Variance Application: VAR 25-1312

LUHO Hearing Date:

10-27-2025

Case Reviewer: Logan McKaig



Development Services Department

Applicant: Luisa I Alonso Zoning: RSC-6

Location: 6802 Rosewood Ct., Tampa, FL Folio: 7864.0000

Request Summary:

The applicant is requesting a variance to accommodate a front porch on the existing home.

Requested Variances:				
LDC Section: LDC Requirement:		Variance:	Result:	
6.01.01 6.01.03.I.7	Front porches may project into the required front yard no more than 10 feet or 50 percent of the required front yard setback, whichever is less. The RSC-6 district requires a 25-foot front yard setback. Therefore, a 15-foot front yard setback is required for a front porch.	6.8 feet	8.2-foot front yard setback for front porch	

Findings:	There is an open Code Compliance violation, HC-CMP-24-000134.
-----------	---

Zoning Administrator Sign Off:

Colleen Marshall Mon Oct 13 2025 11:49:53


Collen Morsball

DISCLAIMER:

The variance(s) listed above is based on the information provided in the application by the applicant. Additional variances may be needed after the site has applied for development permits. The granting of these variances does not obviate the applicant or property owner from attaining all additional required approvals including but not limited to: subdivision or site development approvals and building permit approvals.

APPLICATION NUMBER:	VAR 25-1312	
LUHO HEARING DATE:	10/27/2025	Case Reviewer: Logan McKaig

SURVEY/SITE PLAN





Additional / Revised Information Sheet

	Office Use Only	
Application Number: VAR-25-1312	Received Date:	Received By:
The following form is required when submitted must be submitted providing a summary of the project size the cover letter must list any new included indicating the additional/revised dot Application Number: VAR-25-13	he changes and/or additional i folio number(s) added. Additio cuments being submitted with	nformation provided. If there is a change in onally, the second page of this form must be this form.
Reviewing Planner's Name: Logan N	1ckaig	Date: 10/08/2025
Application Type: Planned Development (PD) Minor Mo	dification/Personal Appearance	(PRS) Standard Rezoning (RZ)
☑ Variance (VAR) ☐ Developm	ent of Regional Impact (DRI)	☐ Major Modification (MM)
☐ Special Use (SU) ☐ Condition	al Use (CU)	Other
Current Hearing Date (if applicable): 10/2	27/2025	
current rearing bate (ii applicable).		
Important Project Size Change Informa	ation	
Changes to project size may result in a new hea	aring date as all reviews will be s	ubject to the established cut-off dates.
Will this revision add land to the project? If "Yes" is checked on the above please ensure years.	Yes No	a * on the last page
if les is checked of the above please ensure y	you include all items marked with	on the last page.
Will this revision remove land from the project of "Yes" is checked on the above please ensure y		n ⁺ on the last page.
Email this form along with all su	ubmittal items indicated on t	the next page in pdf form to:
	ningIntake-DSD@hcflgov.net	
Files must be in pdf format and minimum r titled according to its contents. All items sho included on the subject line. Maximum attack	uld be submitted in one email v	
For additional help and submittal question	ns, please call (813) 277-1633 or	r email ZoningIntake-DSD@hcflgov.net.

I certify that changes described above are the only changes that have been made to the submission. Any further changes will require an additional submission and certification.

Signature Date



Identification of Sensitive/Protected Information and Acknowledgement of Public Records

Pursuant to <u>Chapter 119 Florida Statutes</u>, all information submitted to Development Services is considered public record and open to inspection by the public. Certain information may be considered sensitive or protected information which may be excluded from this provision. Sensitive/protected information may include, but is not limited to, documents such as medical records, income tax returns, death certificates, bank statements, and documents containing social security numbers.

While all efforts will be taken to ensure the security of protected information, certain specified information, such as addresses of exempt parcels, may need to be disclosed as part of the public hearing process for select applications. If your application requires a public hearing and contains sensitive/protected information, please contact <u>Hillsborough County</u>
<u>Development Services</u> to determine what information will need to be disclosed as part of the public hearing process.

Additionally, parcels exempt under <u>Florida Statutes §119.071(4)</u> will need to contact <u>Hillsborough County Development</u> <u>Services</u> to obtain a release of exempt parcel information.

Are you seeki to Chapter 11	A. C.	rom public disclosure of selection No	ted information submitte	d with your application pursuan
		ial submitted with application and/or protected information.		1312
	Type of information	n included and location		
		ensitive and/or protected info		ocessing of the application.
being held fro	om public view. Als		owledge that any and all	nt can be processed with the data information in the submittal wil
Signature:	level	t required by law to be protect	ieu.	
		(Must be signed by applicant or	rauthorized representative)	
Intake Staff Sig	gnature:		. (8)	_ Date:



Additional / Revised Information Sheet

Please indicate below which revised/additional items are being submitted with this form.

