Hillsborough
County Florida
Development Services Department

### 1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY

Applicant:

FLU Category:
SMU-6

Service Area:

Site Acreage:
17.04

Community Plan Area: Riverview
Overlay:
None


## Introduction Summary:

This is a request to rezone three parcels to Planned Development (PD) to develop multi-family housing on the property. Two development options with differing residential dwelling types are proposed in the request to give the applicant flexibility. Option one proposes townhome dwellings, each on their own platted lot and option two proposes Cottage-Style multifamily dwellings developed on a single lot. The applicant will utilize the Residential Infill Density Bonus described in Objective 23 of the Future Land Use Plan to allow for a total of 123 dwelling units.

| Zoning: | Existing |  |  | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District(s) | AR | PD 18-1457 | RSC-6 MH | PD 23-0992 |
| Typical General Use(s) | Single-Family <br> Residential/Agricultural | Single-Family <br> Residential <br> (Conventional Only) | Single-Family Residential <br> (Conventional/Mobile <br> Home) | Multi-familyresidential |
| Acreage | $\sim 6.8$ | $\sim 9.6$ | $\sim .50$ | $\sim 16.91$ |
| Density/Intensity | $217,800 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{DU}$ | $5.93 \mathrm{DU} / \mathrm{GA}$ | $7,000 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{DU}$ | $7.21 \mathrm{DU} / \mathrm{GA}$ |
| Mathematical <br> Maximum* | 1 DU | 57 DU | 0 DU | 122 DU |

*number represents a pre-development approximation

| Development <br> Standards: | Existing |  |  | Proposed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District(s) | AR | PD $18-1457$ | RSC-6 MH | PD 23-0992 <br> Townhomes | PD 23-0992 <br> Cottage StyleMulti-Family |
| Lot Size/LotWidth | 217,800 sf/ | $150^{\prime}$ | 5,000 sf $/ 50^{\prime}$ | 7,000 sf | 1,440 sf/16' |


| Additional Information: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PD Variation(s) | None requested as part of this application |
| Waiver(s) tothe Land Development Code | None requested as part of this application |

Planning Commission Recommendation:
Consistent

Development Services Recommendation:
Approvable, subject to proposed conditions

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.1 Vicinity Map


VICINITY MAP
RZ-PD 23-0992
Folio: 77076.0000, 77169.1202, 77169.1204 , Portion of 77169.1002 Portion of 77169.1164


APPLICATION SITE
RAlLROADS
SCHOOLS
PARKS


STR: 29-30-20

x






Context of Surrounding Area:
The $16.91+/-$ acre site is located on the north side of Symmes Road and is approximately 820 feet to west of US Highway 301. The site is in the Urban Service Area and within the Riverview Community Planning Area. It is primarily surrounded by mobile homes, single-family homes, and commercial uses located at the intersection of Symmes and US Highway 301 to the east. Adjacent to the east lies a stormwater pond maintained by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.2 Future Land Use Map



| Subject Site Future Land Use Category: | Suburban Mixed Use- 6 (SMU- 6) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Density/F.A.R.: | 6.0 dwelling units per gross acre |
| Typical Uses: | Residential, suburban scale neighborhood commercial, office uses, <br> research corporate park uses, light industrial multi-purpose and clustered <br> residential and/or mixed-use projects at appropriate locations. |
| Neighborhood Commercial uses shall meet locational criteria or be part of <br> larger mixed use planned development. Office uses are not subject to <br> locational criteria. |  |

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.3 Immediate Area Map



ZONING MAP
RZ-PD 23-0992
Folio: 77076.0000, 77169.1202, 77169.1204, Portion of 77169.1002 , Portion of 77169.1164


STR: 29-30-20

 (ix)


Procuced By : Deveiosment Serices Deparment

| Location: | Zoning: | Mdjacent Zonings and Uses <br> Density/F.A.R. <br> Permitted by Zoning <br> District: | Allowable Use: | Existing Use: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | PD 02-1239 | 90 dwelling units (3.81 <br> du/acre) | Single-family <br> conventional, mobile <br> homes | single-family conventional |
| South | AS- 1, <br> RSC-3, <br> PD 13-0121 | 1.0 du/acre <br> .33 du/acre <br> $(4.28$ du/acre) | Single-family <br> conventional, mobile <br> homes | Single-family conventional, <br> mobile home, church |
| East | RSC-6 (MH) <br> RSC-9 (MH) <br> AR | .16 du/acre <br> .11 du/acre <br> .20 du/acre | Single-family <br> conventional, mobile <br> homes | Mobile Homes |
| West | RSC-6 (MH) | .16 du/acre | Single-family <br> conventional, mobile <br> homes | Mobile Homes |

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.4 Proposed Site Plan (partial provided belowfor size a nd orientation purposes. See Section 8.0 for full site plan)



### 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9.0 OF STAFF REPORT)

| Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Classification | Current Conditions | Select Future Improvements |
| Symmes Rd. | Country Collector - <br> Rural | 2 Lanes <br> 区Substandard Road <br> $\square$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
| Missouri Ave. | County Local Rural | 8 Lanes Substandard Road Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |

Project Trip Generation

|  | Average Annual Daily Trips | A.M. Peak Hour Trips | P.M. Peak Hour Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing | 604 | 47 | 63 |
| Proposed | 887 | 59 | 70 |
| Difference $(+/ 1)$ | +283 | +12 | +7 |

*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

| Connectivity and Cross Access |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Boundary | Primary Access | Additional <br> Connectivity/Access | Cross Access | Finding |
| North |  | None | None | Meets LDC |
| South | x | None | None | Meets LDC |
| East | None | None | Meets LDC |  |
| West | None | None | Meets LDC |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |

## Design Exception/Administrative Variance

| Road Name/Nature of Request | Type | Finding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Symmes Rd./Substandard Roadway | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
| Missouri Ave./Substandard Roadway | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
| Notes: |  |  |

### 4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION \& AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY

| Environmental: | Comments Received | Objections | Conditions <br> Requested | Additional Information/Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Protection Commission | $\begin{aligned} & \boxtimes \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \square \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boxtimes \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \square \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Natural Resources | $\begin{aligned} & \boxtimes \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \square \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boxtimes \text { Yes } \\ & \square \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Conservation \& Environ. Lands Mgmt. | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Check if Applicable: Wetlands/Other Surface Waters Use of Environmentally Sensitive Land Credit Wellhead Protection Area Surface Water Resource Protection Area | Potable Water Wellfield Protection AreaSignificant Wildlife HabitatCoastal High Hazard AreaUrban/Suburban/Rural Scenic CorridorAdjacent to ELAPP propertyOther $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Public Facilities: | Comments Received | Objections | Conditions Requested | Additional Information/Comments |
| Transportation Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested <br> Off-site Improvements Provided | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | See Report |
| Service Area/ Water \& Wastewater | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Hillsborough County School Board <br> Adequate $\boxtimes K$ K-5 『6-8 『9-12 $\square N / A$ <br> Inadequate $\square K$ K-5 $\square 6-8 \quad \square 9-12 \quad \square N / A$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Impact/Mobility Fees <br> Townhouse (Fee estimate is based on a <br> Mobility: $\$ 6,661$ <br> Parks: \$1,957 <br> School: \$7,027 <br> Fire: $\$ 249$ <br> Total per Townhouse:\$15,894 <br> Multi-family (Fee estimate is based on a <br> Mobility: $\$ 6,661$ <br> Parks: \$1,555 <br> School: \$3,891 <br> Fire:\$249 | $500 \text { s.f., 1-2 }$ ,200 s.f., 1- | Story) <br> story) |  |  |

Total per unit Multi-family: $\$ 12,356$
Single Family Detached (Fee estimate is based on a 2,000 s.f.)
Mobility: \$9,183
Parks: \$2,145
School: \$8,227
Fire: $\$ 335$
Total per House: \$19,890

| Comprehensive Plan: | Comments <br> Received | Findings | Conditions <br> Requested | Additional <br> Information/Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning Commission |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Meets Locational Criteria $\quad \boxtimes \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ Inconsistent | $\square$ Yes |  |
| $\square$ Locational Criteria Waiver Requested | $\square$ No | $\boxtimes$ Consistent | $\boxtimes$ No |  |
| $\boxtimes$ Minimum Density Met $\quad \square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |  |  |

### 5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1 Compatibility

This is a request to rezone a 17.04-acre tract from Planned Development 18-1457, Residential Single-Family Conventional-6 MH (RSC-6 MH), and Agricultural Rural (AR) to a Planned Development to allow the construction of a multi-family development. This application includes two development options to permit residential townhomes or cottage-style multi-family at 6.0 dwelling units per acre. The Residential Infill Density bonus has been applied to the project to allow up to 123 units or 7.2 dwelling units per acre.

