identification number (or if no project identification number exists, a copy of the permit or other official reference number), calculations detailing individual and cumulative gross and net trip generation impacts for that increment of development, and source(s) for the data used to develop such estimates. Calculations showing the remaining number of available trips for each analysis period (i.e. averaged daily, a.m. peak and p.m. peak) shall also be provided).
3. The Option A school shall be limited to a non-charter public facility serving grade levels K through 5, and with a maximum of 1,000 students. Notwithstanding the exemptions provided in LDC Sec. 6.03.10 which are specifically applicable to public schools, the property owner shall provide adequate on-site vehicular queuing and take other actions to limit off-site impacts as further described herein. Additionally, the school shall provide for onsite vehicular queuing for the number of students who are projected to be ineligible for busing (hereafter referred to as "Non-Bussed Students"). Specifically:
  - a. The queue shall provide for the uninterrupted stacking of vehicles within the subject site;
  - b. The minimum length of queue for the school shall be determined by multiplying the number of Non-Bussed Students by 0.196, then multiplied by 25 feet, and then multiplied by 1.25; and,
  - c. The school shall take all actions necessary to ensure that students are not dropped off or picked up outside of school property (i.e. within adjacent parcels or along roadways along the school frontage or proximate to the school).
4. Notwithstanding anything on the PD site plan or herein these conditions to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access, or access connections to continue/extend the Multi-Use Trail (MUT), may be permitted anywhere along the PD boundaries.
5. The project shall be served by and limited to the following vehicular access connections:
  - a. Within the Northern Development Area:
    - i. One (1) connection to CR 579;
    - ii. One (1) connection to US 301 via an extension of Berry Grove Blvd.;
    - iii. Three (3) stubouts along the northern boundary of the Northern Development Area; and,
    - iv. Five (5) stubouts along the southern boundary of the Northern Development Area.
  - c. Within the Southern Development Area:
    - i. Two (2) connections to CR 579;
    - ii. One (1) connection to Saffold Rd.;
    - iii. One (1) stubouts along the western boundary of the Southern Development Area; and,
    - iv. Four (4) stubouts along the northern boundary of the Southern Development Area, the westernmost of which is shown on the site plan as the W. Lake Dr. Extension.

6. With respect to project roadways:

- a. The developer shall construct the extension of Berry Grove Blvd. (i.e. the east-west collector roadway within the Northern Development Area between US 301 and CR 579) as a 2-lane, collector roadway utilizing the Typical Section standards shown on the PD site plan. The roadway shall be constructed as a divided facility, expandable to 4-lanes west of the internal roundabout, and as an undivided 2-lane facility east of the internal roundabout. The roadway shall be constructed prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development. Additionally:
  - i. Additionally, the developer will be required to construct any auxiliary turn lanes which may be warranted along this section pursuant to Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC; and,
  - ii. The developer shall dedicate and convey to Hillsborough County sufficient right-of-way necessary to accommodate construction of eastbound right and westbound left turn lanes (by others) at the westernmost access connection along Berry Grove Blvd. (within the PD).
- b. With respect to the W. Lake Dr. Extension and substandard roadway improvements:
  - i. The W. Lake Dr. substandard roadway improvements between Bishop Rd. and Janes Dr., shall be constructed utilizing the Typical Section standards described within the Design Exception referenced in **Condition 13**. This shall be constructed by the developer prior to issuance of the 601st residential building permit;
  - ii. The W. Lake Dr. Extension within the adjacent PD located north of the Northern Development Area, as well as portions of the extension between the boundary of that PD and Janes Dr., shall be constructed utilizing the Typical Section standards described within the Design Exception referenced in **Condition 13**. This shall be constructed by the developer prior to issuance of the 601st residential building permit;
  - iii. The W. Lake Dr. Extension within the Northern Development Area (both north and south of the proposed internal roundabout) shall be constructed as a 2-lane collector roadway utilizing the Typical Section standards described within the Design Exception referenced in **Condition 13**. This shall be constructed by the developer with the first increment of development within the Northern Development Area. Additionally, the developer will be required to construct any auxiliary turn lanes which may be warranted along this section pursuant to Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC;
  - iv. The W. Lake Dr. Extension within the Southern Development Area shall be constructed as a 2-lane collector roadway utilizing the Typical Section standards described within the Design Exception referenced in **Condition 13**. This shall be constructed by the developer with the first increment of development within the Southern Development Area. Additionally, the developer will be required to construct any auxiliary turn lanes which may be warranted along this section pursuant to Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC.
- c. With respect to the CR 579 substandard roadway improvements:
  - i. For the first phase of development within the Northern Development Area consisting of 600 dwelling units, the developer shall undertake improvements which include construction of a 10-foot-wide multi-purpose pathway along its CR 579 frontage and which includes a crossing of CR 579 to the Little Manatee Corridor Nature Preserve trailhead entrance road as described in **Condition 7.a.**, concurrent with the construction of the site development improvements associated with this first increment of development;
  - ii. Prior or concurrent with the issuance of the 601st residential building permit within the Northern Development Area, and prior to the issuance of any non-residential building permit in the Southern Development Area, the developer shall make certain

improvements within each of the three (3) discrete sections (A, B and C) of the roadway, as described below.

- iii. Within the Southern Development Area, the developer shall be permitted to obtain building permits for development north of (but not to include) the east-west roadway nor to include any development south of the east-west roadway, provided the following improvements are in place:
  1. The Berry Grove Blvd. Ext. to US 301; and,
  2. The W. Lake Dr. improvements between Bishop Rd. and Berry Grove Blvd.; and,
  3. The northernmost connection to CR 579 within the Southern Development Area; and,
  4. The three (3) easternmost local roadway connections along the northern boundary of the Southern Development Area, shall be completed such that they connect the Northern Development Area and Southern Development Area through adjacent folio 79703.0000; or,
  5. The CR 579 improvements within Segments A, B and C have been completed and are open for beneficial use.
- iv. Notwithstanding the above and solely with respect to development within the Northern Development Area, the developer shall not be required to make improvements identified within Sections A and B, if the W. Lake Dr. improvements between Bishop Rd. and the Berry Grove Blvd. Ext. have been completed and are open for beneficial use (consistent with those improvements referenced in **Condition 6.b.i through 6.b.iii.**).
- v. Notwithstanding the above and solely with respect to development within the Southern Development Area, the developer shall not be required to make improvements identified within Sections A and B, if the W. Lake Dr. improvements referenced in **Condition 6.b.iii.1. through 4.** together with a continuous extension of W. Lake Dr. between the southern boundary of the Northern Development Area and the Proposed East-West Road within the Southern Development Area (i.e. through adjacent folio 79703.0000) is constructed and open for beneficial use.
- vi. Specifically, and subject to the clarifications and requirements provided above:
  1. Improvements to CR 579 have been broken into three (3) segments. Segment A is defined as the section of CR 579 between SR 672 and the southern property boundary of folio 79456.0000 (i.e. the southern boundary of pending PD 25-0469). Segment B is defined as that portion of CR 579 between the southern property boundary of folio 79456.0000 and the southern boundary of adjacent PD 18-1048 (i.e. the northern boundary of the subject PD). Segment C is defined at that portion of CR 579 between the northern boundary of the subject PD and the southern boundary of the subject PD.
  2. Within Segment A, the developer shall:
    - a. Construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway; and,
    - b. Construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of the roadway. The 10-foot-wide sidewalk will transition to a 5-foot-wide sidewalk north of proposed PD 25-0469, where right-of-way does not exist to permit construction of the wider sidewalk.
  3. Within Segment B, the developer shall construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway.
  4. Within Segment C, the developer shall:



- a. Dedicate and convey to Hillsborough County sufficient right-of-way to accommodate proposed improvements (a minimum of 48-feet east of the existing centerline). This shall be in addition to any right-of-way necessary to accommodate site access improvements or additional preservation required pursuant to the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan as further described herein;
    - b. Construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway; and,
  - 5. Construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of the roadway.
- d. With respect to the Saffold Rd. substandard roadway improvements:
  - i. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development in the Southern Receiving Area, the developer shall make certain improvements within each of two (2) discreet sections of the roadway. Segment B is defined as that portion of Saffold Rd. along the frontages of folios 79700.0400, 79700.0300, 79700.0350, 79700.0100, 79700.0200, 79700.0250, 79700.0050, and 79700.0150. Segment A is defined as those portions of Saffold Rd. between CR 579 and the western project boundary, excluding the area defined as Segment B. Specifically:
  - ii. Within Segment B, the developer shall:
    - 1. Construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders along both sides of the roadway; and,
    - 2. Construct a 5-foot-wide sidewalk along the north side of the roadway.
  - iii. Within Segment A, the developer shall:
    - 1. Construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway; and,
    - 2. Construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the north side of the roadway. This will take the place of the 5-foot-wide sidewalk that would normally be constructed along the west side of the roadway.
- e. The roadway between the western boundary of the Southern Development Area (i.e. the boundary with folio 79707.0000) and the W. Lake Dr. Extension shall be constructed as a 2-lane urban collector roadway utilizing the Typical Section – 4 (TS-4) standards as found within the 2021 Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). This shall be constructed by the developer with the first increment of development within the Southern Development Area. Additionally, the developer will be required to construct any auxiliary turn lanes which may be warranted along this section pursuant to Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC.
- f. The Proposed East-West Road identified on the PD site plan within the Southern Development Area (i.e. between the W. Lake Dr. Ext. and CR 579) shall be constructed as a 2-lane urban collector roadway utilizing the Typical Section – 4 (TS-4) standards as found within the 2021 Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). Notwithstanding the above, the developer shall have the option of submitting a transportation analysis together with the initial increment of development within the Southern Development Area that demonstrates a collector roadway design is not warranted or otherwise necessary only if connections between the Northern Development Area and Southern Development Area and the W. Lake Dr. Extension through adjacent folio 79703.000 has been completed and are open for beneficial use. Such study will be subject to review and approval by Hillsborough County. If approved, the developer shall be permitted to construct the Proposed East-West Road as a 2-lane urban local roadway utilizing the Typical Section – 3 (TS-3) standards as found within the 2021 Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). If the roadway remains a collector

roadway, the developer will be required to construct any auxiliary turn lanes which may be warranted along this section pursuant to Sec. 6.04.04.D. of the LDC.

- g. Other internal project roadways shall be constructed to an appropriate urban typical section as described in the 2021 Hillsborough County TTM. Designation of appropriate typical sections shall occur at the time of plat/site/construction plan review and be based upon anticipated traffic volumes within each segment.
  - h. The total right-of-way widths shown in the Design Exception and on the PD site plan are minimum widths. Additionally:
    - i. The developer shall preserve a minimum of +/- 46 feet of right-of-way west of the proposed internal roundabout or as otherwise necessary to accommodate the future expansion of Berry Grove Blvd. as a future 4-lane roadway, expandable to the inside. The intent of these conditions is to require the developer to secure the dedication, conveyance and preservation of certain rights-of-way to the County as described above, both within the project and through adjacent folios 79710.0585 and 79702.0010.
    - ii. In accordance with the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan, the developer shall preserve sufficient right-of-way along the project's CR 579 frontages such that 107 feet of right-of-way is available for future improvements west of the existing eastern right-of-way boundary (i.e. to accommodate a future 2-lane enhanced rural roadway). Only those interim uses allowed by the Hillsborough County LDC shall be permitted within the preserved right-of-way. The right-of-way preservation area shall be shown on all future site plans, and building setback shall be calculated from the future right-of-way line.
    - iii. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, the developer shall dedicate and convey to Hillsborough County sufficient right-of-way as necessary to accommodate the proposed/required project traffic signals and/or roundabouts, as well as required site access improvements and associated drainage, both within and external to the project. Where necessary, such right-of-way shall be dedicated and conveyed in addition to right-of-way dedication or preservation requirements listed herein these conditions.
    - iv. The amount and location of right-of-way dedication for roundabouts shall be based upon Transportation Technical Manual and roundabout design requirements, as applicable, and shall be reviewed and approved by the appropriate agencies including Hillsborough County Development Services and/or Public Works.
7. With respect to other site access and required improvements:
- a. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development within the Northern Development Area, the developer shall construct the roundabout with MUT connection to the Little Manatee Corridor Nature Preserve Trailhead.
  - b. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development within the Southern Development Area, the developer shall:
    - i. Provide a trip generation and site access analysis to determine whether construct of a westbound to northbound right turn lane on Saffold Rd. into the project's access is warranted (if warranted the developer shall construct the improvement);
    - ii. Construct an eastbound to northbound left turn lane on Saffold Rd. into the project's access;
    - iii. Construct southbound to westbound right turn lanes on CR 579 at each project access serving the Southern Development Area;