Incl	uded	Submittal Item
1		Cover Letter*+ If adding or removing land from the project site, the final list of folios must be included
2	\times	Revised Application Form*+
3		Copy of Current Deed* Must be provided for any new folio(s) being added
4		Affidavit to Authorize Agent* (If Applicable) Must be provided for any new folio(s) being added
5		Sunbiz Form* (If Applicable) Must be provided for any new folio(s) being added
6		Property Information Sheet*+
7		Legal Description of the Subject Site*+
8		Close Proximity Property Owners List*+
9		Site Plan*+ All changes on the site plan must be listed in detail in the Cover Letter.
10		Survey
11		Wet Zone Survey
12		General Development Plan
13		Project Description/Written Statement
14		Design Exception and Administrative Variance requests/approvals
15		Variance Criteria Response
16		Copy of Code Enforcement or Building Violation
17		Transportation Analysis
18		Sign-off form
19		Other Documents (please describe):

02/2022

^{*}Revised documents required when adding land to the project site. Other revised documents may be requested by the planner reviewing the application.

^{*}Required documents required when removing land from the project site. Other revised documents may be requested by the planner reviewing the application. 3 of 3

Application No: VAR-25-1312



Project Description (Variance Request)

1.	In the space below describe the variance including any history and/or related facts that may be helpful in understanding the request. This explanation shall also specifically identify what is being requested (e.g. Variance of 10 feet from the required rear yard setback of 25 feet resulting in a rear yard of 15 feet). If additional space is needed, please attach extra pages to this application.
	The subject property is a corner lot with dual street frontage on W. Elm Street and Rosewood Court, as recognized by Hillsborough County. The zoning standard for this property establishes a base front yard setback of 25.0 feet; per Section 6.01.03.I.7 porches are permitted to project up to 10.0 feet into that requirement, yielding an effective porch setback requirement of 15.0 feet.
	The proposed porch will be located 8.2 feet from the W. Elm Street frontage. Therefore, we request a variance of 6.8 feet from the required 15.0-foot porch setback to allow the porch at 8.2 feet.
	The proposed porch meets all applicable code conditions for openness, attachment, and design, and this variance is necessary to accommodate a porch that provides functional outdoor space and enhances the home's architectural character while keeping the remainder of the property fully compliant with applicable zoning requirements.
2.	A Variance is requested from the following Section(s) of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code:
	Section 6.01.03.I.7
	Additional Information
1.	Have you been cited by Hillsborough County Code Enforcement? No Yes If yes, you must submit a copy of the Citation with this Application.
2.	Do you have any other applications filed with Hillsborough County that are related to the subject property?
	No If yes, please indicate the nature of the application and the case numbers assigned to the application (s):
3.	Is this a request for a wetland setback variance? No Yes If yes, you must complete the Wetland Setback Memorandum and all required information must be included with this Application Packet.
4.	Please indicate the existing or proposed utilities for the subject property:
	Public Water ` Public Wastewater Private Well Septic Tank
5.	Is the variance to allow a third lot on well or non-residential development with an intensity of three ERC's? No Press If yes, you must submit a final determination of the "Water, Wastewater, and/or Reclaimed Water – Service Application Conditional Approval – Reservation of Capacity" prior to your public hearing

Variance Criteria Response

1. Explain how the alleged hardships or practical difficulties are unique and singular to the subject property and are not those suffered in common with other property similarly located.

The subject property is a corner lot with dual street frontage on W. Elm Street and Rosewood Court. Under Hillsborough County's zoning regulations, both street frontages are treated as "front yards" and are therefore subject to front yard setback requirements. This dual-frontage designation significantly limits the buildable area of the lot compared to interior parcels, which have only one front yard setback to comply with.

This constraint is further compounded by the lot's existing home placement and orientation. For a porch to be functional and aesthetically consistent with the home, and compliant with the openness and design requirements of the Land Development Code, a modest reduction in the W. Elm Street front yard setback is necessary.

Interior lots in the same zoning district typically have greater flexibility in porch placement because they are only subject to one front yard setback and can utilize side or rear yards for additions. This dual-frontage condition is unique to corner lots like the subject property and creates a practical difficulty not commonly experienced by neighboring properties with similar zoning.

The requested variance is narrowly tailored to address this unique constraint and will not result in any special privilege inconsistent with limitations on other properties in the area.

2. Describe how the literal requirements of the Land Development Code (LDC) would deprive you of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district and area under the terms of the LDC.