The subject property is located at 10508 Symmes Road and is within the Riverview Community Plan. The surrounding area is primarily residential in nature consisting of single-family conventional homes and mobile home neighborhoods at various densities. Commercial uses lie nearby to the east along US Highway 301 which includes convenience stores, a supermarket, restaurants, and other commercial uses.

The property is located within the Urban Service Area.
The applicant's Planned Development proposes two development options for multi-family development at a maximum of 123 dwelling units. Option one would develop townhomes on the property with a maximum height of 27' or 2stories. Townhome buildings would have up to 4 or 6 townhome units and would include garages. With this option, the property would be subdivided with a minimum lot size of $16^{\prime} \times 90^{\prime}$. Option two would be to develop Cottage-style homes. These would be free-standing structures and be either 1-, $2-$, or 3 -bedroom units at maximum building height of 20' or 1-story. Structures would include either one or two units, but the property would not be subdivided and would be developed as one property. Each cottage would have their own fenced backyard and be connected to sidewalks. For both options, a minimum $20^{\prime}$ building setback will be required along each property line.

The buffer and screening requirements proposed for the development follow the typical code requirements for multifamily developments and no variations or waivers were requested. The north, east, and western boundaries will have a $5^{\prime}$ Type A buffer and screening and the south boundary will have a $15^{\prime}$ Type B buffer along Symmes Road.

The subject property is designated as SMU-6 on the Future Land Use Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the Future Land Use Plan and is being supported by Planning Commission. Development Services does not have any compatibility concerns with the proposed Planned Development. The proposed residential density is appropriate to the area and is supported by nearby commercial uses. We find the buffer yard and screening standards will protect the adjacent residential uses from the proposed higher density development and will negate any potential negative impacts. The proposed maximum height for Development Option 1 is $27^{\prime}$. While the $2: 1$ setback requirement is not being met with this development option, the height is less than all standard residential zoning districts and represents the max height of the roofline. The applicant states the eaves of the structures will be lower. This will ensure the surrounding uses are not impacted by towering structures. In addition, the $2: 1$ setback requirement is being met in Development Option 2, which will have a maximum height of $20^{\prime}$.

### 5.2 Recommendation

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the proposed Planned Development district, subject to the conditions, approvable.

### 6.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

## Requirements prior to Certification:

1. In the Lot Development Standards table, setbacks and building separations for corner lots shall be amended to match the front yard setback.
2. Prior to site plan certification, developer replace site plan call outs stating " $+/-5.0^{\prime}$ RIGHT-OF-WAY CONVEYANCE AREA (SEE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL)" to " $+/-5.0^{\prime}$ RIGHT-OF-WAY CORRIDOR PERSERVATION AREA (SEE CONDTIONS OF APPROVAL)".

Approval-Approval of the request, subject to the conditions listed below, is based on the general site plan submitted January 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2024$.

1. The site shall be permitted two development options. Option one is approved for 123 multi-family townhome units; Option two is approved for 123 cottage-style multi-family units. The site shall be developed in accordance with the following design standards:
a. Option One - Townhomes

| Minimum Front Setback | 20 feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum Side Setback | 5 feet |
| Minimum Rear Setback | 15 feet |
| Minimum Building Perimeter Setback | 20 feet |
| Minimum Lot Size | 1,440 square feet |
| Minimum Lot Width | 16 feet |
| Maximum Building Height | 27 feet |

b. Option Two - Cottage-Style Multi-Family*

| Minimum Front Building Separation | 15 feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum Side Building Separation | 10 feet |
| Minimum Rear Building Separation | 20 feet |
| Minimum Building Perimeter Setback | 20 feet |
| Minimum Lot Size | NA |
| Minimum Unit Size | 550 square feet |
| Maximum Building Height | 20 feet |

*Cottage-Style Multi-Family shall be defined as free-standing units consisting of one, two, and three-bedroom units, with either one or two units attached. These cottages are not platted within individual lots and there is no minimum lot width or lot coverage standards; however, minimum building separation standards are included.
2. The development shall adhere to the following buffer and screening standards:
a. A $5^{\prime}$ Type A buffer shall be provided along the perimeter of the site, except along the southern boundary. Buffer shall include a 6 ' privacy fence.
b. A $15^{\prime}$ Type B Buffer shall be provided along Symmes Road
3. All setbacks and buffers along the southern boundary will be taken from the ROW preservation line, including the 15 ' landscape buffer.
4. Buffers will not be platted as part of the lots and will be a separate tract owned and maintained by the HOA or similar entity.
5. The project shall be permitted one access connection on Symmes Rd and one access connection to Missouri Ave. as depicted on the PD site plan.
6. If PD 23-0992 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated February 8, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Eng ineer (on February 9, 2024) for Missouri Ave. substandard road improvements. As Missouri Ave. is a substandard local roadway, the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the approved Design Exception:
a. Construct a TS-3 urban local roadway section from the project entrance to Poinsettia St. with a 5 -foot sidewalk along the south side.
b. Construct 5-foot sidewalk on the south side of the existing roadway section from Poinsettia St. to US Hwy 301.
7. If PD 23-0992 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated February 8, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on February 9, 2024) for Symmes Rd. substandard road improvements. As Symmes Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to construct a 10 -foot wide sidewalk along the project frontage.
8. The existing right of way in the vicinity of the project appears to be sufficient to accommodate the future 2lane enhanced facility identified in the County Corridor Preservation Plan. However, at the time of site development, the developer will need to verify if there is sufficient right of wayalong the project's frontage. If sufficient right of way exists, no additional right of way will be required to be preserved.
9. The segment of unimproved Missouri Ave, between the project access point and the intersection with Poinsettia St., to be improved shall not be accepted for maintenance by the County. As such, the developer shall assume maintenance of said segment of roadway in perpetuity.
10. Notwithstanding anything on the PD site plan or herein these conditions to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the project boundaries.
11. Construction access shall be limited to those locations shown on PD site plan which are also proposed vehicular access connections. The developer shall include a note in each site/constructions plan submittal which indicates same.
12. The presence of the wetlands to the northwest will not allow screening to be placed within them. Existing vegetation can be used to meet screening requirements, or if no vegetation or insufficient vegetation, screening to be placed outside of the wetland setback.
13. The subject property shall be subject to the parking requirements of Section 6.05.02 of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code.
14. Natural Resources staff identified a number of significant trees on the site including potential Grand Oaks. Every effort must be made to avoid the removal of and design the site around these trees. The site plan may be modified from the Certified Site Plan to avoid tree removal.
15. Wetlands or other surface waters are considered Environmentally Sensitive Areas and are subject to Conservation Area and Preservation Area setbacks. A minimum setback must be maintained around these areas which shall be designated on all future plan submittals. Only items explicitly stated in the condition of approval or items allowed per the LDC may be placed within the wetland setback. Proposed land alterations are restricted within the wetland setback areas.
16. Any interim agricultural operations shall not result in the destruction of trees or the natural plant community vegetation on the property. Any application to conduct land alteration activities on the property must be submitted to the Natural Resources Team of the Planning and Growth Management Department for review and approval. Use of the agricultural exemption provision to the Land Alteration regulations is prohibited.
17. Approval of this petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that Natural Resources approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impacts to trees, natural plant communities or wildlife habitat, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
18. The construction and location of any proposed environmental impacts are not approved by this rezoning application but shall be reviewed by Natural Resources staff through the site and subdivision development
plan process pursuant to the Land Development Code.
19. Approval of this zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impact to wetlands, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
20. The construction and location of any proposed wetland impacts are not approved by this correspondence but shall be reviewed by EPC staff under separate application pursuant to the EPC Wetlands rule detailed in Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC, (Chapter 1-11) to determine whether such impacts are necessary to accomplish reasonable use of the subject property.
21. Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the approved wetland/ other surface water (OSW) line must be incorporated into the site plan. The wetland/ OSW line must appear on all site plans, labeled as "EPC Wetland Line", and the wetland must be labeled as "Wetland Conservation Area" pursuant to the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).
22. Final design of buildings, stormwater retention areas, and ingress/egresses are subject to change pending formal agency jurisdictional determinations of wetland and other surface water boundaries and approval by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
23. If the notes and/or graphics on the site plan are in conflict with specific zoning conditions and/or the Land Development Code (LDC) regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall apply, unless specifically conditioned otherwise. References to development standards of the LDC in the above-stated conditions shall be interpreted as the regulations in effect at the time of preliminary site plan/plat approval.
24. The development of the project shall proceed in strict accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the Development Order, the General Site Plan, the land use conditions contained herein, and all applicable rules, regulations, and ordinances of Hillsborough County.
25. In accordance with LDCSection 5.03.07.C, the certified PD general site plan shall expire for the internal transportation network and external access points, as well as for any conditions related to the internal transportation network and external access points, if site construction plans, or equivalent thereof, have not been approved for all or part of the subject Planned Development within 5 years of the effective date of the PD unless an extension is granted as provided in the LDC. Upon expiration, re-certification of the PD General Site Plan shall be required in accordance with provisions set forth in LDC Section 5.03.07.C.