- iv. Construct northbound to westbound left turn lanes on CR 579 at each project access serving the Southern Development Area; and,
  - v. The developer shall perform a signal warrant analysis at the intersection of the southernmost project access along CR 579, which shall be reviewed by and subject to the approval of Hillsborough County Public Works. If such signal is found not to be warranted the developer shall have no further obligation with respect to the signal. If such signal is found to be warranted, the developer shall install the signal. Alternatively, at the developer's option, the developer may construct a roundabout at the access. If the developer constructs a roundabout, the traffic signal and turn lanes serving such access (i.e. as described in **Condition 7.iii. and 7.iv.**), above, shall not be required.
- 8. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, the number and spacing of access points along collector and arterial roadways (whether internal or external to the PD) shall be governed by LDC Sec. 6.04.03.I and 6.04.07, unless otherwise varied through the Sec. 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance process at the time of plat/site/construction plan review.
- 9. The project shall provide a Multi-Use Trail (MUT) where depicted on the general site plan. With respect to Multi-Use Trail (MUT):
  - a. That portion of the MUT running alongside the Berry Grove Blvd. extension. shall be constructed utilizing the Typical Section standards depicted on the PD site plan together with the initial increment of development.
  - b. Those portions of MUT running through the internal roundabout and the roundabout to be constructed at the intersection of the Berry Grove Blvd. extension. and CR 579 and along the east side of CR 579, and terminating at the trailhead entrance road located on the east side of CR 579, shall be constructed with a minimum width of 12-feet; however other features of the typical section shall be dictated by roundabout design requirements, which are subject to the review and approval of Hillsborough County Public Works at the time of plat/site/construction plan review. These portions of the trail shall be constructed concurrently with the roundabout.
  - c. The developer shall design and construct slip ramps as necessary to transition between the use of MUTs/wide sidewalks and roadways with on-street bicycle facilities and roadways with no on-street bicycle facilities, as applicable.
- 10. In addition to any temporary end of roadway signage required by the MUTCD, the developer shall install signage at all roadway/MUT access stubouts not connecting to an existing roadway which identifies the stubout as a "Future Roadway Connection" as applicable.
- 11. If PD 25-0371 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated July 9, 2025) which was approved by the County Engineer (on July 11, 2025) for the CR 579 substandard roadway improvements. As CR 579 is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make certain improvements to CR 579 consistent with the Design Exception (DE) and these conditions of approval. Specific improvements are outlined in **Condition 6.c.**, above.
- 12. If PD 25-0371 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated June 12, 2025) which was approved by the County Engineer (on July 11, 2025) for the Saffold Rd. substandard roadway improvements. As Saffold Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make certain improvements to Saffold Rd. consistent with the Design Exception (DE) and these conditions of approval. Specific improvements are outlined in **Condition 6.d.**, above.
- 13. If PD 25-0371 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated June 13, 2025) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on July 11, 2025) for the W. Lake Dr. improvements. Improvements to W. Lake Dr. have been broken into three (3) segments. Segment A is defined as the section of W. Lake Dr. between Bishop Rd. and the northern property boundary of the Cypress Ridge Development (i.e. adjacent PRS 24-1033). Segment B is defined as that portion of W. Lake Dr. between the southern property boundary of the Cypress Ridge Development (i.e. the northern boundary of the subject PD) and Berry Grove Blvd. Segment C is defined at that portion of W. Lake Dr. between Berry Grove Blvd. and Saffold Rd.

The Design Exception authorizes deviations from the TS-4 Typical Section (for 2-lane, Undivided, Urban Collector Roadways) as found in the 2021 Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). Specifically:

- a. Within Segment A, the developer shall widen/construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide asphalt paths along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation as outlined below. The developer has proposed two typical sections for this segment (A-1 and A-2). Typical Section A-2 is the section where right-of-way is limited and/or there are design constraints. Use of Typical Section A-2 shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. The developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation between the closest edge of the asphalt path and the travel lane from 14-feet to 7-feet (for Typical Section A-1) and from 14-feet to 2-feet (for Typical Section A-2).
- b. Within Segment B, the developer shall construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide sidewalks along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required sidewalk separation between the closest edge of the sidewalk and the travel lane from 14-feet to 6-feet. The developer shall also be permitted to eliminate the required 2-foot pedestrian clearance area between the back of sidewalk and edge of right-of-way.
- c. Within Segment C, the developer shall construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide asphalt paths along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation between the closest edge of the sidewalk and the travel lane from 14-feet to 7-feet.

If PD 25-0371 is approved by the BOCC, the County Engineer will approve the above referenced Design Exception request.

#### Other Conditions

- Prior to certification of the General Development Plan (GDP), the applicant shall revise the PD site plan to:
  - Within the Project Data Table, add footnote “(1)” to “Elementary School K-5” such that it is apparent the trip cap applies to all development within the project, not just total residential.
  - Within the Project Data table, correct the number of Elementary School students from “10000” to instead state “1000 students max.”
  - Within the Project Data table, revise “Proposed Uses” line from “Residential” to instead state “Residential and Potential School”.
  - Revise the Berry Grove Blvd. Typical Sections to remove any references to “Tier 1-1”.

## **PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS**

The applicant is requesting to rezone multiple parcels, totaling +/- 635.7 ac. from Planned Development (PD) zoning 24-1036 to a new PD. A portion of the land contained within the 24-1036 PD is also being rezoned to a new PD via case file 25-0469. The portion of the PD which is the subject of this request is approved for up to 1,816 dwelling units, as well as 12.5 ac. of publicly accessible park uses, a 1,000 student maximum non-charter public elementary school, and certain non-residential uses to be located

within three Neighborhood Centers, including church or churches with a combined maximum of 300 seats, child care center(s) with a combined maximum of 300 attendees, a flexible market space, and certain government/public service uses. There are two Neighborhood Centers in the “Northern Receiving Area” and one Neighborhood Center in the “Southern Receiving Area”.

The applicant is proposing to modify PD to reflect the fact that the Future Land Use on the subject parcels was recently changed from WVR-2 to RES-4, thereby having the effect of increasing allowable project density, adding the lands to the urban service area, and removing the lands from the Wimauma Village Residential Neighborhood (WVRN), which in turn means that development on these lands are no longer requirement to comply with the WVRN requirements contained within Part 3.24.00 of the Hillsborough County LDC. Specifically, the new PD is not seeking to retain previously approved non-residential entitlements (except for the potential school), and compared to the existing PD is seeking to increase maximum allowable number of residential units from 1,816 to 2,390, and is also proposing modified project access as further described below (as compared to the existing PD). The PD has two development options, such that if the school is not constructed (Option B), the maximum number of residential units which could be constructed is 2,390. If the school is constructed (Option A), then the maximum number of residential units would be 2,300.

The existing PD defers required substandard road improvements along CR 579 such that they will be required to be completed prior to issuance of building permits for the 601<sup>st</sup> residential unit, or prior to issuance of any building permits for non-residential uses.

The applicant is proposing to defer a final determination of whether a portion of the east-west road within the Southern Development Area shall be built as a collector roadway. Staff notes that the applicant is still committed to construct site access improvements at the northernmost CR 579 entrances (i.e. a roundabout), as well as the multi-purpose pathways along its CR 579 project boundaries, and the trail connections between the internal trail system and the Little Manatee Corridor Nature Preserve located east of CR 579. The southernmost project access to CR 579 (i.e. the primary access serving that area) may or may meet signal warrants depending upon final design and whether certain connections between the Southern Development Area and Northern Development Area (i.e. through lands outside of this PD) have been constructed. If required to be signalized, the developer may opt to construct a roundabout in this location instead.

Consistent with the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM), the developer submitted a trip generation and site access analysis. A comparison of the number of trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations is presented below, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer’s (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition/ was taken from the 24-1036 transportation staff report for purposes of demonstrating existing trip impacts.

Existing Zoning:

Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
Residential Development within the Northern Receiving Area (Multiple LUCs)	5,585	395	545
1,000 Student Non-Charter Public Elementary School (LUC 520)	2,270	740	160
Northern Neighborhood Centers Uses (Multiple LUCs)	2,308	249	359
<i>Northern Receiving Area Subtotal:</i>	<i>10,163</i>	<i>1,384</i>	<i>1,064</i>
Residential Development within the Southern Receiving Area (Multiple LUCs)	8,740	599	814

12.5 Acres of Park Uses (LUC 411)	96	0	1
Southern Neighborhood Center Uses (Multiple Potential LUCs)	270	21	30
<i>Southern Receiving Area Subtotal:</i>	<i>9,106</i>	<i>620</i>	<i>845</i>
<b>Project Totals:</b>	<b>19,269</b>	<b>2,004</b>	<b>1,909</b>

*\*To avoid double counting, density from the Sending Area within the approved (existing) PD was not included, since those are instead included as existing entitlements within related PD 25-0469.*

Proposed Zoning:

Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
Residential Development within the Northern Development Area/ 495 SFDUs and 300 Townhomes (LUC 210/215)	6,166	431	587
1,000 Student Non-Charter Public Elementary School (LUC 520)	2,270	740	160
<i>Northern Development Area Subtotal:</i>	<i>8,436</i>	<i>1,171</i>	<i>747</i>
Residential Development within the Southern Development Area/ 1,505 SFDUs (LUC 210)	11,950	857	1,249
<i>Southern Development Area Subtotal:</i>	<i>11,950</i>	<i>857</i>	<i>1,249</i>
<b>Project Totals:</b>	<b>20,386</b>	<b>2,028</b>	<b>1,996</b>

Trip Generation Difference:

Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Net Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
<b>Difference</b>	<b>(+) 1,117</b>	<b>(+) 24</b>	<b>(+) 87</b>

## **TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE**

Berry Grove Blvd. is a 2-lane, divided, collector roadway characterized by 11-foot-wide travel lanes in good condition. There are 7-foot-wide buffered bicycle lanes present along both sides of the facility. There are 5-foot-wide sidewalks present along both sides of the roadway. The roadway has been constructed approximately 300 feet west of the easternmost project boundary of PD 24-0044, as most recently modified via PRS 25-0573. Responsibility to construct the roadway to the eastern PD boundary is a condition of that zoning's approval. The developer of that project is also required to preserve +/- 46-feet of additional right of way in order to facilitate the future potential 4-laning of Berry Grove Blvd.

CR 579 is a 2-lane, undivided, substandard, collector roadway characterized by +/- 10-foot-wide travel lanes in average condition. The roadway lies within a variable width right-of-way (between +/- 51 and +/- 74 feet in width). There are no existing sidewalks along CR 579 in the vicinity of the proposed project. There are no existing bicycle facilities on CR 579 in the vicinity of the proposed project.

Saffold Rd. is a 2-lane, undivided, substandard, collector roadway characterized by +/- 10-11-foot-wide travel lanes in average condition. The roadway lies within a variable width right-of-way (between +/- 57 and +/- 89 feet in width). There are no existing sidewalks or bicycle facilities along Saffold Rd. in the vicinity of the proposed project; however, there is a 10-foot-wide multi-purpose pathway along the portions of the northern side of Saffold Rd. west of the proposed project (which were constructed by the



developer of the above referenced adjacent PD). Additional facilities will be constructed as development progresses by that developer.

CR 579 is shown on the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan (HCCPP) as a future 2-lane enhanced roadway. In a rural context, 2-lane collector roadways require a minimum of 96 feet pursuant to Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual, to which we add an additional 11 feet to accommodate future enhancements. As such, the total future right-of-way needed is a minimum of 107 feet. Staff notes that due to the roundabout(s) proposed on CR 579 by the applicant, additional right-of-way will be needed for the roundabout. Since roundabouts are not constructed with additional auxiliary turning lanes, no additional right-of-way to accommodate left or right turning movements will be needed.

The W. Lake Dr. Extension north of the project has not yet been constructed. The developer of adjacent PD 18-1048 (most recently modified via PRS 24-1033) is required to construct an extension of W. Lake Dr. between its southern project boundary and the existing terminus of W. Lake Dr. (in the vicinity of Janes Dr.), as well as certain substandard road improvements along W. Lake Dr. (between Bishop Rd. and the existing terminus). This developer (i.e. the developer of the subject PD) also has certain development thresholds which requires construction to occur by this developer (if the other project does not move forward) of segments of W. Lake Dr. south of Bishop (up to a full continuous road between Bishop Rd. and a point within the Southern Development Area before issuance of building permits). This is further discussed in the Design Exception requests section hereinbelow.

#### **SITE ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY**

Access shall be as follows:

1. One (1) access connection along the western project boundary within the Northern Development Area (NDA), representing the extension of Berry Grove Blvd. This roadway will be designed a 4-lane roadway and constructed as a 2-lane roadway, expandable to the inside. The 4-lane segment will extend to W. Lake Dr. where the extra lanes will convert to drop/turn/specialized lanes.
2. Three (3) access connections along the northern property boundary within the NDA, the westernmost representing the extension of W. Lake Dr. and the other two local roadway connections.
3. One (1) access connection along the eastern boundary of the NDA, representing the terminus of the Berry Grove Blvd. Ext. with CR 579.
4. Five (5) access connections along the southern boundary of the NDA, with one representing a stubout for the future extension of W. Lake Dr., and the other four representing local roadways connections.
5. One (1) access connection along the western boundary of the Southern Development Area (SDA) representing a collector road stubout to provide future access to large undeveloped properties to the w. of the subject PD.
6. Four (4) access connections along the northern boundary of the SDA, with the westernmost connection representing the extension of W. Lake Dr. and the other three representing local road connections.
7. Two (2) access connections along the eastern boundary of the SDA, with the southernmost connection representing the point of connection for the potential east-west collector roadway as shown on the site plan and further described in the conditions.



8. One (1) access connection along the southern boundary of the SDA, representing the southern terminus of the W. Lake Dr. Ext. to Saffold Rd.