Under the Land Development Code, the subject property must maintain a 10-foot front yard setback along its W. Elm Street frontage. This requirement, when applied literally, limits the ability of a functional porch in this location.

Other properties in the same zoning district with similar lot sizes — particularly interior lots or those with different orientations — can place porches closer to the street within the allowable projection limits, giving them greater flexibility in porch design and size. Without the requested variance, the property owner would be deprived of having a porch of practical depth and proportion on the W. Elm Street side, a feature that is commonly enjoyed by other homes in the neighborhood.

3. Explain how the variance, if allowed, will not substantially interfere with or injure the rights of others whose property would be affected by allowance of the variance.

The requested variance would allow the front porch to extend to a setback of 8.2 feet from the W. Elm Street property line, a modest 1.8-foot reduction from the required 10 feet. The porch will remain open on all sides except where attached to the principal structure and will be consistent in style and scale with other residential porches in the neighborhood.

The design ensures continued compliance with sight visibility requirements and does not obstruct pedestrian or vehicular views along W. Elm Street. The setback reduction is minimal and will not encroach on adjacent properties or public rights-of-way.

Because the porch will enhance the architectural appearance of the home and maintain adequate separation from the property line, it will not substantially interfere with or injure the rights of neighboring property owners. Instead, it will contribute positively to the neighborhood's character and streetscape.

4. Explain how the variance is in harmony with and serves the general intent and purpose of the LDC and the Comprehensive Plan (refer to Section 1.02.02 and 1.02.03 of the LDC for description of intent/purpose).

The requested variance supports the general intent and purpose of the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan by promoting compatible development, enhancing neighborhood character, and protecting the health, safety, and welfare of residents.

Section 1.02.02 of the LDC emphasizes guiding development in a manner that is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, promotes orderly growth, and maintains the quality and character of established neighborhoods. The porch matches the existing architectural style of the home, will remain open as required by the Code, and its location preserves visual openness along W. Elm Street.

Section 1.02.03 outlines purposes, including providing for light and air, ensuring safe and convenient movement, and promoting aesthetic improvements. The porch does not obstruct visibility for drivers or pedestrians, maintains appropriate separation from the street, and enhances the appearance of the property in a way that is consistent with other residences in the area.

By allowing a modest 1.8-foot reduction to the W. Elm Street front setback, the variance balances flexibility for the property owner with the preservation of the neighborhood's character, fulfilling the overall goals of the LDC and Comprehensive Plan.

5. Explain how the situation sought to be relieved by the variance does not result from an illegal act or result from the actions of the applicant, resulting in a self-imposed hardship.

The need for this variance arises from a prior violation. While the violation exists, strict enforcement without relief could cause greater harm than benefit, as it would prevent the property owner from having a functional and aesthetically compatible porch on the W. Elm Street frontage.

The situation is not the result of intentional wrongdoing or negligent action by the applicant, but rather the constraints of a corner lot with dual frontages, which limit the ability to comply fully with the 10-foot front setback while achieving a practical and visually consistent porch design. Granting the variance allows the property to be brought into compliance in a reasonable and balanced manner, preserving neighborhood character and minimizing any negative impact.

6. Explain how allowing the variance will result in substantial justice being done, considering both the public benefits intended to be secured by the LDC and the individual hardships that will be suffered by a failure to grant a variance.

Granting the requested variance will achieve substantial justice by allowing the property owner to make reasonable and functional use of the W. Elm Street frontage without undermining the public benefits intended by the Land Development Code. The LDC's setback provisions are designed to preserve neighborhood character, maintain open space, and ensure safety for pedestrians and vehicles — all of which will remain intact with the porch design.

The requested 1.8-foot reduction is minimal, maintains adequate distance from the property line, and will not interfere with visibility, access, or the enjoyment of neighboring properties. The porch will remain open on all sides except where attached to the principal structure and will be consistent with the architectural style of the home, thereby contributing positively to the streetscape.

If the variance is denied, the property owner would be unable to enjoy a porch of practical depth and proportion due to the unique W. Elm Street setback constraint. This would impose a hardship not shared by similarly zoned properties with more flexible lot configurations, while offering no meaningful public benefit. Approving the variance, therefore, strikes a fair balance between upholding the public purposes of the LDC and alleviating a unique, site-specific hardship.