| Zoning Administrator Sign Off: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING \& BUILDING REVIEW AND APPRO Approval of this re-zoning petiti approvals/permits necessary for sit for site development or building c with the Site Development Plan Revi | ON IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSB <br> ough County does not constitut tas proposed will be issued, nor do e being waived or otherwise appr process in a ddition to obtain all ne |

### 7.0 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND/OR GRAPHICS

1. The development is utilizing the Residential Infill Density bonus described in the Future Land Use Plan. The density bonus will allow them to calculate the number of dwelling units at a higher density within the area that is 660 ' from the frontage of Symmes Road. Future Land Use designation SMU-6 allows up to 6 dwelling units per acre; however, the density bonus gives an additional 3 dwelling units per acre within the described area. This will allow them to add an additional 22 units to the project for a maximum 123 units.

### 8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)




### 9.0 FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT (see following pages)

## AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department
REVIEWER: Richard Perez, AICP
PLANNING AREA/SECTOR: RV/South

DATE: 2/09/2024
AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation
PETITION NO: PD 23-0992

This agency has no comments.

This agency has no objection.

This agency has no objection, subject to listed or attached conditions.

This agency objects, based on the listed or attached conditions.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- The project shall be permitted one access connection on Symmes Rd and one access connection to Missouri Ave. as depicted on the PD site plan.
- If PD 23-0992 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated February 8, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on February 9, 2024) for Missouri Ave. substandard road improvements. As Missouri Ave. is a substandard local roadway, the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the approved Design Exception:
a. Construct a TS-3 urban local roadway section from the project entrance to Poinsettia St. with a 5 -foot sidewalk along the south side.
b. Construct 5 -foot sidewalk on the south side of the existing roadway section from Poinsettia St. to US Hwy 301.
- If PD 23-0992 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated February 8, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on February 9, 2024) for Symmes Rd. substandard road improvements. As Symmes Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to construct a 10 -foot wide sidewalk along the project frontage.
- The existing right of way in the vicinity of the project appears to be sufficient to accommodate the future 2-lane enhanced facility identified in the County Corridor Preservation Plan. However, at the time of site development, the developer will need to verify if there is sufficient right of way along the project's frontage. If sufficient right of way exists, no additional right of way will be required to be preserved.
- The segment of unimproved Missouri Ave, between the project access point and the intersection with Poinsettia St., to be improved shall not be accepted for maintenance by the County. As such, the developer shall assume maintenance of said segment of roadway in perpetuity.
- Notwithstanding anything on the PD site plan or herein these conditions to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the project boundaries.
- Construction access shall be limited to those locations shown on PD site plan which are also proposed vehicular access connections. The developer shall include a note in each site/construction plan submittal which indicates same.
- Prior to site plan certification, developer replace site plan call outs stating "+/-5.0' RIGHT-OF-WAY CONVEYANCE AREA (SEE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL)" to "+/-5.0' RIGHT-OF-WAY CORRIDOR PRESERVATION AREA (SEE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL".


## PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting to rezone several parcels, totaling +/- 17.04 acres, from Planned Development (PD) 18-1457, Residential/Single-Family Conventional 6 (RSC-6), and Agricultural Residential (AR) to Planned Development to construct 123 multi-family or town home units. The site is located on the north side of Symes Rd., approximately 75 feet west of Warren Oaks Pl. The Future Land Use designation is Suburban Mixed Use 6 (SMU-6) and Residential 4 (RES-4).

## Trip Generation Analysis

The applicant submitted a trip generation and site access analysis as required by the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM). Staff has prepared a comparison of the trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.

## Existing Zoning

| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour <br> Two-Way <br> Volume | Total Peak <br> Hour Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PM |  |
| PD: 57 Single-Family Dwelling Units (ITE LUC 210) | 538 | 42 | 56 |
| AR: 6 Single-Family Detached Units (ITE Code 210) | 57 | 4 | 6 |
| RSC: 1 Single-Family Dwelling Units (ITE LUC 210) | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal: | $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |

## Proposed Rezoning

| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour Two- <br>  Way Volume | Total Peak <br> Hour Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM |
| PD:123 Town Home Units (ITE 215) | 887 | 59 | 70 |

## Trip Generation Difference

| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour Two- <br> Way Volume | Total Peak <br> Hour Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM |
| Difference | $\mathbf{( + ) 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{( + ) \mathbf { 1 2 }}$ | $\mathbf{( + ) 7}$ |

The proposed PD rezoning is anticipated to increase the number of trips potentially generated by development on the site by 283 average daily trips, $12 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. peak hour trips, and $7 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. peak hour trips.

## TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

Symmes Road is a 2-lane, undivided, collector roadway characterized by $+/-22$ feet of pavement in average condition. The roadway lies within $+/-82$ to 100 feet of right of way. There are no bicycle facilities or paved shoulders along Symmes Road in the vicinity of the proposed project. There are $+/-5$ foot wide sidewalk along the north side of Symmes Road.

According to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual a TS-7 rural collector roadway typical section has 12 -foot lanes with 5 -foot paved shoulders and sidewalks on both sides within a minimum of 96 feet of right-of-way. The applicant has submitted a Section 6.04.02.B Administrative Variance request to waive improvements to the roadway. The proposed administrative variance is discussed in greater detail under the section titled Requested Administrative Variance herein.