While some site access improvements have been identified in the conditions, given the large scale of the project, lack of detail regarding internal lotting patterns/design, whether the NDA and SDA will be connected, and given other factors, it will be necessary to defer to the plat/site/construction plan review stage the final determination of any improvements, including whether turn lanes are required on external and internal roadways and intersections, and whether roundabouts or traffic signals are warranted to serve the project. Similarly, additional internal road design decisions will be deferred to the plat/site/construction plan review stage.

A graphic has been provided below which demonstrates connectivity in the greater Wimauma Area. The subject project is just outside the southern boundary of the graphic.

#### **REQUESTED DESIGN EXCEPTION – W. LAKE DR. (SUBSTANDARD ROAD AND NEW ROAD)**

As W. Lake Dr. is a substandard collector roadway between Bishop Rd. and Janes Dr., and that the developer is proposing to extend W. Lake Dr. south of Janes Rd. to the proposed access within adjacent PD 24-1033, along that PD's southern project boundary), the applicant is required to make certain improvements within those areas. Also, the developer is proposing to extend W. Lake Dr. south of adjacent PD 24-1033, continuing through the internal roundabout within the subject PD, and stubbing out at the southern project boundary.

Improvements to W. Lake Dr. have been broken into three (3) segments. Segment A is defined as the section of W. Lake Dr. between Bishop Rd. and the northern property boundary of the Cypress Ridge Development (i.e. adjacent PRS 24-1033). Segment B is defined as that portion of W. Lake Dr. between the southern property boundary of the Cypress Ridge Development (i.e. the northern boundary of the subject PD) and Berry Grove Blvd. Segment C is defined as that portion of W. Lake Dr. between Berry Grove Blvd. and Saffold Rd.


Given the above, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated June 13, 2025) for W. Lake Dr. to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the request approvable (on July 11, 2025).

The Design Exception would authorize deviations from the TS-4 Typical Section (for 2-lane, Undivided, Urban Collector Roadways) as found in the 2021 Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). Specifically:

1. Within Segment A, the developer shall widen/construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide asphalt paths along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation as outlined below. The developer has proposed two typical sections for this segment (A-1 and A-2). Typical Section A-2 is the section where right-of-way is limited and/or there are design constraints. Use of Typical Section A-2 shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. The developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation between the closest edge of the asphalt path and the travel lane from 14-feet to 7-feet (for Typical Section A-1) and from 14-feet to 2-feet (for Typical Section A-2).

2. Within Segment B, the developer shall construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide sidewalks along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required sidewalk separation between the closest edge of the sidewalk and the travel lane from 14-feet to 6-feet. The developer shall also be permitted to eliminate the required 2-foot pedestrian clearance area between the back of sidewalk and edge of right-of-way.
3. Within Segment C, the developer shall construct the roadway consistent with the TS-4 Typical Section, except that instead of the of 5-foot sidewalks and 7-foot buffered bicycle lanes required per TS-4 the developer shall be permitted to install 10-foot-wide asphalt paths along both sides of the roadway. Additionally, the developer shall be permitted to reduce the required asphalt path separation between the closest edge of the sidewalk and the travel lane from 14-feet to 7-feet.

If PD 25-0371 is approved by the BOCC, the County Engineer will approve the above referenced Design Exception request.



### **REQUESTED DESIGN EXCEPTION – CR 579 SUBSTANDARD ROAD**

As CR 579 is a substandard collector roadway, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated July 9, 2025) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the request approvable (on July 11, 2025).

Improvements to CR 579 have been broken into three (3) segments. Segment A is defined as the section of CR 579 between SR 672 and the southern property boundary of folio 79456.0000 (i.e. the southern

boundary of pending PD 25-0469). Segment B is defined as that portion of CR 579 between the southern property boundary of folio 79456.0000 and the southern boundary of adjacent PD 18-1048 (i.e. the northern boundary of the subject PD). Segment C is defined at that portion of CR 579 between the northern boundary of the subject PD and the southern boundary of the subject PD.

Given the above, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated July 9, 2025) for CR 579 to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the request approvable (on July 11, 2025).

The Design Exception would authorize deviations from the 2021 Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) (for 2-Lane, Rural Local and Collector Roadways). Specifically:

1. Within Segment A:

- a. The developer will be permitted to maintain the 10 to 11-foot-wide existing lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required pursuant to the Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM);
- b. The developer will be permitted to construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway, in lieu of the 8-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway as required pursuant to TS-7 of the TTM; and,
- c. The developer will be required to construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of the roadway. This will take the place of the 5-foot-wide sidewalk that would normally be constructed along the west side of the roadway within this segment per TS-7, but will not be in lieu of the required bicycle facilities, which are being provided on the paved 5-foot shoulders consistent with TS-7. Also, staff notes that the 10-foot-wide sidewalk will transition to a 5-foot-wide sidewalk north of proposed PD 25-0469, where right-of-way does not exist to permit construction of the wider sidewalk.

2. Within Segment B:

- a. The developer will be permitted to maintain the 10-foot-wide to 11-foot-wide existing lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required pursuant to the Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM); and,
- b. The developer will be permitted to construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway, in lieu of the 8-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway as required pursuant to TS-7 of the TTM.

3. Within Segment C:

- a. The developer will be permitted to maintain the 10-foot-wide lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required pursuant to the Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM);
- b. The developer shall dedicate and convey to Hillsborough County sufficient right-of-way to accommodate proposed improvements (a minimum of 48-feet east of the

existing centerline). Staff notes this is in addition to any right-of-way necessary to accommodate site access improvements or additional preservation required pursuant to the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan as further described herein;


- c. The developer will be permitted to construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway, in lieu of the 8-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-feet is paved along both sides of the roadway as required pursuant to TS-7 of the TTM; and,
- d. The developer will be required to construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the west side of the roadway. This will take the place of the 5-foot-wide sidewalk that would normally be constructed along the west side of the roadway within this segment per TS-7, but will not be in lieu of the required bicycle facilities, which are being provided on the paved 5-foot shoulders consistent with TS-7.

The developer of the subject PD noted that the developer of pending PD 25-0469 is required to make the same improvements within Segments A and B (in addition to 5-foot-wide sidewalks/asphalt paths along their frontages except where replaced by a wider facility); however, in the event that development does not move forward, this developer will be required to make those improvements in certain circumstances. Although the Design Exception doesn't specifically mention the Southern Development Area, staff and the applicant's team discussed that certain connections between the Southern Development Area and Northern Development Area needed to be in place before improvements within Segments A and B are waived for any development within the Southern Development Area. Specifically, except for the first phase of development within the Northern Development Area, development within the Northern Development Area of the PD shall be required to complete improvements within Segments A and B until such time that the W. Lake Dr. improvements north of Berry Grove Blvd. are constructed. With regards to the Southern Development Area, the following improvements are required to unlock development within the area north of (but not to include) the east-west road:

1. Specifically, The Berry Grove Blvd. Ext. to US 301; and,
2. The W. Lake Dr. improvements between Bishop Rd. and Berry Grove Blvd.; and,
3. The northernmost connection to CR 579 within the Southern Development Area; and,
4. The three (3) easternmost local roadway connections along the northern boundary of the Southern Development Area, shall be completed such that they connect the Northern Development Area and Southern Development Area through adjacent folio 79703.0000; or,
5. The CR 579 improvements within Segments A, B and C have been completed and are open for beneficial use.

If PD 25-0371 is approved by the BOCC, the County Engineer will approve the above referenced Design Exception request.

## SEGMENTS GRAPHIC



### **REQUESTED DESIGN EXCEPTION – SAFFOLD RD. SUBSTANDARD ROAD**

As Saffold Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated June 12, 2025) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the request approvable (on July 11, 2025).

Improvements to Saffold Rd. have been broken into two (2) segments. Segment B is defined as that portion of Saffold Rd. along the frontages of folios 79700.0400, 79700.0300, 79700.0350, 79700.0100, 79700.0200, 79700.0250, 79700.0050, and 79700.0150. Segment A is defined as those portions of Saffold Rd. between CR 579 and the western project boundary, excluding the area defined as Segment B.

The Design Exception would authorize deviations from the 2021 Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) (for 2-Lane, Rural Local and Collector Roadways). Specifically:

1. Within Segment B:
  - a. The developer will be permitted to maintain the 10-foot-wide lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required pursuant to the Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM);




- b. The developer will be permitted to construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders along both sides of the roadway, in lieu of the 8-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-foot is paved along both sides of the roadway as required pursuant to TS-7 of the TTM, thereby eliminating required bicycle facilities within this segment; and,
- c. The developer will be required to construct a 5-foot-wide sidewalk along the north side of the roadway.

2. Within Segment A:

- a. The developer will be permitted to maintain the 10-foot-wide lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required pursuant to the Typical Section – 7 (TS-7) of the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM);
- b. The developer shall dedicate and convey to Hillsborough County sufficient right-of-way to accommodate proposed improvements (a minimum of 48-feet north of the existing centerline). Staff notes this is in addition to any right-of-way necessary to accommodate site access improvements or additional preservation required pursuant to the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan as further described herein;
- c. The developer will be permitted to construct 6-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-foot is paved along both sides of the roadway, in lieu of the 8-foot-wide stabilized shoulders of which 5-foot is paved along both sides of the roadway as required pursuant to TS-7 of the TTM; and,
- d. The developer will be required to construct a 10-foot-wide sidewalk along the north side of the roadway. This will take the place of the 5-foot-wide sidewalk that would normally be constructed along the west side of the roadway within this segment per TS-7, but will not be in lieu of the required bicycle facilities, which are being provided on the paved 5-foot shoulders consistent with TS-7.

Staff notes that the graphic shown in the Design Exception request incorrectly depicts Segment A as inclusive of the area covered by Segment B. Staff has updated the graphic to reflect the correct summary and segmentation described hereinabove.




**ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) INFORMATION**

Information for pertinent roadways is included below. Saffold Rd., Berry Grove Blvd. and W. Lake Dr. were not included in the 2020 LOS report. As such, information for these facilities cannot be provided.

<b>Roadway</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>	<b>LOS Standard</b>	<b>Peak Hour Directional LOS</b>
US 301	Manatee County Line	SR 674	D	C
CR 579	Manatee County Line	SR 674	C	B

Source: Hillsborough County 2020 Level of Service Report.







## Ratliff, James

---

**From:** Williams, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 2:42 PM  
**To:** Steven Henry  
**Cc:** Kami Corbett; kelly.love@clearviewland.com; Follin, Jared; Ratliff, James; Drapach, Alan; Tirado, Sheida; De Leon, Eleonor; PW-CEIntake  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)  
**Attachments:** 25-0371 Rev DEReq 06-13-25.pdf; 25-0371 DEAd 07-10-25\_3.pdf

Steve,

I have found the attached three Design Exceptions (DE) for PD 25-0371 APPROVABLE. Please note these are being sent over two emails due to file size.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon ([DeLeonE@hcfl.gov](mailto:DeLeonE@hcfl.gov) or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to [PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov](mailto:PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov)

Mike

**Michael J. Williams, P.E.**

**Director, Development Review**

**County Engineer**

Development Services Department

---

P: (813) 307-1851

M: (813) 614-2190

E: [Williamsm@HCFL.gov](mailto:Williamsm@HCFL.gov)

W: HCFLGov.net

---

**Hillsborough County**

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

---

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 1:34 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>; Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**  
**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**  
Development Services Department

E: [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)  
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602  
[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)

## Hillsborough County **Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 8, 2025 10:43 AM  
**To:** Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>; Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Steve,



The DE for Saffold and West Lake Drive are as discussed and fine. For CR 579, the DE will need to cover Segments A and B, as well as C, until such time as West Lake is completed per the current zoning conditions. In the current zoning up to 600 units are allowed prior to West Lake.

Mike

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 3, 2025 5:57 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>; Drapach, Alan <[DrapachA@hcfl.gov](mailto:DrapachA@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**  
**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**  
Development Services Department  
E: [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)  
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602  
[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)

[Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

**Hillsborough County Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.



# Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews

## Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Eleonor de Leon at [deleone@HCFL.gov](mailto:deleone@HCFL.gov) or via telephone at (813) 307-1707 if you have questions about how to complete this form.

<b>Request Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Manual Design Exception Request <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Parking Plan Request (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G3.) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Determination of Required Parking for Unlisted Uses (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G.1. and G.2.)
<b>Submittal Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revised Request <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Information
<b>Submittal Number and Description/Running History</b> (check one and complete text box using instructions provided below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. West Lake Drive - Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 6.
<b>Important:</b> To help staff differentiate multiple requests (whether of the same or different type), please use the above fields to assign a unique submittal number/name to each separate request. Previous submittals relating to the same project/phase shall be listed using the name and number previously identified. It is critical that the applicant reference this unique name in the request letter and subsequent filings/correspondence. If the applicant is revising or submitting additional information related to a previously submitted request, then the applicant would check the number of the previous submittal.	
<b>Project Name/ Phase</b>	Council Growers
<b>Important:</b> The name selected must be used on all future communications and submittals of additional/revised information relating to this variance. If request is specific to a discrete phase, please also list that phase.	
<b>Folio Number(s)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check This Box If There Are More Than Five Folio Numbers
<b>Important:</b> List all folios related to the project, up to a maximum of five. If there are additional folios, check the box to indicate such. Folio numbers must be provided in the format provided by the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's website (i.e. 6 numbers, followed by a hyphen, followed by 4 additional numbers, e.g. "012345-6789"). Multiple records should be separated by a semicolon and a space e.g. "012345-6789; 054321-9876").	
<b>Name of Person Submitting Request</b>	Steven J. Henry, P.E.
<b>Important:</b> All Administrative Variances (AV) and Design Exceptions (DE) must be Signed and Sealed by a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed in the State of Florida.	
<b>Current Property Zoning Designation</b>	
<b>Important:</b> For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional – 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at <a href="https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html">https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html</a> . For additional assistance, please contact the <a href="#">Zoning Counselors</a> at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.	
<b>Pending Zoning Application Number</b>	MM 25-0371
<b>Important:</b> If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number proceeded by the case type prefix, otherwise type "N/A" or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.	
<b>Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)</b>	N/A
<b>Important:</b> This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".	