Staff notes that the applicant's proposed PD site plan proffers a new 10 -foot-wide sidewalk, approximately 520 feet in length, along the project's frontage on Symmes Rd.

Symmes Rd. is identified in the County Corridor Preservation Plan as a future 2-lane enhanced collector roadway. As such a minimum of 97 feet of right-of-way may be required to construct a future TS-5, Urban Collector Roadway plus an 11-foot enhancement.

Missouri Ave. is a 2-lane, substandard, local rural roadway. The segment of right of way from the project access to Poinsettia St. is unimproved. The segment of roadway, from Poinsettia St. to US Hwy 301, is characterized by 10 -foot wide travel lanes with no curb or paved shoulders, lying within a $+/-50$ foot right-of-way width. There are no sidewalks.

According to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual a TS-7 rural collector roadway typical section has 12 -foot lanes with 5 -foot paved shoulders and sidewalks on both sides within a minimum of 96 feet of right-of-way. The applicant is proposing a design exception to construct the unimproved segment to the TS-3, urban local roadway standard, and construct a sidewalk from the project entrance to US Hwy 301. The proposed design exception is discussed in greater detail under the section titled Requested Design Exception herein.

## SITE ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY

The PD site plan proposes primary access on Symmes Rd. and a secondary access on Missouri Ave.
The PD site plan indicates that the projects internal circulation between project access connection points and project residential units will be served by private drives as opposed to roadways. Staff notes that the proposed improvements to Missouri Ave., discussed in greater detail, herein include an approximately 160foot segment of unimproved County right-of-way. Said improvements will allow the project to take access to Missouri Ave. However, pursuant to Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan Mobility Plan Policy 4.1.4., the County cannot accept this segment of roadway improvement for maintenance as the improvement will only serve the project access and will not connect between two or more roadways defined as arterial, collector, or major local roads because the project's internal circulation will be served by private drives. As such a condition of approval that the developer shall be responsible for maintenance of the segment of roadway is proposed.

As demonstrated by the site access analysis submitted by the applicant's traffic engineer, the project does not meet warrants for site access improvements (i.e. turn lanes) at the project's access connections.

Notwithstanding anything shown in the PD site plan or in the PD conditions to the contrary, pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the project boundary consistent with the LDC.

## REQUESTED DESIGN EXCEPTION: MISSOURI AVE.

As Missouri Ave. is a substandard local roadway, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request for the roadway (February 8, 2024) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the Design Exception request approvable (on February 9, 2024). The developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the approved Design Exception:
a. Construct a TS-3 urban local roadway section from the project entrance to Poinsettia St. with a 5-foot sidewalk along the south side.
b. Construct 5-foot sidewalk on the south side of the existing roadway section from Poinsettia St. to US Hwy 301.

If this zoning is approved, the County Engineer will approve the Design Exception request.

## REQUESTED DESIGN EXCEPTION: SYMMES RD.

As Symmes Rd. is a substandard local roadway, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request for the roadway (February 8, 2024) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the Design Exception request approvable (on February 9, 2024). The developer will be required to construct a 10 -foot-wide sidewalk along the project frontage on Symmes Rd. consistent with the approved Design Exception.

## ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) INFORMATION

Missouri Ave. is not a regulated roadway in the Hillsborough County Level of Service (LOS) report.

| FDOT Generalized Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway | From | To | LOS Standard | Peak Hr <br> Directional LOS |  |  |
| SYMMES RD | US HWY 41 | US HWY 301 | D | C |  |  |

Source: 2020 Hillsborough County Level of Service (LOS) Report

From: Williams, Michael [WilliamsM@hcfl.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 9, 2024 3:29 PM
To: Steven Henry [shenry@lincks.com]
CC: brian@serenitycm.com; Elise Batsel [ebatsel@stearnsweaver.com]; Suzanne Walker [swalker@stearnsweaver.com]; Follin, Jared [FollinJ@hcfl.gov]; Perez, Richard [PerezRL@hcfl.gov]; Tirado, Sheida [TiradoS@hcfl.gov]; De Leon, Eleonor
[DeLeonE@hcfl.gov]; PW-CEIntake [PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov]
Subject: FW: RZ PD 23-0992 - Design Exceptions Review
Attachments: 23-0992 DEAdd 02-08-24_2.pdf; 23-0992 DEAdd 02-08-24_1.pdf
Importance: High

Steve,
I have found the attached two Design Exceptions (DE) for PD 23-0992 APPROVABLE.
Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon (DeLeonE@hcfl.gov or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to PWCEIntake@hcfl.gov

Mike

Michael J. Williams, P.E.

## Director, Development Review

 County EngineerDevelopment Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851
M: (813) 614-2190
E: Williamsm@HCFL.gov
W: HCFLGov.net

Hillsborough County
601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602
Facebook \| Twitter \| YouTube \| Linkedln \| HCFL Stay Safe

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

From: Tirado, Sheida [TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)
Sent: Friday, February 9, 2024 1:28 PM
To: Williams, Michael [WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)
Cc: De Leon, Eleonor [DeLeonE@hcfl.gov](mailto:DeLeonE@hcfl.gov); Perez, Richard [PerezRL@hcfl.gov](mailto:PerezRL@hcfl.gov)
Subject: RZ PD 23-0992 - Design Exceptions Review
Importance: High
Hello Mike,
The attached DEs are approvable to me, please include the following people in your response.
shenry@lincks.com
brian@serenitycm.com
ebatsel@stearnsweaver.com
swalker@stearnsweaver.com
follinj@hcfl.gov
perezrl@hcfl.gov
Best Regards,

Sheida L. Tirado, PE (she/her/hers)
Transportation Review Manager
Development Services Department

P: (813) 276-8364
E: tirados@HCFLGov.net
W: HCFLGov.net
Hillsborough County
601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602
Facebook \| Twitter \| YouTube \| LinkedIn \| HCFL Stay Safe
Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

# Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews 

Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Ingrid Padron at padroni@hcpafl.gov or via telephone at (813) 307-1709 if you have questions about how to complete this form.


Name of Person Submitting Request Steven J. Henry, PE Lincks \& Associates, Inc.
Important: For Design Exception (DE) Requests, the person submitting must be a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed within the state of Florida. The DE request letter must be signed and sealed.

## Current Property Zoning Designation PD 18-1457, AR, RSC-6 MH

Important: For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional - 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html. For additional assistance, please contact the Zoning Counselors at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.

## Pending Zoning Application Number 23-0992

Important: If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number preceded by the case type prefix, otherwise type " $N / A$ " or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.
Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)

N/A
Important: This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".

## LINCKS \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

February 8, 2024
Mr. Michael Williams, PE
County Engineer Development Review Director
Hillsborough County
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Re: Symmes - Serenity
PD23-0992
Folio 077076.0000
077169.1202
077169.1204
077169.1002
077169.1164

Lincks Project No. 23048
The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for Symmes Road from the project to US 301.

The developer proposes to rezone the property to Planned Development (PD) to allow up to 122 Townhomes.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project. The proposed PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The access for the project is proposed to be as follows:

- One (1) full access to Symmes Road
- The extension of Symmes Road from Poinsettia Street to the project.

According to the Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Classification Map, Symmes Road is classified as a collector roadway. The subject site is within the Hillsborough County Urban Service Area.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-7 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for Symmes Road. The segment of Symmes Road from the Project Access to US 301 currently has the following characteristics:

- Two (2) lane rural/urban roadway
- Eleven (11) foot lanes
- No paved shoulders or bike lanes
- Five (5) foot sidewalks on the north side of the road and five (5) foot sidewalks on a limited part of the south side.
- The right of way along the property frontage is 82 to 100 feet.

The following provides a summary of the existing road compared to the elements of TS7 for the segment from the Project Access to US 301:

1) Lane Width - TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The existing roadway has 11 foot lanes.
2) Shoulders - TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The existing roadway has no paved shoulders, and part of the road has curb and gutter.
3) Sidewalk - TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalk on both sides of the road. There is sidewalk along the north side of the roadway.
4) Drainage Ditches - TS-7 has roadside ditches on both sides of the road. There are minimal to no ditches on the roadway.
5) Right of Way - TS-7 has 96 feet of right of way. The existing road has approximately 82 to 100 feet of right of way along the project frontage.

Due to limited right of way along the subject segment of the roadway, the following improvement is proposed for Symmes Road along the project frontage:

1. Sidewalk - Approximately 520 feet of 10 foot sidewalk is proposed to be constructed on the north side of Symmes Road along the project frontage.

Figure 1 illustrates the limits of the proposed sidewalk.

Mr. Mike Williams
February 8, 2024
Page 3

Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to Symmes Road will mitigate the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional


Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:
$\qquad$ Disapproved
$\qquad$ Approved

Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E, (813) 276-8364, TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org.
(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2021.

## APPENDIX

## PD PLAN

Received February 8, 2024
(1)
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# Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews 

Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Ingrid Padron at padroni@hcpafl.gov or via telephone at (813) 307-1709 if you have questions about how to complete this form.


Name of Person Submitting Request Steven J. Henry, PE Lincks \& Associates, Inc.
Important: For Design Exception (DE) Requests, the person submitting must be a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed within the state of Florida. The DE request letter must be signed and sealed.

## Current Property Zoning Designation PD 18-1457, AR, and RSC-6 MH

Important: For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional - 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing " $N / A$ " or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html. For additional assistance, please contact the Zoning Counselors at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.

## Pending Zoning Application Number 23-0992

Important: If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number preceded by the case type prefix, otherwise type " $N / A$ " or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.
Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)

N/A
Important: This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".

## LINCKS \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

February 8, 2024
Mr. Michael Williams, PE
County Engineer Development Review Director
Hillsborough County
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Re: Symmes - Serenity
PD23-0992
Folio 077076.0000
077169.1202
077169.1204
077169.1002
077169.1164

Lincks Project No. 23048
The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for Missouri Avenue from the project to US 301.

The developer proposes to rezone the property to Planned Development (PD) to allow up to 122 Townhomes.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project. The proposed PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The access for the project is proposed to be as follows:

- One (1) full access to Symmes Road
- The extension of Missouri Avenue from Poinsettia Street to the project.

According to the Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Classification Map, Missouri Avenue is classified as a local roadway. The subject site is within the Hillsborough County Urban Service Area.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-7 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for Missouri Avenue. The segment of Missouri Avenue from Poinsettia Street to US 301 currently has the following characteristics:

- Two (2) lane rural roadway
- Ten (10) foot lanes
- No paved shoulders
- No sidewalks
- Right of Way is 60 feet

It should be noted the segment from the project to Poinsettia Street is an unpaved rural roadway.

## Segment 1

The following provides a summary of the existing road compared to the elements of TS7 for the segment from Poinsettia Street to US 301:

1) Lane Width - TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The existing roadway has 10 foot lanes.
2) Shoulders - TS-7 has 8 foot shoulders with 5 feet paved. The existing roadway has no paved shoulders.
3) Sidewalk - TS-7 has 5 foot sidewalks on both sides of the road. There are no sidewalks along the subject segment of the roadway.
4) Drainage Ditches - TS-7 has roadside ditches on both sides of the road. There are minimal to no ditches on the roadway.
5) Right of Way - TS-7 has 96 feet of right of way. The existing road has 50 feet of right of way.

Due to limited right of way along the subject segment of the roadway, the following improvements are proposed for the segment from Poinsettia Street to US 301:

1) Sidewalk - Approximately 650 feet of 5 foot sidewalk is to be constructed on one side of the road from Poinsettia Street to US 301.

Figure 1 illustrates the limits of the proposed sidewalk.

## Segment 2

The segment of Missouri Avenue from the project to Poinsettia Street is proposed to be constructed to TS-3 standards except for the sidewalk on the north side of the roadway. The sidewalk on the north side of the road is not proposed to be provided for the following reasons:

1) There is an existing home that has the access and improvements that would affect the ability to provide the sidewalk. This segment of the roadway is approximately 130 feet.
2) There are trees that would be in conflict with the sidewalk.
3) The sidewalk to be provided from Poinsettia Street to US 301 is proposed to be on the south side of the road. Therefore, this sidewalk will align with the proposed sidewalk to the east.

Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to Missouri Avenue will mitigate the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Mr. Mike Williams
February 8, 2024
Page 4


Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:
$\qquad$ Disapproved
$\qquad$ Approved
$\qquad$ Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E, (813) 276-8364, TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer

Mr. Mike Williams
February 8, 2024
Page 5
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| REVISION DATE: | TRANSPORTATION | Hillsborough | LOCAL \& COLLECTOR RURAL ROADS | DRAWING NO. TS-7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 10/17 | TECHNICAL MANUAL |  | (2 LANE UNDIVIDED) TYPICAL SECTION | SHEET NO. 1 OF |

### 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

| Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Classification | Current Conditions | Select Future Improvements |
| Symmes Rd. | County Collector <br> - Rural | 2 Lanes <br> $\boxtimes$ Substandard Road <br> $\square$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
| Missouri Ave. | County Local Rural | 2 Lanes <br> $\boxtimes$ Substandard Road <br> $\square$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |

Project Trip Generation $\square$ Not applicable for this request

|  | Average Annual Daily Trips | A.M. Peak Hour Trips | P.M. Peak Hour Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing | 604 | 47 | 63 |
| Proposed | 887 | 59 | 70 |
| Difference $(+/-)$ | +283 | +12 | +7 |

*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.
Connectivity and Cross Access $\square$ Not applicable for this request

| Project Boundary | Primary Access | Additional <br> Connectivity/Access | Cross Access | Finding |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North |  | None | None | Meets LDC |
| South | X | None | None | Meets LDC |
| East | X | None | None | Meets LDC |
| West | None | None | Meets LDC |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |

Design Exception/Administrative Variance $\square$ Not applicable for this request

| Road Name/Nature of Request | Type | Finding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Symmes Rd./Substandard Roadway | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
| Missouri Ave./Substandard Roadway | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
| Notes: |  |  |


| 4.0 Additional Site Information \& Agency Comments Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Transportation | Objections | Conditions <br> Requested | Additional <br> Information/Comments |
| $\boxtimes$ Design Exception/Adm. Variance Requested | $\square$ Yes $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | See report. |
| $\boxtimes$ Off-Site Improvements Provided | $\boxtimes$ No |  |  |

## < THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK >

< THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK >


## Hillsborough County <br> City-County <br> Planning Commission

| Unincorporated Hillsborough County Rezoning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hearing Date: <br> February 20, 2024 <br> Report Prepared: <br> February 8, 2024 | Petition: PD 23-0992 <br> 10498, 10502, 10508 Symmes Road, the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1164 and the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1002 <br> East of Brussels Boy Lane, North of Symmes Road |
| Summary Data: |  |
| Comprehensive Plan Finding | CONSISTENT |
| Adopted Future Land Use | Suburban Mixed Use-6 ( $6 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ga} ; \mathbf{0 . 2 5 / 0 . 3 5 / . 0 5 0 )}$ FAR) \& Residential-4 (4 du/ga; 0.25) |
| Service Area | Urban |
| Community Plan | Riverview and SouthShore Areawide Systems |
| Requested Rezoning | Agricultural Rural (AR) and Planned Development (PD) to a Planned Development for the maximum of 123 units with two development options: townhomes or cottage style multi-family |
| Parcel Size (Approx.) | 17.04 +/- acres |
| Street Functional Classification | Symmes Road - Collector Brussels Boy Road - Local |
| Locational Criteria | N/A |
| Evacuation Zone | None |