June 13, 2025

Mr. Mike Williams  
Hillsborough County Government  
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 22<sup>nd</sup> Floors  
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Council Growers  
MM 25-0371

Folio Numbers: 079702.0002, 079702.0000, 279691.0000, 079691.0010,  
279693.0000, 079692.0000, 079698.0010, 079698.0000,  
079699.0000, 079852.0000, 079852.0010, 079700.0000

Lincks Project No. 19119

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for West Lake Drive from Bishop Road to Saffold Road. Figure 1 illustrates the segments of West Lake Drive that are the subject of this Design Exception. The existing Planned Development for the property is proposed to be amended to allow the following land uses:

- 2,000 Single Family Homes
- 300 Townhomes
- 1,000 Student Elementary School

Tables 1, 2, and 3 provide the trip generation for the proposed Planned Development.

The access to serve the project is proposed to be as follows:

- Two (2) full accesses to CR 579
- The extension of the Berry Grove Boulevard from its current terminus to CR 579 (Roundabout)
- One (1) full access to Saffold Road
- Extension of West Lake Drive north to tie into West Lake Drive north of the TECO easement

According to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, West Lake Drive is classified as a collector roadway.

The developer of the subject PD is working with the developer of Cypress Ridge Ranch to the north to extend/improve West Lake Drive through the Cypress Ridge Development. This will then provide a collector road connection from SR 674 to Saffold Road.

5023 West Laurel Street  
Tampa, FL 33607  
813 289 0039 Telephone  
8133 287 0674 Telefax  
[www.Lincks.com](http://www.Lincks.com) Website

## Segment A

This section is from Bishop Road to the northern property line of the Cypress Ridge Development. See Typical Sections A-1 and A-2 for the sections proposed along this segment.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-4 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for West Lake Drive. This segment of West Lake Drive is currently a two (2) lane roadway. The following exceptions are requested to accommodate the proposed project:

- 1) Bike Lanes – TS-4 has 7 foot buffered bike lanes. The existing roadway is a rural roadway with no bike lanes.
- 2) Sidewalk – TS-4 has sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. There is currently some sidewalks along portions of the roadway.

The justification for the Design Exception is as follows:

The developer proposes two (2) alternative sections. The first is where there is sufficient right of way to provide the proposed section which is shown in Typical Section A-1. Typical Section A-2 illustrates the proposed section where right of way is limited and/or there are design constraints. The primary difference in these sections is the distance from the back of the curb to the sidewalk. It should be noted that the distance between the back of the curb and sidewalk can vary depending on the right of way and roadway constraints. This section will be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. The sections include the following:

1. Bike Lanes – Due to the three (3) schools that are proposed along West Lake Drive, 10 foot sidewalks are proposed on each side of West Lake Drive in lieu of the bike lanes. From a safety standpoint, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for students walking and riding bikes to school than students riding bikes within West Lake Drive or pedestrian and bikes on a 5 foot sidewalk. Due to right of way constraints along the roadway, it is not feasible to provide the bike lanes and the 10 foot sidewalks.
2. Sidewalk – 10 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway are proposed instead of the bike lanes. As stated above, given the roadway will serve the three (3) schools, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for the school students.

## Segment B

This segment is from the southern property line of the Cypress Ridge Development to Berry Grove Boulevard. See Typical Section B for the section proposed along this



segment of the roadway.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-4 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for West Lake Drive. The following exceptions are requested to accommodate the proposed project:

- 1) Bike Lanes – TS-4 has 7 foot buffered bike lanes. The designed roadway does not have bike lanes.
- 2) Sidewalk – TS-4 has sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. The roadway is designed with five (5) foot sidewalks.

The justification for the Design Exception is as follows:

The existing right of way along this segment of the roadway is 54 feet, with 10 feet public utility easement on both sides of the right of way. The proposed typical section is shown in Section B. This section includes the following:

1. Bike Lanes – To match the typical section north of Bishop Road, 10 foot sidewalks are proposed on each side of West Lake Drive in lieu of the bike lanes. From a safety standpoint, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for students walking and riding bikes to school than students riding bikes within West Lake Drive or pedestrian and bikes on a 5 foot sidewalk. Due to right of way constraints along the roadway, it is not feasible to provide the bike lanes and the 10 foot sidewalks.
2. Sidewalk – 10 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway are proposed instead of the bike lanes. As stated above, given the roadway will serve the three (3) schools, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for the school students.

#### Segment C

This segment is from Berry Grove Boulevard to Saffold Road, see Typical Section C for the section along this segment.

The justification for the Design Exception is as follows:

The proposed typical section is shown in Section C. This section includes the following:

1. Bike Lanes – To match the typical section north of Bishop Road, 10 foot sidewalks are proposed on each side of West Lake Drive in lieu of the bike lanes. From a safety standpoint, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for students walking and riding bikes to school than students riding bikes within West Lake Drive or



Mr. Mike Williams

June 13, 2025

Page 4

2. pedestrian and bikes on a 5 foot sidewalk. Due to right of way constraints along the roadway, it is not feasible to provide the bike lanes and the 10 foot sidewalks.
3. Sidewalk – 10 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway are proposed instead of the bike lanes. As stated above, given the roadway will serve the three (3) schools, the 10 foot sidewalks provide a better option for the school students.


Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to West Lake Drive will mitigate the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Mr. Mike Williams  
June 13, 2025  
Page 5

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry  
President  
Lincks & Associates, LLC  
A TMC Company  
P.E. #51555



---

Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

\_\_\_\_\_ Disapproved  
\_\_\_\_\_ Approved  
\_\_\_\_\_ Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams  
Hillsborough County Engineer

TABLE 1  
ESTIMATED DAILY TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily Trip Ends</u>	<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>	<u>External Trip Ends</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	3,930	218	3,712
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	2,236	77	2,159
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	<u>2,270</u>	<u>958</u>	<u>1,312</u>
			Sub-Total	8,436	1,253	7,183
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	<u>11,950</u>	<u>663</u>	<u>11,287</u>
	Total			20,386	1,916	18,470

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2021.

(2) Internal Capture

• School Internal

422/1,000 x 2,270 = 958

TABLE 2  
ESTIMATED AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

Location	Land Use	ITE Land Use Code	Size	AM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)			Internal Trip Ends			New External AM Peak Hour Trip Ends		
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	70	211	281	32	38	70	38	173	211
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	38	112	150	12	14	26	26	98	124
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	400	340	740	169	144	313	231	196	427
			Sub-Total	508	663	1,171	213	196	409	295	467	762
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	215	642	857	100	117	217	115	525	640
			Total	723	1,305	2,028	313	313	626	410	992	1,402

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

(2) Internal Capture

• School Internal

In - 422/1000 x 400 = 169

Out - 422/1000 x 340 = 144

TABLE 3  
ESTIMATED PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>ITE Land Use Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>PM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)</u>			<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>			<u>New External PM Peak Hour Trip Ends</u>		
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	259	152	411	8	7	15	251	145	396
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	104	72	176	3	3	6	101	69	170
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	<u>74</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>93</u>
			Sub-Total	437	310	747	42	46	88	395	264	659
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	<u>787</u>	<u>462</u>	<u>1,249</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>762</u>	<u>441</u>	<u>1,203</u>
			Total	1,224	772	1,996	67	67	134	1,157	705	1,862

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

(2) Internal Capture


• School Internal


In -  $422/1000 \times 74 = 31$

Out -  $422/1000 \times 86 = 36$




## SEGMENTS GRAPHIC






**TYPICAL SECTION**  
**WEST LAKE DRIVE**  
NTS

**SECTION A-1**




**TYPICAL SECTION**  
**WEST LAKE DRIVE**  
NTS

**SECTION A-2**



**TYPICAL SECTION**  
**WEST LAKE DRIVE**  
NTS

**SECTION B**



**TYPICAL SECTION**  
**WEST LAKE DRIVE**  
NTS

**SECTION C**



## APPENDIX



**LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A TMC Company**

PD PLAN





HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS  
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A TMC Company

# HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Infrastructure & Development Services



## Legend

### Functional Classifications


#### Authority Classification

- State, Principal Arterial
- State, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Collector
- Urban Service Area Boundary
- City Limits

The Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map will be used in all matters in the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) that relate to functional classification of roads. Some, but not all, examples of those matters are as follows:

- PART 3.02.00 INTERSTATE-75 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 3.03.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 5.03.00 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 6.02.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 6.07.00 FENCES AND WALLS
- PART 12.01.00 DEFINITIONS
- OTHER PARTS OF THE LDC NOT LISTED ABOVE

In addition to subject matters in the LDC, Functional Classification of roads plays an important role in administering the Residential Traffic Control Program and the Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program.




NOTE: Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of this map. Hillsborough County does not warrant the accuracy of the map. The map is for informational purposes only and should not be used for any other expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

SOURCE: This map has been prepared for the inventory of real property found within Hillsborough County and is based on the most current information available. The map is not intended to be a legal document. Users of the map are hereby notified that the above information is preliminary and should be consulted for verification of the information contained on this map.

801 E. Kennedy Blvd  
Tampa, FL 33602  
(813) 272-5610  
printroom@hillsboroughcounty.org

Date: 10/17/2018 File: W:\GIS\PROJECTS\Roadway Functional Map\Map\Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map.mxd





TS-4





## Ratliff, James

---

**From:** Williams, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 2:42 PM  
**To:** Steven Henry  
**Cc:** Kami Corbett; kelly.love@clearviewland.com; Follin, Jared; Ratliff, James; Drapach, Alan; Tirado, Sheida; De Leon, Eleonor; PW-CEIntake  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)  
**Attachments:** 25-0371 Rev DEReq 06-13-25.pdf; 25-0371 DEAd 07-10-25\_3.pdf

Steve,

I have found the attached three Design Exceptions (DE) for PD 25-0371 APPROVABLE. Please note these are being sent over two emails due to file size.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon ([DeLeonE@hcfl.gov](mailto:DeLeonE@hcfl.gov) or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to [PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov](mailto:PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov)

Mike

**Michael J. Williams, P.E.**

**Director, Development Review**

**County Engineer**

Development Services Department

---

P: (813) 307-1851

M: (813) 614-2190

E: [Williamsm@HCFL.gov](mailto:Williamsm@HCFL.gov)

W: HCFLGov.net

---

**Hillsborough County**

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

---

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 1:34 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>; Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**  
**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**  
Development Services Department

E: [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)  
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602  
[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)

## Hillsborough County **Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 8, 2025 10:43 AM  
**To:** Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>; Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Steve,

The DE for Saffold and West Lake Drive are as discussed and fine. For CR 579, the DE will need to cover Segments A and B, as well as C, until such time as West Lake is completed per the current zoning conditions. In the current zoning up to 600 units are allowed prior to West Lake.

Mike

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 3, 2025 5:57 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>; Drapach, Alan <[DrapachA@hcfl.gov](mailto:DrapachA@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**  
**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**  
Development Services Department  
E: [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)  
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602  
[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)

[Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

**Hillsborough County Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.





**Hillsborough  
County Florida**  
Development Services

# Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews

## Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Eleonor de Leon at [deleone@HCFL.gov](mailto:deleone@HCFL.gov) or via telephone at (813) 307-1707 if you have questions about how to complete this form.

<b>Request Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Manual Design Exception Request <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Parking Plan Request (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G3.) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Determination of Required Parking for Unlisted Uses (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G.1. and G.2.)		
<b>Submittal Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Request	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revised Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Information
<b>Submittal Number and Description/Running History</b> (check one and complete text box using instructions provided below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. CR 579 - Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CR 579 - Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 6.		
<b>Important:</b> To help staff differentiate multiple requests (whether of the same or different type), please use the above fields to assign a unique submittal number/name to each separate request. Previous submittals relating to the same project/phase shall be listed using the name and number previously identified. It is critical that the applicant reference this unique name in the request letter and subsequent filings/correspondence. If the applicant is revising or submitting additional information related to a previously submitted request, then the applicant would check the number of the previous submittal.			
<b>Project Name/ Phase</b> Council Growers  <b>Important:</b> The name selected must be used on all future communications and submittals of additional/revised information relating to this variance. If request is specific to a discrete phase, please also list that phase.			
<b>Folio Number(s)</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check This Box If There Are More Than Five Folio Numbers  <b>Important:</b> List all folios related to the project, up to a maximum of five. If there are additional folios, check the box to indicate such. Folio numbers must be provided in the format provided by the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's website (i.e. 6 numbers, followed by a hyphen, followed by 4 additional numbers, e.g. "012345-6789"). Multiple records should be separated by a semicolon and a space e.g. "012345-6789; 054321-9876").			
<b>Name of Person Submitting Request</b> Steven J. Henry, P.E.  <b>Important:</b> All Administrative Variances (AV) and Design Exceptions (DE) must be Signed and Sealed by a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed in the State of Florida.			
<b>Current Property Zoning Designation</b>  <b>Important:</b> For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional – 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at <a href="https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html">https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html</a> . For additional assistance, please contact the <a href="#">Zoning Counselors</a> at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.			
<b>Pending Zoning Application Number</b> MM 25-0371  <b>Important:</b> If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number proceeded by the case type prefix, otherwise type "N/A" or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.			
<b>Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)</b> N/A  <b>Important:</b> This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".			