Plan Hillsborough planhillsborough.org planner@plancom.org 813-272-5940 601 E Kennedy Blvd $18^{\text {th }}$ floor
Tampa, FL, 33602

## Context

- The subject 17.04 +/- acre site is located north of Symmes Road and east of Brussels Boy Lane. The site includes the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1164 and the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1002.
- The site is located within the Urban Service Area and the limits of the Riverview Community Plan and the South Shore Areawide Systems Plan.
- The subject property is designated as Suburban Mixed Use-6 (SMU-6) on the Future Land Use Map. Properties in the SMU-6 Future Land Use category can be considered for a maximum density of 6 dwelling units per gross acre and a maximum intensity of 0.25 FAR. The SMU-6 Future Land Use category is intended for urban/suburban in intensity and density of uses. Typical uses of SMU-6 include residential, suburban scale neighborhood commercial, office uses, research corporate park uses, light industrial multi-purpose and clustered residential and/or mixed use projects at appropriate locations. Office uses are not subject to locational criteria. Neighborhood Commercial uses shall meet established locational criteria for specific land use. Agricultural uses may be permitted pursuant to policies in the agricultural objective areas of the Future Land Use Element.
- A portion of the subject property is also designated as Residential-4 (RES-4) on the Future Land Use Map. Properties in the RES-4 Future Land Use category can be considered for a maximum density of 4 dwelling units per gross acre and a maximum intensity of 0.25 FAR. The RES-4 Future Land Use category is intended for low density residential development. Typical uses of RES-4 include residential, suburban scale neighborhood commercial, office uses, and multi-purpose projects. Non-residential uses shall meet locational criteria for specific land use. Agricultural uses may be permitted pursuant to policies in the agricultural objective areas of the Future Land Use Element.
- To the south and east is the SMU-6 Future Land Use category. To the north and west is the Residential-4 (RES-4) category. Further east is the Residential-6 (RES-6) category.
- The site is zoned Planned Development (PD) and Agricultural Rural (AR). To the south, north and southeast is PD zoning. Additionally, to the south is Residential - Single-Family Conventional (RSC-3), Agricultural - Single-Family (AS-1) and Agricultural - Single-Family Conventional (ASC-1) zoning. Residential - Single-Family Conventional (RSC-6) zoning is to the east and west. Additionally, to the west is Residential - Single-Family Conventional (RSC-9) zoning.
- Single-family residential surrounds the site on all sides. To the east is public institutional and vacant land. To the south is public institutional, HOA / Common Property and multifamily. To the west is HOA / Common Property.
- The applicant is proposing to rezone from Agricultural Rural (AR) and Planned Development (PD) to a Planned Development for the maximum of 122 units with two development options: townhomes or cottage style multi-family.


## Compliance with Comprehensive Plan:

The following Goals, Objectives and Policies apply to this rezoning request and are used as a basis for a consistency finding.

## Future Land Use Element

## Urban Service Area

Objective 1: Hillsborough County shall pro-actively direct new growth into the urban service area with the goal that at least $80 \%$ of all population growth will occur within the USA during the planning horizon of this Plan. Within the Urban Service Area, Hillsborough County will not impede agriculture. Building permit activity and other similar measures will be used to evaluate this objective.

## Minimum Density

Policy 1.2: All new residential or mixed- use land use categories within the USA shall have a density of 4 du/ga or greater unless environmental features or existing development patterns do not support those densities.

Within the USA and in categories allowing 4 units per acre or greater, new development or redevelopment shall occur at a density of at least $75 \%$ of the allowable density of the land use category, unless the development meets the criteria of Policy 1.3.

Policy 1.3: Within the USA and within land use categories permitting 4 du/ga or greater, new rezoning approvals for residential development of less than $75 \%$ of the allowable density of the land use category will be permitted only in cases where one or more of the following criteria are found to be meet:

- Development at a density of $75 \%$ of the category or greater would not be compatible (as defined in Policy 1.4) and would adversely impact with the existing development pattern within a 1,000 foot radius of the proposed development;
- Infrastructure (Including but not limited to water, sewer, stormwater and transportation) is not planned or programmed to support development.
- Development would have an adverse impact on environmental features on the site or adjacent to the property.
- The site is located in the Coastal High Hazard Area.
- The rezoning is restricted to agricultural uses and would not permit the further subdivision for residential lots.

Policy 1.4: Compatibility is defined as the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include the following: height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development.

## Land Use Categories

Objective 8: The Future Land Use Map will include Land Use Categories which outline the maximum level of intensity or density and range of permitted land uses allowed and planned for an area. A table of the land use categories and description of each category can be found in Appendix A.

Policy 8.1: The character of each land use category is defined by building type, residential density, functional use, and the physical composition of the land. The integration of these factors sets the general atmosphere and character of each land use category. Each category has a range of potentially permissible uses which are not exhaustive, but are intended to be illustrative of the character of uses permitted within the land use designation. Not all of those potential uses are routinely acceptable anywhere within that land use category.

## Relationship to Land Development Regulations

Objective 9: All existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations as per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.

Policy 9.1: Each land use plan category shall have a set of zoning districts that may be permitted within that land use plan category, and development shall not be approved for zoning that is inconsistent with the plan.

Policy 9.2: Developments must meet or exceed the requirements of all land development regulations as established and adopted by Hillsborough County, the state of Florida and the federal government unless such requirements have been previously waived by those governmental bodies.

## Environmental Considerations

Objective 13: New development and redevelopment shall not adversely impact environmentally sensitive areas and other significant natural systems as described and required within the Conservation and Aquifer Recharge Element and the Coastal Management Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

## Policy 13.3: Environmentally Sensitive Land Credit

Density and FAR calculations for properties that include wetlands will comply with the following calculations and requirements for determining density/intensity credits.

- Wetlands are considered to be the following:
- Conservation and preservation areas as defined in the Conservation and Aquifer Recharge Element
- Man-made water bodies as defined (including borrow pits).
- If wetlands are less than $25 \%$ of the acreage of the site, density and intensity is calculated based on:
- Entire project acreage multiplied by Maximum intensity/density for the Future Land Use Category
- If wetlands are $25 \%$ or greater of the acreage of the site, density and intensity is calculated based on:
- Upland acreage of the site multiplied by 1.25 = Acreage available to calculate density/intensity based on
- That acreage is then multiplied by the Maximum Intensity/Density of the Future Land Use Category

Policy 13.6: The County shall protect significant wildlife habitat, and shall prevent any further net loss of essential wildlife habitat in Hillsborough County, consistent with the policies in the Conservation and Aquifer Recharge Element and Land Development Code.

## Neighborhood/Community Development

Objective 16: Neighborhood Protection The neighborhood is the functional unit of community development. There is a need to protect existing, neighborhoods and communities and those that will emerge in the future. To preserve and protect neighborhoods and communities, all new development must conform to the following policies.

Policy 16.1: Established and planned neighborhoods and communities shall be protected by restricting incompatible land uses through mechanisms such as:
a) locational criteria for the placement of non-residential uses as identified in this Plan,
b) limiting commercial development in residential land use categories to neighborhood scale;
c) requiring buffer areas and screening devices between unlike land uses;

Policy 16.2: Gradual transitions of intensities between different land uses shall be provided for as new development is proposed and approved, through the use of professional site planning, buffering and screening techniques and control of specific land uses.