July 9, 2025

Mr. Michael Williams, PE  
County Engineer Development Review Director  
Hillsborough County Government  
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Council Growers

MM 25-0371

Folio Numbers: 079702.0002, 079702.0000, 279691.0000, 079691.0010,  
279693.0000, 079692.0000, 079698.0010, 079698.0000,  
079699.0000, 079852.0000, 079852.0010, 079700.0000

Lincks Project # 19119

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet the Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L— Substandard Roadways of the Hillsborough County Land Development for CR 579 from Saffold Road to CR 674. The subject project is located west of CR 579 and north of Saffold Road. The developer proposes to modify the existing Planned Development for the property to allow the following land uses:

- 2,000 Single Family Homes
- 300 Townhomes
- 1,000 Student Elementary School

Tables 1, 2, and 3 provides the trip generation for the project.

The access to serve the project is proposed to be as follows:

- Two (2) full accesses to CR 579
- The extension of the Berry Grove Boulevard from its current terminus to CR 579 (Roundabout)
- One (1) full access to Saffold Road
- Extension of West Lake Drive north to tie into West Lake Drive north of the TECO easement

According to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, CR 579 is a collector road.

Based on the evaluation of CR 579, there is not sufficient right of way to improve CR 579 to TS-7 standards. Therefore, a Design Exception is requested for CR 579 along the

5023 West Laurel Street  
Tampa, FL 33607  
813 289 0039 Telephone  
813 287 0674 Telefax  
[www.Lincks.com](http://www.Lincks.com) Website

Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 2

property frontage. The JPL Development is to improve Segments A and B along CR 579 and the subject project is to improve Segment C. Except for the first phase of the development consisting of 600 dwelling units, the subject property will also be responsible for Segments A and B until such time that the West Lake Drive improvements north of Berry Grove Boulevard are constructed. The segments are shown in the attached graphic.

#### Segment A

This section is from SR 674 to the southern property line of the JPL project. See Typical Section A for the section proposed along the segment.

1. Right of Way – The right of way along the segment of CR 579 varies between approximately 51 feet to 74 feet. The developer of the JPL development has committed to providing the right of way on each side of CR 579 to provide a total of 48 feet of right of way from the existing centerline of CR 579 within the limits of the property they own.
2. Lane Width – TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The proposed section is to maintain the existing lanes at 10 to 11 feet. Due to limited right of way, 12 foot lanes cannot be provided.
3. Shoulder – TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The proposed section has 6 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved.
4. Sidewalk – TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. A 10 foot sidewalk is proposed along the west side of CR 579 within the property controlled by the JPL developer. North of the property the 10 foot sidewalk is to transition to a 5 foot sidewalk.

#### Segment B

This segment is from the southern property line of the JPL development to the northern property line of the Council Growers project along the Cypress Ridge Development, as shown in the attached graphic. See Typical Section B for the section proposed along this segment of the roadway.

1. Right of Way – The right of way along the segment of CR 579 varies between approximately 59 feet to 90 feet. The developer of PD 18-1048 is required to dedicate an additional 21.5 feet of right of way on the west side of CR 579.
2. Lane Width – TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The proposed section is to maintain the existing lanes at 10 to 11 feet. Due to limited right of way, 12 foot lanes cannot be provided.



Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 3

3. Shoulder – TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The proposed section has 6 foot shoulder with 5 feet paved.
4. Sidewalk – TS-7 has 5 feet on both sides of the roadway. The developer of PD 18-1048 is required to provide a 5 foot sidewalk along the property frontage.

#### Segment C

This segment is along the subject property frontage of CR 579, as shown in the attached exhibit. See Typical Section C for the section along this segment.

1. Right of Way – The right of way along this segment of CR 579 varies between approximately 74 feet to 90 feet. As shown in Figure 1, the developer does own property along the portion of the segment and has committed to providing 48 feet of right way on the west side to accommodate the proposed improvements.
2. Lane Width – TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The existing lanes are 10 feet. This section proposes to maintain the existing lane width.
3. Shoulder – TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The proposed section has 6 foot stabilized shoulder with five feet paved.
4. Sidewalk – TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalk on both sides of the road. The proposed section provides a 10 foot sidewalk on the west side of CR 579.

The proposed Design Exception for CR 579 protects and furthers the public health, safety and welfare based on the following:


1. Five (5) foot paved shoulders/bike lanes are proposed along the entire length of the roadway. These will provide shoulders/bike lanes that do not currently exist on the roadway.
2. A continuous five (5) to ten (10) foot sidewalk along this section of the roadway is to be provided. This increases the pedestrian safety along the roadway and furthers the Vision Zero goals for Hillsborough County.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 4

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry  
President  
Lincks & Associates, LLC  
A TMC Company  
P.E. #51555



---

Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

\_\_\_\_\_ Disapproved

\_\_\_\_\_ Approved

\_\_\_\_\_ Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E., (813) 276-8364, [TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org](mailto:TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org).

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams  
Hillsborough County Engineer



TABLE 1  
ESTIMATED DAILY TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily Trip Ends</u>	<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>	<u>External Trip Ends</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	3,930	218	3,712
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	2,236	77	2,159
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	<u>2,270</u>	<u>958</u>	<u>1,312</u>
			Sub-Total	8,436	1,253	7,183
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	<u>11,950</u>	<u>663</u>	<u>11,287</u>
			Total	20,386	1,916	18,470

- (1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2021.  
(2) Internal Capture  
• School Internal  
422/1,000 x 2,270 = 958

TABLE 2  
ESTIMATED AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

Location	Land Use	ITE Land Use Code	Size	AM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)			Internal Trip Ends			New External AM Peak Hour Trip Ends		
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	70	211	281	32	38	70	38	173	211
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	38	112	150	12	14	26	26	98	124
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	400	340	740	169	144	313	231	196	427
			Sub-Total	508	663	1,171	213	196	409	295	467	762
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	215	642	857	100	117	217	115	525	640
			Total	723	1,305	2,028	313	313	626	410	992	1,402

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

(2) Internal Capture

• School Internal

In - 422/1000 x 400 = 169

Out - 422/1000 x 340 = 144

TABLE 3  
ESTIMATED PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

Location	ITE Land Use Code	Land Use	Size	PM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)			Internal Trip Ends			New External PM Peak Hour Trip Ends		
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
North	210	Single Family	495 DU's	259	152	411	8	7	15	251	145	396
				104	72	176	3	3	6	101	69	170
	215	Townhomes	300 DU's	74	86	160	31	36	67	43	50	93
				1,000 Students								
South	520	Elementary	1,000 Students	437	310	747	42	46	88	395	264	659
				Sub-Total								
	210	Single Family	1,505 DU's	787	462	1,249	25	21	46	762	441	1,203
				Total	1,224	772	1,996	67	134	1,157	705	1,862


(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.


(2) Internal Capture

- School Internal
- In - 422/1000 x 74 = 31
- Out - 422/1000 x 86 = 36

Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 8

## SEGMENTS GRAPHIC






**TYPICAL SECTION  
SEGMENT A  
C.R. 579**

\* THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS TO BE PRESERVED/DEDICATED  
WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE PROPERTY OWNED BY  
THE DEVELOPER.



Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 10




## TYPICAL SECTION SEGMENT B

C.R. 579

\*TO BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE DEVELOPER OF THE  
CYPRESS RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

Mr. Mike Williams  
July 9, 2025  
Page 11



TYPICAL SECTION  
SEGMENT C  
C.R. 579

APPENDIX



PD PLAN







HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS  
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION



# HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Infrastructure & Development Services



## Legend


Functional Classifications  
Authority Classification

- State, Principal Arterial
- State, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Collector
- Urban Service Area Boundary
- City Limits

The Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map will be used in all matters in the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) that relate to functional classification of roads. Some, but not all, examples of those matters are as follows:

- PART 3.02.00 INTERSTATE-75 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 3.03.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 5.03.00 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 6.02.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 6.07.00 FENCES AND WALLS
- PART 12.01.00 DEFINITIONS
- OTHER PARTS OF THE LDC NOT LISTED ABOVE


In addition to subject matters in the LDC, Functional Classification of roads plays an important role in administering the Residential Traffic Control Program and the Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program.



NOTE: Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of this map. Hillsborough County does not warrant the accuracy of the map. The map is for informational purposes only and should not be used for any other expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

SOURCE: This map has been prepared for the inventory of real property located within Hillsborough County and is based on the most current information available. The map is not a survey and should not be used for any other purpose. Users of the map are hereby notified that the above information is preliminary and subject to change. For verification of the information contained on this map, please contact the Planning and Development Department.

601 E. Kennedy Blvd  
Tampa, FL 33602  
(813) 272-5610  
printroom@hillsboroughcounty.org



TS-7





FOR LESS THAN 10,000 AADT

MAX. ALLOWABLE DESIGN SPEED – 50 MPH

1. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MINIMUM.
2. SEE APPROPRIATE SECTIONS OF TECHNICAL MANUAL FOR DESIGN PARAMETERS.
3. PROVIDE 2' MINIMUM CLEARANCE FROM FENCES, WALLS, HEDGES, ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS, DROP OFFS; OR FROM THE TOPS OF BANKS WITH SLOPES STEEPER THAN 1 TO 4, THAT INTERFERE WITH THE SAFE, FUNCTIONAL USE OF THE SIDEWALK. INTERMITTENT ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES, OR MATURE TREES, 2' OR LESS IN DIAMETER MAY BE PLACED IN THIS 2' STRIP AS FAR FROM THE SIDEWALK AS POSSIBLE, IF NOT IN THE CLEAR ZONE.
4. SOD SHALL BE PLACED IN TWO ROWS STAGGERED. (BOTH TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT)
5. PAVED SHOULDER TO BE STRIPED AS A DESIGNATED BIKE LANE, AS APPROPRIATE.

# TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL MANUAL

REVISION DATE:  
10/17

Hillsborough  
County Florida

**LOCAL & COLLECTOR RURAL ROADS  
(2 LANE UNDIVIDED)  
TYPICAL SECTION**

DRAWING NO.

SHEET NO. 1 OF

CR 579 FIELD ASSESSMENT





## CR 579

### Special Field Survey for Substandard Road Assessment

Limits of Survey: Saffold Road to CR 674

Date of Survey: 11-05-22

By: WLR & DZS

Type of Road: Two lane, crown, asphalt

Pav't cond.: Fair to good to very good

Shoulder cond.: Good to poor, some erosion

Swales: swales both sides, most of the segment

#### Notes:

1. Left and right slopes are measured away from the pavement line, crown, invert crown, centerline or median that separates opposing traffic. Slopes down to the left and right from any of those dividing features are negative, slopes up are positive.
2. Measured Lane Pavement Width is edge of pavement to edge of pavement, including any paved shoulders. Minimum, Maximum and Average Lane Width values are lane widths without shoulders
3. Nominal dimensions for shoulders are when there is no discrete separation between shoulder and front slope and the minimum required shoulder is used as a nominal shoulder.
4. Most traffic signs are 8' to 10' from EOP and are breakaway.
5. AADT is 800. Requirement for shoulder is 8'. FDOT greenbook allows a max. of 12% slope. See Summary Page for existing shoulder widths and slopes.
6. CR 579 classified as Major on Hillsborough County Map and Minor Collector RURAL on FDOT map.
7. Hillsborough Transportation Manual for Subdivision and Site Development Projects Section 3.1 requires 12' lanes for commercial rural roads without bike lanes or paved shoulders. See Summary Page for existing lane widths
8. There are no Traffic Control Poles or devices. All Light Poles, Utility Poles, and Trees are outside of the Clear Zone. Some mailboxes, guardrails and drainage culvert headwalls are within the Clear Zone. See Field Survey.