Policy 16.3: Development and redevelopment shall be integrated with the adjacent land uses through:
a) the creation of like uses; or
b) creation of complementary uses; or
c) mitigation of adverse impacts; and
d) transportation/pedestrian connections

Policy 16.7: Residential neighborhoods shall be designed to include an efficient system of internal circulation and street stub-outs to connect adjacent neighborhoods together.

Policy 16.8: The overall density and lot sizes of new residential projects shall reflect the character of the surrounding area, recognizing the choice of lifestyles described in this Plan.

Policy 16.10: Any density increase shall be compatible with existing, proposed, or planned surrounding development. Compatibility is defined as the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include the following: height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development.

Policy 16.15: Single family detached, single family attached, and townhome residential development of 50 units or greater shall include gathering places in accordance with requirements of the Land Development Code. Community gathering places shall be provided in a proportionate manner based on the size of the project, density of dwelling units, amount of private open space in the project or other similar manner. A minimum square footage shall be established ensuring a functional gathering place for residential developments at or near the threshold of 50 units. Community gathering places shall not be required in residential subdivisions with platted lot sizes of $1 / 3$ acre or greater. To ensure minimum density policies can be achieved or greater, minimum lot size reductions may be considered. Incentives for a higher quality of design of the gathering
places should be provided. The Land Development Code should address the location of gathering places to ensure compatibility with adjacent uses. Most community gathering places that do not require parking should be within walking distance of residences. The Land Development Code should include a process such as but not limited to variances or waivers to consider reductions in the gathering place requirement.

## Community Design Component

Goal 1: Plan a pattern of compact, livable and walkable neighborhoods and communities within the urban service area which are supported by locally-oriented employment, goods and services. (Continued)

Objective 1-2: Promote a variety of uses in order to create vitality and bring many activities of daily life within walking distances of homes.

Policy 1-2.2: Require a mix of housing types and lot sizes within mixed use neighborhoods, emphasizing harmonious design and building type.

### 5.0 NEIGHBORHOOD LEVEL DESIGN

### 5.1 COMPATIBILITY

Goal 12: Design neighborhoods which are related to the predominant character of the surroundings.

Objective 12-1: New developments should recognize the existing community and be designed in a way that is compatible (as defined in FLUE policy 1.4) with the established character of the surrounding neighborhood.

Policy 12-1.4: Compatibility may be achieved through the utilization of site design techniques including but not limited to transitions in uses, buffering, setbacks, open space and graduated height restrictions, to affect elements such as height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture.

## ENVIRONMENTAL \& SUSTAINABILITY SECTION

Objective 3.5: Apply adopted criteria, standards, methodologies and procedures to manage and maintain wetlands and/or other surface waters for optimum fisheries and other environmental values in consultation with EPC.

Policies: 3.5.1 Collaborate with the EPC to conserve and protect wetlands and/or other surface waters from detrimental physical and hydrological alteration. Apply a comprehensive planningbased approach to the protection of wetland ecosystems assuring no net loss of ecological values provided by the functions performed by wetlands and/or other surface waters authorized for projects in Hillsborough County.
3.5.2: Collaborate with the EPC through the land planning and development review processes to prohibit unmitigated encroachment into wetlands and/or other surface waters and maintain equivalent functions.
3.5.4: Regulate and conserve wetlands and/or other surface waters through the application of local rules and regulations including mitigation during the development review process.

Objective 3.8: Manage flora, fauna, and uplands to ensure a healthy, functioning environment, economy, and quality of life.

Policy 3.8.1: Protect and conserve Significant Wildlife Habitat and ensure a no net loss of Essential Wildlife Habitat.

Policy 3.8.2: Continue to prohibit unmitigated encroachment into the 100-year floodplain to protect and conserve the functions and natural wildlife habitat attributes where they exist within the 100-year floodplains of rivers and streams as provided under local rules and regulations including mitigation as required.

Policy 3.8.3: Maintain local wildlife and wildlife habitat protection and management programs to protect native plants and wildlife.

Policy 3.8.4: Continue to apply adopted criteria, standards, methodologies, and procedures that require the development and implementation of management plans for Significant or Essential Wildlife Habitat determined to provide particularly valuable and manageable habitat qualities.

Policy 3.8.5: Offsite preservation will not be permitted for field verified Significant Wildlife Habitat which is capable of being managed or restored onsite as a high-quality native plant community or communities, except in cases of overriding public interest (Per Governor and Cabinet Final Order AC-93-087).

Objective 3.9: Manage natural preserves to ensure a healthy, functioning environment, economy, and quality of life.

Policy 3.9.9: Protect natural resources, coastal resources, publicly owned, or managed natural preserves from adverse impacts attributable to adjacent land uses. Continue to require development activities on adjacent properties to comply with adopted criteria, standards, methodologies, and procedures to prevent adverse impacts.

## Livable Communities Element - Southshore Areawide Systems Plan

## Economic Development Objective

The SouthShore community encourages activities that benefits residents, employers, employees, entrepreneurs, and businesses that will enhance economic prosperity and improve quality of life.

The community desires to pursue economic development activities in the following areas: 1. Land Use/ Transportation
a) Analyze, identify and market lands that are available for economic development, including: residential, commercial, office, industrial, agricultural (i.e., lands that already have development orders or lands that are not developable.)
b) Recognize preferred development patterns as described in individual community plans and implement the communities' desires to the greatest extent possible (including codification into the land development code). I.e., activity center, compatibility, design and form, pedestrian and bicycle/trail connectivity.
c) Utilize the Hillsborough County Competitive Sites Program to identify potential competitive sites (e.g. SouthShore Park DRI).
d) Analyze potential new economic sites,(e.g. Port Redwing) based on development
e) Support the potential Ferry Study and auxiliary services around Port Redwing
f) Utilize Hillsborough County Post Disaster Redevelopment Plan

## LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ELEMENT: RIVERVIEW COMMUNITY PLAN

## Vision Concept

Physically, Riverview is a diverse community sharing the characteristics of both suburban and rural areas, loosely defined by historical development patterns and predominant land uses. The Advisory Committee and the Planning Team addressed these issues and illustrated their vision graphically by developing the "Riverview District Concept Map". See attached figure 10. It identifies distinct visions for the Riverfront, Downtown, Highway 301, Residential, Industrial, Open Space, and Mixed Use districts. These unique districts reflect community assets and guide development.

## 1. Highway 301 Corridor District Vision

Visitors and residents know they have arrived in Riverview as they pass through gateway entrances. This is a mixed-use area with high densities and a variety of businesses. The gateways are the beginning of a pleasant drive or walk along well-maintained, tree lined streets with center medians, bike lanes, sidewalks, crosswalks, adequate lighting and traffic signals. Strict traffic laws are enforced to protect the pedestrian and bicycle-friendly environment. The retail and commercial businesses have benefited from the redesign of the US 301 corridor. The historical buildings have been marked and maintained to indicate their historical importance.

Goal 2: Reflect the vision of Riverview using the Riverview District Concept Map. The Riverview District Concept Map will illustrate the unique qualities and land uses related to distinct geographic areas identified as "districts". (see Figure 10)

The following specific districts are incorporated into the Riverview District Concept Map. Require future development and redevelopment to comply with the adopted Riverview District Concept Map.

1. Hwy 301 Corridor - Provide a safe, attractive and efficient corridor system that contributes to the character and economic well-being of the community and provides a sense of arrival.

Goal 4: Provide safe, attractive, efficient multi-modal transportation, including vehicular, bicycle/pedestrian and transit.

Goal 11: Interconnect districts and public places with concepts for walkability, particularly schools and parks.

- Collaborate with private sector developers, government agencies and non-profit organizations to provide safe roadway, sidewalk and pathway connections, biking and equestrian linkages and other pedestrian amenities.
- Provide sidewalks, pathways and/or trails wide enough (wider than 5 feet) for people to easily pass each other or travel side-by-side.

Staff Analysis of Goals Objectives and Policies:
The 17.04 +/- acre subject site is located north of Symmes Road and east of Brussels Boy Lane. The site is located within the Urban Service Area and the limits of the Riverview Community Plan and the South Shore Areawide Systems Plan. The applicant is proposing a Planned Development for the maximum of 123 units with two development options: townhomes or cottage style multi-family. The updated site plan on January 29, 2024 shows that the proposed site includes the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1164 and the east 50 feet of parcel 77169.1002.

The subject site is located in the Urban Service Area where according to Objective 1 of the Future Land Use Element (FLUE), 80 percent of the county's growth is to be directed. Policy 1.4 requires all new developments to be compatible with the surrounding area, noting that "Compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development." The subject site is proposing $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ story townhomes or multi-family residential which is consistent with the surrounding area which mostly consists of single-family residential and public institutional uses.

The applicant is proposing to utilize the Residential Infill Density Bonus per Policy 23.5. The bonus would allow for the Future Land Use categories to be bumped up to the density of the next Future Land Use category. However, the underlying SMU-6 and RES-4 Future Land Use categories would not change on the site. The site qualifies for the Infill Density Bonus as it is within the Urban Service Area and along a collector roadway. There is commercial development to the west and south of the site along U.S. Highway 301. Utilizing an increase in density on site would result in a development pattern that is compatible to existing zoning or development patterns in the immediately surrounding area. Planning Commission staff recognizes there are a few large lots further south and west. However, the immediate properties to the north, west and east have smaller lot sizes and the requested infill density would be compatible. In addition, smaller residential lots are located further north, south and west. $75 \%$ of the property is over 900 feet from the Symmes Road and U.S. Highway 301 and would not meet Commercial Locational Criteria. Therefore, the site's proposed development options of townhomes or multi-family would provide a compatible transition moving west from the vacant and commercial uses at the Symmes Road and U.S. Highway 301 intersection.

The proposal meets the intent of Objective 8 and Policy 8.1. The subject property is designated Residential-4 (RES-4) and Suburban Mixed Use-6 (SMU-6) on the Future Land Use Map. The residential infill bonus only applies to 660 feet parallel from Symmes Road, which is shown on the site plan dated January 29, 2024. A condition of approval will require that the density bonus only applies to 660 ft of the subject site to meet the maximum length requirements of the bonus under Policy 23.5. This condition of approval is necessary for Planning Commissions staff's finding of consistency.

The total site is $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 4}$ acres. The SMU-6 portion makes up the majority of the site at 16.91 acres, less than $25 \%$ of the SMU-6 portion is wetlands, at 0.40 acres. Thus, the full 16.91 acres is eligible for density calculations. Out of the total acreage, 7.41 acres is within the 660 feet for the bonus allowing up to 66 units ( $7.41 \times 9$ ). The remaining 9.5 acres uses the based density for SMU-6 of 6 du/a for a total of 57 units ( $9.5 \times 6$ ). The 0.13 RES-4 portion of the site is over $25 \%$ wetlands at 0.10 acres. Per the Environmentally Sensitive Land Credit Policy 13.3, the upland acreage is multiple by 1.25 for density calculations. This would leave 0.03 acres of upland for a total of 0.15 units, which is 0 units allowed. ( 0.03 upland $x$
1.25 X 4). The total units allowed on site are $123(66+57)$. The site plan lists the maximum 123 units that are being requested on site. As the site is within the Urban Service Area, 75\% of the maximum density must be provided, 92 units, to meet the minimum density as stated in Policy 1.2.

The January 29, 2024 site plan and December 21, 2023 narrative have conflicting calculations for density using the residential infill bonus. The site plan has the correct calculations with the exception of the residential yield being broken down into 6 du/a and $3 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{a}$. The portion of the site that is requesting the 660 foot infill bonus is allowed up to 9 du/a and the remaining portions of the site are at the Future Land Use category densities of $4 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{a}$ and $6 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{a}$ (RES-4 and SMU-6 categories). Planning Commission staff have reviewed and the site plan total showing 123 units maximum for the entire site is correct with the breakdown of density calculations as shown above, per the Future Land Use Element.
0.50 acres of the site is wetlands; with 0.40 acres in the Residential-4 Future Land Use category of the site. The Environmental Protection Commission (EPC) Wetlands Division has reviewed the proposed rezoning. In the site plan's current configuration, a resubmittal is not necessary. If the zoning proposal changes and/or the site plans are altered, EPC staff will need to review the zoning again. The Natural Resources Department has reviewed and has no objections pending specific conditions of approval being met for the rezoning. Any impact to wetlands, other surface waters, Environmentally Sensitive Areas or essential wildlife habitat found during the site plan review process will not be supported per Objectives 3.5, 3.8 and 3.9 and Policies 3.5.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.4, 3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.8.4, 3.8.5 and 3.9.9 of the Environmental and Sustainability Section.

Objective 16 and its accompanying Policies 16.1, 16.2 and 16.3 seek to protect existing neighborhoods and development by ensuring compatibility with the surrounding area through various buffering requirements and mitigation techniques. The creation of townhomes or multi-family residential lots would be consistent with these policies as the use is similar and complementary to the surrounding residential and public institutional uses. Policy 16.8 specifically requires the density and lot sizes of the surrounding area to be compatible with new development. The existing uses in the area are low density single family large lot residential dwellings and public institutional uses. Policy 16.10 states that "any density increase shall be compatible with existing, proposed or planned surrounding development." The applicant is proposing a 5 foot type A buffer with a privacy fence on the east and west and a 15 foot type B buffer along the Symmes Road frontage. A stormwater pond and wetlands on the northern end provides a buffer for the residential uses to the north. In this case, the scale of development allowed by the SMU-6 and RES-4 categories in the Urban Service Area would be compatible with the surrounding area. The applicant is also meeting Policy 16.15 by providing a community gathering space of 0.50 acres on option 1 and 0.54 acres on option 2 . The gathering spaces are marked as parks on the site plan.

Objective 9, Policy 9.1 and Policy 9.2 require that all development meet or exceed the land development regulations in Hillsborough County. The site will provide primary access to Symmes Road and secondary access to Missouri Avenue but will not provide a connection west to Brussels Road as this is a private road. The applicant is requesting an Administrative Variance and two Design Exceptions for Symmes Road and Missouri Avenues. At the time of uploading this report, Transportation comments were not yet available in Optix and thus were not taken into consideration for analysis of this request.

Objective 12-1 and Policy 12-1.4 of the Community Design Component (CDC) discuss how new development shall be compatible with the established character of the surrounding area. The proposed use is compatible with the single-family, public institutional, vacant HOA /Common Property and multi-family uses in the area.

The subject site meets the intent of the Southshore Areawide Systems Community Plan that recognizes the preferred land development pattern of each of its Community Plan. The site is also located within the limits of the Riverview Community Plan, specifically within the Highway 301 corridor district which anticipates high density residential uses along with commercial uses in this area. The proposed townhome or multi-family uses, with the residential density bonus, meets the intent of the Community Plan. Goals 4 and 11 also have language on providing sidewalks, the proposed will widen the sidewalk to 10 feet along the project's frontage on Symmes Road. Pedestrian access on the east side will be provided to preserve existing trees, which meets the intent of Goal 11. Sidewalks and access are proposed on both development options.

Overall, the proposed rezoning would allow for the development of this property in a manner that is not only consistent with the goals and objectives of the Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan, but that is also comparable to the existing and planned development pattern found within the surrounding area.

## Recommendation

Based upon the above considerations, Planning Commission staff finds the proposed Planned Development CONSISTENT with the Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan, subject to conditions proposed by the Development Services Department.
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