### Speed Limits and Clear Zone Distances

Road Jurisdiction:

☐ FDOT

☒ Hillsborough County

☐ Pasco County

Left Lanes					Right Lanes				
Type of Lane: Through (T), Through Curbed (TC), Auxiliary (A), or Auxiliary Curbed (AC)	Begin Station	End Station	Speed Limit (mph)	Clear Zone	Type of Lane: Through (T), Through Curbed (TC), Auxiliary (A), or Auxiliary Curbed (AC)	Begin Station	End Station	Speed Limit (mph)	Clear Zone
T	0+00	5+15	45	14'	T	0+00	5+75	45	14'
T	5+15	169+30	55	18'	T	5+75	169+30	55*	18'

\* Presumed speed as speed limit sign missing

## Summaries of Widths and Slopes for Pavement, Shoulders and Side Slopes

Lane Pavement Width and Slopes		
Left Slope	Width	Right Slope
Minimum:	20.0'	-4.3%
Maximum:	22.7'	-1.3%
Average:	20.5'	-2.6%

Shoulders Width and Slopes			
Left Width	Left Slope	Right Width	Right Slope
Minimum:	4.0'	2.0%	0.0%
Maximum:	8.0'	16.0%	17.0%
Average:	6.7'	10.2%	9.5%

Average values calculated from trimmed mean values (without outliers)

### LEFT Side Slopes

Front Slope 1 Width	Front Slope 1 Slope	Front Slope 2 Width	Front Slope 2 Slope	Bottom Width	Back Slope 1 Width	Back Slope 1 Slope	Back Slope 2 Width	Back Slope 2 Slope
Minimum:	4'	2%	5%	0'	4'	10%	~	3%
breakaway:	11'	37%	25%	8'	12'	100%	~	10%
Average:	7'	16.7%	15.0%	3'	8'	43.6%	~	6.5%

~ = Slope continues beyond limits of survey

### RIGHT Side Slopes

Front Slope 1 Width	Front Slope 1 Slope	Front Slope 2 Width	Front Slope 2 Slope	Bottom Width	Back Slope 1 Width	Back Slope 1 Slope	Back Slope 2 Width	Back Slope 2 Slope
Minimum:	4'	9%		0'	1'	2%	8'	10%
Maximum:	14'	30%		10'	15'	160%	14'	13%
Average:	7'	19.0%		3'	10'	40.5%	11'	11.5%

~ = Slope continues beyond limits of survey

### LEFT Slope Maximums

	Front slope		Back slope	
	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone
Maximum Allowed:	25%	33%	33%	50%
Number of Sections:	14	14	14	14
Sections Exceeding:	1	0	3	3
Percent Exceeding:	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	21.4%

Maximum Allowed:  
Number of Sections:  
Sections Exceeding:  
Percent Exceeding:

### RIGHT Slope Maximums

	Front slope		Back slope	
	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone
Maximum Allowed:	25%	33%	33%	50%
Number of Sections:	14	14	14	14
Sections Exceeding:	0	0	2	2
Percent Exceeding:	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%

Maximum Allowed:  
Number of Sections:  
Sections Exceeding:  
Percent Exceeding:



## Field Survey

Station	Left Slopes and Swales			Left Shoulder Total/Paved/ Slope	Lane Pavement		Right Shoulder Total/Paved/ Slope	Right Slopes and Swales	
	Back Slope (Width/slope)	Bottom (width)	Front Slope (width/slope)		Left Slope	Width		Front Slope (width/slope)	Back Slope (width/slope)
1+00	11'/12%, ~13%	0'	4'-6%	*8'/0'/-6%	-3.2%	22.7'	*8'/0'/-14%	4'/-14%	7'/22%
LT 4' BWF 39' LT, 5+15 45 mph South									
RT Trees 20' RT, 5+75 55 mph North, RT side shoulder erosion, 3+23 72" CMP culvert, HDW 16' LT, 16' RT									
Notes 0+00 set at centerline intersection with Saffols Road									
8+00	15'18%	0'	5'/-12%	*8'/0'/-12%	-3.0%	20.0'	*8'/0'/-15%	5'/-15%	10'/16%
LT 4' BWF 28' LT									
RT Trees 35' RT									
Notes 10+70 (3) 48" CMP Culvert, HDW 18' LT, 20' RT									
20+00	4'/40%, ~10%	7'	5'/-37%	5'/0'/-13%	-3.8%	20.0'	4'/0'/0%	6'/-25%	1'/160%
LT M.B.'s 6'-8' LT, U.P. 20' LT									
RT Trees 17' RT									
Notes									
28+00	8'/45%	5'	8'/-10%, 7'/-25%	*8'/0'/-10%	-2.6%	20.6'	6'/0'/-8%	8'/-25%	5'/130%
LT Trees 20' LT									
RT Trees 18' RT									
Notes									
36+00	8'/24%	0'	8'/-10%	*8'/0'/-10%	-3.5%	20.4'	*8'/0'/-17%	6'/-17%	10'/22%, 8'/-13%
LT Trees 20' LT, U.P. 24' LT									
RT Trees 30' RT, 4' BWF 32' RT									
Notes 45+27 24" RCP Culvert, HDW LT 15', RT 16'									
52+00	12'/16%	0'	8'/-15%	6'/0'/-6%	-2.3%	21.0'	8'/0'/-12%	7'/-17%	11'/20%, 14'/-10%
LT Trees 25' LT									
RT Trees 26' RT, 56+70 (3) 36" RCP culvert, HDW 20' LT, 19' RT									
Notes									
68+00	6'/100%	8'	10'/-25%	8'/0'/-14%	-3.7%	21.0'	6'/0'/-9%	14'/-15%	5/100%
LT Trees 26' LT									
RT Trees 20' RT									
Notes Pav't Good, 71+00 24" RCP culvert, HDW 19' LT, 20' RT									
84+00	7'/23%	4'	5'/-14%	6'/0'/-16%	-3.2%	20.4'	7'/0'/-10%	8'/-14%	15'/10%
LT U.P. 20' LT, GR 94+23 to 95+80 8' LT									
RT Trees 25' RT, BWF 34' RT, GR 93+60 to 94+74 8' RT									
Notes 94+50 36" RCP Culvert, HDW 15' LT, 17' RT									

Station	Left Slopes and Swales			Left Shoulder Total/Paved/ Slope	Lane Pavement		Right Shoulder Total/Paved/ Slope	Right Slopes and Swales			
	Back Slope (Width/slope)	Bottom (width)	Front Slope (width/slope)		Left Slope	Width		Right Slope	Front Slope (width/slope)	Bottom (width)	Back Slope (width/slope)
100+00	10'/10%	0'	5'/-16%	*8'/0'/-16%	-1.6%	20.4'	-1.4%	6'/0'/-12%	7'/-16%	0'	13'/8%
LT Trees 24' LT, GR LT 101+60 to 104+00 8' LT											
RT Trees 25' RT, 6' CLF 66' RT, GR RT 101+30 to 102+55 8' RT											
Notes 102+30 (2) 48" Box Culverts, HDW 16' LT, 12' RT											
120+00	8'/100%	6'	6'/25%	4'/0'/-8%	-2.1%	20.0'	-2.9%	6'/0'/-6%	7'/-27%	6'	8'/15%
LT Trees 24' LT											
RT											
Notes											
134+00	5'/100%	2'	9'/-25%	5'/0'/9%	-0.6%	21.0'	-2.1%	6'/0'/-5%	4'/-30%	10'	4'/30%
LT MB's 4' LT, U.P. 18' LT, Trees 20'											
RT											
Notes											
150+00	~10%	7'	5'/-21%	4'/0'/-5%	-2.9%	20.1'	-2.3%	5'/0'/-6%	6'/-28%	6'	15'/25%
LT Trees 16' LT, 163+12 Centerline Hillsborough St											
RT 4' WF 32' RT											
Notes											
166+00			11'/-16%, ~/-5%	*8'/0'/-16%	2.2%	22.0'	-4.3%	*8'/0'/-9%	7'/-9%	0'	15'/2%
LT											
RT U.P. 28' RT, 5' WF 30' RT											
Notes											
172+00			~/-2%	*8'/0'/-2%	-2.6%	21.0'	-3.7%	5'/0'/-10%	12'/-14%	0'	12'/7%
LT											
RT 5' WF 25' RT											
Notes											
179+30 End of Segment at EOP S.R. 674											
LT											
RT											
Notes											
LT											
RT											
Notes											
LT											
RT											
Notes											



# CR 579 Aerial & Stationing



Stations in 1000 ft increments



## Ratliff, James

---

**From:** Williams, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 2:43 PM  
**To:** Steven Henry  
**Cc:** Kami Corbett; kelly.love@clearviewland.com; Follin, Jared; Ratliff, James; Drapach, Alan; Tirado, Sheida; De Leon, Eleonor; PW-CEIntake  
**Subject:** RE: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (2 of 2)  
**Attachments:** 25-0371 Rev DReq 06-13-25\_2.pdf

2/2

---

**From:** Williams, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 2:42 PM  
**To:** Steven Henry <shenry@lincks.com>  
**Cc:** Kami Corbett <kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com>; kelly.love@clearviewland.com; Follin, Jared <FollinJ@hcfl.gov>; Ratliff, James <RatliffJa@hcfl.gov>; Drapach, Alan <DrapachA@hcfl.gov>; Tirado, Sheida <TiradoS@hcfl.gov>; De Leon, Eleonor <DeLeonE@hcfl.gov>; PW-CEIntake <PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Steve,

I have found the attached three Design Exceptions (DE) for PD 25-0371 APPROVABLE. Please note these are being sent over two emails due to file size.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon ([DeLeonE@hcfl.gov](mailto:DeLeonE@hcfl.gov) or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to [PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov](mailto:PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov)

Mike

**Michael J. Williams, P.E.**  
**Director, Development Review**  
**County Engineer**  
Development Services Department

---

**P:** (813) 307-1851  
**M:** (813) 614-2190  
**E:** [Williamsm@HCFL.gov](mailto:Williamsm@HCFL.gov)  
**W:** HCFLGov.net

---

## Hillsborough County

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

---

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 11, 2025 1:34 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>; Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**

**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**

Development Services Department

**E:** [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)

**P:** (813) 276-8364 | **M:** (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

**[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)**

[Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

**Hillsborough County Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

---

**From:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 8, 2025 10:43 AM  
**To:** Steven Henry <[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)>  
**Cc:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>; Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Steve,

The DE for Saffold and West Lake Drive are as discussed and fine. For CR 579, the DE will need to cover Segments A and B, as well as C, until such time as West Lake is completed per the current zoning conditions. In the current zoning up to 600 units are allowed prior to West Lake.

Mike

---

**From:** Tirado, Sheida <[TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 3, 2025 5:57 PM  
**To:** Williams, Michael <[WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)>  
**Cc:** Ratliff, James <[RatliffJa@hcfl.gov](mailto:RatliffJa@hcfl.gov)>; Drapach, Alan <[DrapachA@hcfl.gov](mailto:DrapachA@hcfl.gov)>  
**Subject:** RZ-PD 25-0371 - Design Exception Review (1 of 2)

Hello Mike,

The attached Design Exceptions are Approvable to me, please include the following people in your response email:

[shenry@lincks.com](mailto:shenry@lincks.com)  
[kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com](mailto:kami.corbett@hwhlaw.com)  
[kelly.love@clearviewland.com](mailto:kelly.love@clearviewland.com)  
[follinj@hcfl.gov](mailto:follinj@hcfl.gov)  
[ratliffja@hcfl.gov](mailto:ratliffja@hcfl.gov)  
[drapacha@hcfl.gov](mailto:drapacha@hcfl.gov)

Best Regards,

**Sheida L. Tirado, PE**  
**Transportation Review & Site Intake Manager**

Development Services Department

E: [TiradoS@HCFL.gov](mailto:TiradoS@HCFL.gov)  
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602  
**[HCFL.gov](http://HCFL.gov)**

[Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

**Hillsborough County Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.



# Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews

## Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Eleonor de Leon at [deleone@HCFL.gov](mailto:deleone@HCFL.gov) or via telephone at (813) 307-1707 if you have questions about how to complete this form.

<b>Request Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Manual Design Exception Request <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Parking Plan Request (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G3.) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Determination of Required Parking for Unlisted Uses (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G.1. and G.2.)
<b>Submittal Type</b> (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revised Request <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Information
<b>Submittal Number and Description/Running History</b> (check one and complete text box using instructions provided below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Saffold Road - Substandard Road <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 6.
<b>Important:</b> To help staff differentiate multiple requests (whether of the same or different type), please use the above fields to assign a unique submittal number/name to each separate request. Previous submittals relating to the same project/phase shall be listed using the name and number previously identified. It is critical that the applicant reference this unique name in the request letter and subsequent filings/correspondence. If the applicant is revising or submitting additional information related to a previously submitted request, then the applicant would check the number of the previous submittal.	
<b>Project Name/ Phase</b> Council Growers <b>Important:</b> The name selected must be used on all future communications and submittals of additional/revised information relating to this variance. If request is specific to a discrete phase, please also list that phase.	
<b>Folio Number(s)</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check This Box If There Are More Than Five Folio Numbers <b>Important:</b> List all folios related to the project, up to a maximum of five. If there are additional folios, check the box to indicate such. Folio numbers must be provided in the format provided by the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's website (i.e. 6 numbers, followed by a hyphen, followed by 4 additional numbers, e.g. "012345-6789"). Multiple records should be separated by a semicolon and a space e.g. "012345-6789; 054321-9876").	
<b>Name of Person Submitting Request</b> Steven J. Henry, P.E. <b>Important:</b> All Administrative Variances (AV) and Design Exceptions (DE) must be Signed and Sealed by a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed in the State of Florida.	
<b>Current Property Zoning Designation</b> <b>Important:</b> For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional – 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at <a href="https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html">https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html</a> . For additional assistance, please contact the <a href="#">Zoning Counselors</a> at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.	
<b>Pending Zoning Application Number</b> MM 25-0371 <b>Important:</b> If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number preceded by the case type prefix, otherwise type "N/A" or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.	
<b>Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)</b> N/A <b>Important:</b> This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".	

June 12, 2025

Mr. Michael Williams, PE  
County Engineer Development Review Director  
Hillsborough County Government  
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Council Growers

MM 25-0371

Folio Numbers: 079702.0002, 079702.0000, 279691.0000, 079691.0010,  
279693.0000, 079692.0000, 079698.0010, 079698.0000,  
079699.0000, 079852.0000, 079852.0010, 079700.0000

Lincks Project # 19119

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet the Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L - Substandard Roadways of the Hillsborough County Land Development for Saffold Road from the eastern property line to CR 579. The project is located west of CR 579 and north of Saffold Road. The developer proposes to modify the existing Planned Development to allow the following land uses:

- 2,000 Single Family Homes
- 300 Townhomes
- 1,000 Student Elementary School

Tables 1, 2, and 3 provide the trip generation for the project.

The access to serve the project is proposed to be as follows:

- Two (2) full accesses to CR 579
- The extension of the Berry Grove Boulevard from its current terminus to CR 579 (Roundabout)
- One (1) full access to Saffold Road
- Extension of West Lake Drive north to tie into West Lake Drive north of the TECO easement

According to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, Saffold Road is a collector roadway.

Based on the evaluation of Saffold Road, there is not sufficient right of way to improve Saffold Road to TS-7 standards. Therefore, a Design Exception is requested for Saffold

5023 West Laurel Street  
Tampa, FL 33607  
813 289 0039 Telephone  
8133 287 0674 Telefax  
[www.Lincks.com](http://www.Lincks.com) Website



Road. The roadway is broken down into segments based on ownership, right of way, and existing/future development.

#### Segment A

This section is from the western property boundary to CR 579 where the subject property has frontage along Saffold Road. See Typical Section A for the section along the segment.

1. Right of Way – TS-7 has 96 feet of right of way. The right of way along the segment of Saffold Road varies between approximately 57 feet and 89 feet. The developer has committed to providing 48 feet of right of way measured from the centerline of Saffold Road along the northern portion of Saffold Road where they own property adjacent to Saffold Road.
2. Lane Width – TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The proposed section is to maintain the existing lanes at 10 feet. Due to limited right of way, 12 foot lanes cannot be provided.
3. Shoulder – TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The proposed section has 6 foot shoulder with 5 feet paved.
4. Sidewalk – TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. A 10 foot sidewalk is proposed along the north side of Saffold Road. The 10 foot sidewalk will transition to the 5 foot sidewalk within Segment B.

#### Segment B

This section is along the portion of Saffold Road that the developer does not own any property. See Typical Section B for the section along the segment.

1. Right of Way – TS-7 has 96 feet of right of way. The right of way along the segment of Saffold Road varies between 71 feet to 89 feet.
2. Lane Width – TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The proposed section is to maintain the existing lanes at 10 feet. Due to limited right of way, 12 foot lanes cannot be provided.
3. Shoulder – TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The proposed section has 6 foot stabilized shoulder.
4. Sidewalk – TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. A 5 foot sidewalk is proposed along the north side of Saffold Road.


The proposed Design Exception for Saffold Road furthers the public health, safety and welfare by providing a continuous sidewalk along the section of the roadway. This increases the pedestrian safety along the roadway and furthers the Vision Zero goals for Hillsborough County.

Mr. Mike Williams  
June 12, 2025  
Page 3

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry  
President  
Lincks & Associates, LLC  
A TMC Company  
P.E. #51555



---

**Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:**

\_\_\_\_\_ **Disapproved**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **Approved**  
\_\_\_\_\_ **Approved with Conditions**

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E., (813) 276-8364, [TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org](mailto:TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org).

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams  
Hillsborough County Engineer

TABLE 1  
ESTIMATED DAILY TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily Trip Ends</u>	<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>	<u>External Trip Ends</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	3,930	218	3,712
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	2,236	77	2,159
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	<u>2,270</u>	<u>958</u>	<u>1,312</u>
			Sub-Total	8,436	1,253	7,183
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	<u>11,950</u>	<u>663</u>	<u>11,287</u>
	Total			20,386	1,916	18,470

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2021.

(2) Internal Capture

• School Internal

422/1,000 x 2,270 = 958

TABLE 2  
ESTIMATED AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	ITE <u>Land Use Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>AM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)</u>			<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>			<u>New External AM Peak Hour Trip Ends</u>		
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	70	211	281	32	38	70	38	173	211
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's	38	112	150	12	14	26	26	98	124
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	400	340	740	169	144	313	231	196	427
			Sub-Total	508	663	1,171	213	196	409	295	467	762
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	215	642	857	100	117	217	115	525	640
			Total	723	1,305	2,028	313	313	626	410	992	1,402

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.

(2) Internal Capture

- School Internal
  - In -  $422/1000 \times 400 = 169$
  - Out -  $422/1000 \times 340 = 144$

TABLE 3  
ESTIMATED PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIP ENDS

<u>Location</u>	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>ITE Land Use Code</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>PM Peak Hour Trip Ends (1)</u>			<u>Internal Trip Ends</u>			<u>New External PM Peak Hour Trip Ends</u>		
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>
North	Single Family	210	495 DU's	259	152	411	8	7	15	251	145	396
				104	72	176	3	3	6	101	69	170
	Townhomes	215	300 DU's									
	Elementary	520	1,000 Students	74	86	160	31	36	67	43	50	93
	Sub-Total			437	310	747	42	46	88	395	264	659
South	Single Family	210	1,505 DU's	787	462	1,249	25	21	46	762	441	1,203
				1,224	772	1,996	67	67	134	1,157	705	1,862
	Total											


(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition.


(2) Internal Capture

- School Internal  
In - 422/1000 x 74 = 31  
Out - 422/1000 x 86 = 36




## SEGMENTS GRAPHIC





**TYPICAL SECTION  
SEGMENT A  
SAFFOLD ROAD**



**TYPICAL SECTION  
SEGMENT B  
SAFFOLD ROAD**

## APPENDIX



LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A **TMC** Company

PD PLAN







HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS  
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A **TMC** Company

# HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Infrastructure & Development Services



## Legend

### Functional Classifications


#### Authority Classification

- State, Principal Arterial
- State, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Collector
- Urban Service Area Boundary
- City Limits

The Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map will be used in all matters in the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) that relate to functional classification of roads. Some, but not all, examples of those matters are as follows:

- PART 3.02.00 INTERSTATE-75 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 3.03.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 5.03.00 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
- PART 6.02.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
- PART 6.07.00 FENCES AND WALLS
- PART 12.01.00 DEFINITIONS
- OTHER PARTS OF THE LDC NOT LISTED ABOVE

In addition to subject matters in the LDC, Functional Classification of roads plays an important role in administering the Residential Traffic Control Program and the Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program.




NOTE: Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of this map. Hillsborough County does not warrant the accuracy of the map. The map is for informational purposes only and should not be used for any other expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

SOURCE: This map has been prepared for the inventory of real property found within Hillsborough County and is based on the most current information available. The map is not a legal document and should not be used for any other purpose. Users of the map are hereby notified that the informational public primary information sources should be consulted for verification of the information contained on this map.

801 E. Kennedy Blvd  
Tampa, FL 33602  
(813) 272-5610  
printroom@hillsboroughcounty.org


Date: 10/17/2018 File: W:\GIS\PROJECTS\Roadway Functional Map\Map\Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map.mxd



TS-7



LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A **TMC** Company



### TYPICAL SECTION

N.T.S.

FOR LESS THAN 10,000 AADT

MAX. ALLOWABLE DESIGN SPEED – 50 MPH

1. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MINIMUM.
2. SEE APPROPRIATE SECTIONS OF TECHNICAL MANUAL FOR DESIGN PARAMETERS.
- \* 3. PROVIDE 2' MINIMUM CLEARANCE FROM FENCES, WALLS, HEDGES, ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS, DROP OFFS, OR FROM THE TOPS OF BANKS WITH SLOPES STEEPER THAN 1 TO 4, THAT INTERFERE WITH THE SAFE, FUNCTIONAL USE OF THE SIDEWALK. INTERMITTENT ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES, OR MATURE TREES, 2' OR LESS IN DIAMETER MAY BE PLACED IN THIS 2' STRIP AS FAR FROM THE SIDEWALK AS POSSIBLE, IF NOT IN THE CLEAR ZONE.
4. SOD SHALL BE PLACED IN TWO ROWS STAGGERED. (BOTH TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT)
5. PAVED SHOULDER TO BE STRIPED AS A DESIGNATED BIKE LANE, AS APPROPRIATE.

REVISION DATE:  
10/17

### TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL MANUAL



**Hillsborough  
County Florida**

### LOCAL & COLLECTOR RURAL ROADS (2 LANE UNDIVIDED) TYPICAL SECTION

DRAWING NO. **TS-7**

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1



## SAFFOLD ROAD ASSESSMENT



LINCKS & ASSOCIATES, A **TMC** Company

## Saffold Road

### Special Field Survey for Substandard Road Assessment

Limits of Survey: 4400 Saffold Road to CR 759

Date of Survey: 11-05-22

By: WLR & DZS

Type of Road: Two lane, crown, asphalt

Pav't cond.: Fair to poor

Shoulder cond.: Good to poor, some erosion

Swales: swales both sides, most of the segment

#### Notes:

1. Left and right slopes are measured away from the pavement line, crown, invert crown, centerline or median that separates opposing traffic. Slopes down to the left and right from any of those dividing features are negative, slopes up are positive.
2. Measured Lane Pavement Width is edge of pavement to edge of pavement, including any paved shoulders. Minimum, Maximum and Average Lane Width values are lane widths without shoulders
3. Nominal dimensions for shoulders are when there is no discrete separation between shoulder and front slope and the minimum required shoulder is used as a nominal shoulder.
4. Most traffic signs are 6' to 10' from EOP and are breakaway
5. No traffic counts available. Using 6' shoulder. FDOT greenbook allows a max. of 12% slope. See Summary Page for existing shoulder widths and slopes.
6. Saffold Road classified as a local road on Hillsborough County Map.
7. Hillsborough Transportation Manual for Subdivision and Site Development Projects Section 3.1 requires 12' lanes for commercial rural roads without bike lanes or paved shoulders. See Summary Page for existing lane widths
8. There are no Traffic Control Poles or devices. All Light Poles, Utility Poles, and Trees are outside of the Clear Zone. Some Mailboxes are within the Clear Zone. See Field Survey.

#### Speed Limits and Clear Zone Distances

Road Jurisdiction:

☐ FDOT

☒ Hillsborough County

☐ Pasco County

Left Lanes					Right Lanes				
Type of Lane: Through (T), Through Curbed (TC), Auxiliary (A), or Auxiliary Curbed (AC)	Begin Station	End Station	Speed Limit (mph)	Clear Zone	Type of Lane: Through (T), Through Curbed (TC), Auxiliary (A), or Auxiliary Curbed (AC)	Begin Station	End Station	Speed Limit (mph)	Clear Zone
T	0+00	69+63	35	6'	T	0+00	69+63	35	6'

## Summaries of Widths and Slopes for Pavement, Shoulders and Side Slopes

Lane Pavement Width and Slopes		
Left Slope	Width	Right Slope
Minimum:	20.0'	-4.6%
Maximum:	21.0'	-1.6%
Average:	20.4'	-3.2%

Average values calculated from trimmed mean values (without outliers)

Shoulders Width and Slopes			
Left Width	Left Slope	Right Width	Right Slope
Minimum:	6.0'	4.0%	2.0%
Maximum:	11.0'	13.0%	13.0%
Average:	6.7'	9.4%	6.6%

### LEFT Side Slopes

Front Slope 1 Width	Front Slope 1 Slope	Front Slope 2 Width	Front Slope 2 Slope	Bottom Width	Back Slope 1 Width	Back Slope 1 Slope	Back Slope 2 Width	Back Slope 2 Slope
Minimum:	4'	7%		0'	5'	5%	~	14%
Maximum:	11'	22%		6'	12'	64%	~	14%
Average:	6'	11.4%		1'	8'	27.1%	~	14.0%

~ = Slope continues beyond limits of survey

### RIGHT Side Slopes

Front Slope 1 Width	Front Slope 1 Slope	Front Slope 2 Width	Front Slope 2 Slope	Bottom Width	Back Slope 1 Width	Back Slope 1 Slope	Back Slope 2 Width	Back Slope 2 Slope
Minimum:	2'	2%		0'	7'	5%		
Maximum:	6'	20%		5'	14'	10%		
Average:	4'	9.3%		1'	11'	7.2%		

~ = Slope continues beyond limits of survey

### LEFT Slope Maximums

Front slope			Back slope	
Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone	33%	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone
25%	7	7	33%	50%
7	0	0	7	7
0	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%

Maximum Allowed:  
Number of Sections:  
Sections Exceeding:  
Percent Exceeding:

### RIGHT Slope Maximums

Front slope			Back slope	
Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone	33%	Inside Clear Zone	Outside Clear Zone
25%	7	7	33%	50%
7	0	0	7	7
0	0	0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Maximum Allowed:  
Number of Sections:  
Sections Exceeding:  
Percent Exceeding:




## Field Survey

Station	Left Slopes and Swales			Left Shoulder		Lane Pavement		Right Shoulder		Right Slopes and Swales		
	Back Slope (width/slope)	Bottom (width)	Front Slope (width/slope)	Total/Paved/ Slope		Left Slope	Width	Right Slope	Total/Paved/ Slope	Front Slope (width/slope)	Bottom (width)	Back Slope (width/slope)
0+00	7'/64%, ~/-14%	6'	11'/-7%	11'/0'/-4%		0.5%	21.0'	-3.1%	*6'/0'/-5%	6'/-10%	0'	7'/7%
LT Woods 35' LT												
RT U.P. 24' RT												
Notes 0+00 set at beginning of curve to the left, pav't and shoulders in curve in poor condition with erosion and patches. Curve too tight.												
4+00	9'/27%	3'	5'/-22%	6'/0'/-11%		-2.5%	20.0'	-3.6%	*6'/0'/-13%	2'/-13%	5'	8'/8%
LT M.B. 8' LT												
RT 24" oak 19' RT, 4' WF 21' RT												
Notes 10+66 72" CMP culvert, HDW LT 7', RT 10', asph. Fair (long. & trav. Cracks)												
12+00	~/-8%	0'	4'/-9%	*6'/0'/-9%		-5.8%	20.2'	-2.8%	*6'/0'/-7%	6'/-7%	0'	12'/6%
LT												
RT												
Notes												
20+00	8'/42%	0'	6'/9%	*6'/0'/-9%		-4.2%	20.4'	-3.3%	*6'/0'/-6%	4'/-6%	0'	13'/10%
LT U.P. 18' LT, Trees 20' LT												
RT 4' BWF 23' RT												
Notes												
28+00	5'/30%	0'	4'/-10%	*6'/0'/-10%		-3.9%	20.5'	-1.6%	*6'/0'/-2%	~/-2%		
LT M.B.s 4' LT, U.P. 14' LT, Trees 16' LT												
RT 4' BWF 22' RT												
Notes												
44+00	7'/14%	0'	4'/-10%	*6'/0'/-10%		-5.0%	20.4'	-3.3%	7'/0'/-6%	4'/-20%	0'	14'/5%
LT												
RT												
Notes												
60+00	12'/5%	0'	5'/-13%	*6'/0'/-13%		-2.5%	20.6'	-4.6%	*6'/0'/-7%	~/-7%		
LT 6' Wood Fence 23' LT												
RT 4' BWF 25' RT												
Notes												
69+63 to End of Segment at Centerline Intersection with CR579												
LT												
RT												
Notes												




# Saffold Road Aerial & Stationing



Stations in 500 ft increments





**Hillsborough County  
City-County  
Planning Commission**

**Plan Hillsborough**  
[planhillsborough.org](http://planhillsborough.org)  
[planner@plancom.org](mailto:planner@plancom.org)  
813 – 272 – 5940  
601 E Kennedy Blvd  
18<sup>th</sup> floor  
Tampa, FL, 33602

Unincorporated Hillsborough County Rezoning Consistency Review	
<b>Hearing Date:</b> July 21, 2025 <b>Report Prepared:</b> July 10, 2025	<b>Case Number:</b> PD 25-0469 <b>Folio(s):</b> 79453.0000, 79456.0000 & 79455.0100 <b>General Location:</b> North of Saffold Road and south of State Road 674
<b>Comprehensive Plan Finding</b>	<b>CONSISTENT</b>
<b>Adopted Future Land Use</b>	Residential-4 (4 du/ga; 0.25 FAR)
<b>Service Area</b>	Urban
<b>Community Plan(s)</b>	SouthShore Areawide Systems
<b>Rezoning Request</b>	Planned Development (PD) to allow development developments of 1,600 single-family detached lots and/or townhomes.
<b>Parcel Size</b>	506.37 ± acres
<b>Street Functional Classification</b>	Saffold Road – <b>County Collector</b> State Road 674 – <b>State Principal Arterial</b>
<b>Commercial Locational Criteria</b>	N/A
<b>Evacuation Area</b>	None

Table 1: COMPARISON OF SURROUNDING PROPERTIES			
Vicinity	Future Land Use Designation	Zoning	Existing Land Use
Subject Property	Residential-4	PD	Single Family Residential + Agriculture
North	Residential-4	PD + AR	Vacant + Agriculture
South	Agricultural Rural-1/5 + Natural Preservation + Residential-4	AR	Single Family Residential + HOA Property + Public/Quasi-Public/Institutions
East	Natural Preservation + Agricultural/Mining-1/20 + Agricultural-1/10	AR + AM + A	Public/Quasi-Public/Institutions + Agriculture
West	Residential-4 + Residential-6	AR + PD	Single Family Residential + HOA Property + Agriculture + Public/Quasi-Public/Institutions

**Staff Analysis of Goals, Objectives and Policies:**

The 506.37 ± acre subject site is located north of Saffold Road and south of State Road 674. The subject site is in the Urban Service Area and is within the limits of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Community Plan. The applicant requests a Planned Development (PD) to allow development of 1,600 single family detached lots and/or townhomes (with a maximum of 200 townhomes) and a 1,620 student K-8 school. According to the revised request, which was uploaded into Optix on June 30, 2025, there will be a maximum of 630 dwelling units permitted to the west of County Road 579 and 970 dwelling units permitted to the east of County Road 579.

On November 7, 2024, the Hillsborough County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) approved the map and text amendments, HC/CPA 24-12 and HC/CPA 24-13, a request to expand the Urban Service Area and change the Future Land Use designation from Wimauma Village Residential-2 (WVR-2) to Residential-4 (RES-4), to the Hillsborough Comprehensive Plan include PD-23-0041 land areas in the Urban Service Area (USA) and Residential-4 Future Land Use Category (RES-4). Hillsborough County has transmitted this information to the State Land Planning Agency and other state review agencies in accordance with Florida Statutes. The BOCC final adoption hearing was January 9, 2025. The applicant acknowledges the approval of this zoning application is contingent upon BOCC adoption hearing for the pending comprehensive plan amendments.

The subject site is in the Urban Service Area where, according to Objective 1.1 of the Future Land Use Section (FLUS), 80 percent of the county's growth is to be directed. Policy 3.1.3 requires all new developments to be compatible with the surrounding area, noting that "compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development." The subject site consists of agriculture and single-family uses. There are single-family uses and agriculture to the west, northwest, and southwest. To the east, northeast and southeast are Public/Quasi-Public/Institution uses and agriculture. The proposal meets the intent of FLUS Objective 1.1 and FLUS Policy 3.1.3.

Per Objective 2.2, Future Land Use categories outline the maximum level of intensity or density and range of permitted land uses allowed in each category. Table 2.2 contains a description of the character and intent permitted in each of the Future Land Use categories. The subject site is in the Residential-4 (RES-4) Future Land Use category. RES-4 allows for the consideration of residential, suburban scale neighborhood commercial, office uses and multi-purpose projects.

The Comprehensive Plan requires that all development meet or exceed the land development regulations in Hillsborough County (FLUS Objective 4.1, FLUS Policy 4.1.1 and FLUS Policy 4.1.2). However, at the time of uploading this report, Transportation comments were not yet available in Optix and thus were not taken into consideration for analysis of this request.

The proposal does meet the intent of FLUS Objective 4.4 and FLUS Policy 4.4.1 that require new development to be compatible to the surrounding neighborhood. In this case, the surrounding land use pattern is comprised mostly of agriculture, public/quasi-public/institution and single-family uses. FLUS Policy 4.4.1 states that any density or intensity increases shall be compatible with existing, proposed or planned surrounding development. Development and redevelopment shall be integrated with the adjacent land uses through the creation of like uses, the creation of complementary uses, mitigation of adverse impacts, transportation/pedestrian connections and gradual transition of intensity. The proposed residential development would complement the surrounding area and meets the intent of FLUS Objective 4.4 and FLUS Policy 4.4.1.

The site is located within the limits of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Community Plan. Goal 1 of the Cultural/Historic Objective of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Community Plan, which seeks to promote sustainable growth and development that is clustered and well planned to preserve the area's environment, cultural identity and livability. The request meets the intent of Goal 1 of the Cultural/Historic Objective of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Community Plan outlined in the Livable Communities Element.

Overall, staff finds that the proposed Planned Development is compatible with the existing development pattern found within the surrounding area and does support the vision of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Community Plan. The proposed Planned Development would allow for development that is consistent with the Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan*.

### **Recommendation**

Based upon the above considerations and the following Goals, Objectives and Policies, Planning Commission staff finds the proposed Planned Development **CONSISTENT** with the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan*, subject to the proposed conditions by the Development Services Department.

---

## **FUTURE LAND USE SECTION**

### **Urban Service Area**

**Objective 1.1:** Hillsborough County shall pro-actively direct new growth into the urban service area with the goal that at least 80% of all population growth will occur within the USA during the planning horizon of this Plan. Within the Urban Service Area, Hillsborough County will not impede agriculture. Building permit activity and other similar measures will be used to evaluate this objective.

### **Compatibility**

**Policy 3.1.3:** Compatibility is defined as the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include the following: height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean “the same as.” Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development.

### **Land Use Categories**

**Objective 2.2:** The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) shall identify Land Use Categories summarized in the table below, that establish permitted land uses and maximum densities and intensities.

**Policy 2.2.1:** The character of each land use category is defined by building type, residential density, functional use, and the physical composition of the land. The integration of these factors sets the general atmosphere and character of each land use category. Each category has a range of potentially permissible uses which are not exhaustive, but are intended to be illustrative of the character of uses permitted within the land use designation. Not all of those potential uses are routinely acceptable anywhere within that land use category.

### **Relationship to Land Development Regulations**

**Objective 4.1:** All existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations as per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.

**Policy 4.1.1:** Each land use plan category shall have a set of zoning districts that may be permitted within that land use plan category, and development shall not be approved for zoning that is inconsistent with the plan.

**Policy 4.1.2:** Developments must meet or exceed the requirements of all land development regulations as established and adopted by Hillsborough County, the state of Florida and the federal government unless such requirements have been previously waived by those governmental bodies.

## **Neighborhood/Community Development**

**Objective 4.4: Neighborhood Protection** – *The neighborhood is the functional unit of community development. There is a need to protect existing, neighborhoods and communities and those that will emerge in the future. To preserve, protect, and enhance neighborhoods and communities, all new development must conform to the following policies.*

**Policy 4.4.1:** *Development and redevelopment shall be integrated with the adjacent land uses through:*

- a) the creation of like uses; or*
- b) creation of complementary uses; or*
- c) mitigation of adverse impacts; and*
- d) transportation/pedestrian connections*

## **LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ELEMENT: SOUTHSORE AREAWIDE SYSTEMS PLAN**

### **Cultural/Historic Objective**

*The SouthShore region of Hillsborough County supports a diverse population with people living in unique communities, interspersed with farms, natural areas, open spaces and greenways that preserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage.*

### **The community desires to:**

- 1. Promote sustainable growth and development that is clustered and well planned to preserve the area's environment, cultural identity and livability.*



FIGURE 3

UNINCORPORATED HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY  
PROPOSED FUTURE LAND USE  
HC RZ-PD 24-0469  
RESIDENTIAL - 4

LEGEND

- PLAN AMENDMENT BOUNDARY
- HILLSBOROUGH FUTURE LAND USE
  - AGRICULTURAL/MIXING-1/20
  - PEC PLANNED ENVIRONMENTAL COMMUNITY-1/2
  - AGRICULTURAL-1/10
  - AGRICULTURAL/RURAL-1/5
  - AGRICULTURAL ESTATE 1/2.5
  - RESIDENTIAL-1
  - RESIDENTIAL-2
  - RESIDENTIAL PLANNED-2
  - RESIDENTIAL-4
  - RESIDENTIAL-6
  - RESIDENTIAL-9
  - RESIDENTIAL-12
  - RESIDENTIAL-16
  - RESIDENTIAL-20
  - RESIDENTIAL-35
  - NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED USE-4 (3)
  - SUBURBAN MIXED USE-6
  - COMMUNITY MIXED USE-12
  - URBAN MIXED USE-20
  - REGIONAL MIXED USE-35
  - INNOVATION CORRIDOR MIXED USE-35
  - OFFICE COMMERCIAL-20
  - RESEARCH CORPORATE PARK
  - ENERGY INDUSTRIAL PARK
  - LIGHT INDUSTRIAL PLANNED
  - LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
  - HEAVY INDUSTRIAL
  - PUBLIC/QUASI-PUBLIC
  - NATURAL PRESERVATION
  - WIMAUMA VILLAGE RESIDENTIAL-2
  - CITRUS PARK VILLAGE
- ROADS AND BOUNDARY LINES
  - JURISDICTION BOUNDARY
  - COUNTY BOUNDARY
  - URBAN SERVICE AREA
  - TAMPA SERVICE AREA
  - COASTAL HIGH HAZARD AREA

FUTURE LAND USE: Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission  
 PARCELS: Hillsborough County Property Appraiser  
 JURISDICTION BOUNDARIES: 1980 South County City-County Planning Commission  
 URBAN SERVICE AREA BOUNDARIES: Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission  
 ACCURACY: Established the accuracy of the base map with U.S. National Accuracy Standards. However, the map is not a survey and should not be used for legal purposes. For more information, please contact the City-County Planning Commission.