Prepared by and Return to:
Yosmany Cuellar Duque
Out W Paris St
Panpa F1. 33634

6802 ROSEWOOD CIT. TAMPA PL 33615

Parcel ID Number: U-36-28-17-OCT-000050-00025.0

INSTR # 2006366086 O BK 16768 PG 1829

1 (400) 12 (40 N 200) 16 200 16 201 20 M 30 N 10 21 16 201 N 200 N 200 10 201 16 201 1 101

Pg 1829; (1pg)

RECORDED 07/31/2006 09:03:39 AM PAT FRANK CLERK OF COURT HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY DOC TAX PD(F.S.201.02) 362.25 DEPUTY CLERK S Edson

Ouitclaim Deed

This Quitclaim Deed, Made this 13th day of July Yosmany Cuellar Duque, a single man

, 2006 A.D., Between

of the County of Hillsborough

State of Florida

, grantor, and

Sonia Medina, a single woman

whose address is: 6021 W Paris Street, Tampa, FL 33634

of the County of Hillsborough

State of Florida

, grantee.

Witnesseth that the GRANTOR, for and in consideration of the sum of

and other good and valuable consideration to GRANTOR in hand paid by GRANTEE, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained and quitclaimed to the said GRANTEE and GRANTEE's heirs, successors and assigns forever, the following described land, situate, lying and being in the County of Hillsborough State of Florida to wit:

Lot 25, Block 50, TOWN N' COUNTRY PARK, UNIT 20, as recorded in Plat Book 41 Page 45 of the Public Records of Hillsborough County, Florida.

a/k/a 6802 Rosewood Ct. Tampa Fl. 33615

To Have and to Hold the same together with all and singular the appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, and all the estate, right, title, interest, lien, equity and claim whatsoever of grantor, either in law or equity, for the use, benefit and profit of the said grantee forever.

the use, benefit and profit of the said grantee forever.		
In Witness Whereof, the grantor has hereunto set his hand and seal the day and year first above written.		
Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:	(;	Seal)
Printed Name: Law ON OH A. W. Masmany Cuellar Dique	•	ĺ
Witness Printed Name: Judy Mogan Witness		
STATE OF Florida COUNTY OF Hillsborough The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this Yosmany Cuellar Duque July	, 2006	by
who are personally known to me or who have produced their Florida driver's light as identification.		

Notary Public State of Florida Randolph A Griffin My Commission DQ380343

Expires 01/02/2009

My Commission Expires:

Laser Generated by © Display Systems, Inc., 2003 (863) 763-5555 Form FLQCD-1

Printed Name: Notary Public



Development Services Hillsborough County Florida Development Services



Application No: 25-1312	Official Use Only Intake Date: 08/21/2025
Hearing(s) and type: Date:10/27/2025	O(1) O(1) O(1)
Date:	Type: Intake Staff Signature: Phillips
oddress 6802 Rosewood Ct	Property Information City/State/Zip: Tampa, FL 33615
	O Zoning: RSC-6 Future Land Use: R-4 Property Size: 0.26
Pi	roperty Owner Information
Sonia Medina	
ddress: 6802 Rosewood Ct	Tampa, FL 33615
Same as applicant	Fax Number N / A
	Applicant Information
Luisa I Alonso	Daytime Phone 813-735-8398
ddress: 1024 W Hillsborough A	AveCity/State/Zip:_Tampa, FL 33603
laalassociates@yahoo.c	
Applicant's R	Representative (if different than above)
ame: N/A	Daytime Phone N / A
ddress: N / A	City/State/Zip: N / A
mail: N / A	Fax Number N / A
I hereby swear or affirm that all the informat provided in the submitted application packer and accurate, to the best of my knowledge, a authorize the representative listed above to act on my behalf on this application. Signature of the Applicant	t is true and recognize that the final action taken on this



PARCEL INFORMATION HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY FLORIDA

	, ,
Jurisdiction	Unincorporated County
Zoning Category	Residential
INFL	i
Zoning	RSC-6
Description	Residential - Single-Family Conventional
Flood Zone:X	AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD
Flood Zone:AE	BFE = 9.0 ft
FIRM Panel	0189H
FIRM Panel	12057C0189H
Suffix	Н
Effective Date	Thu Aug 28 2008
Pre 2008 Flood Zone	AE
Pre 2008 Flood Zone	X500
Pre 2008 Firm Panel	1201120190D
County Wide Planning Area	Town and Country
Community Base Planning Area	Town and Country
Community Base Planning Area	Town and Country Focus
Census Data	Tract: 011613 Block: 1000
Future Landuse	R-4
Urban Service Area	USA
Water Interlocal	City of Tampa Water
Mobility Assessment District	Urban
Mobility Benefit District	1
Fire Impact Fee	Northwest
Parks/Schools Impact Fee	NORTHWEST
ROW/Transportation Impact Fee	ZONE 10
Wind Borne Debris Area	140 MPH Area
Aviation Authority Height Restrictions	110' AMSL
Aviation Authority	Landfill Notification Area
Competitive Sites	NO
Redevelopment Area	NO



Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMa; contributors, and the GIS User Community, EGIS

Folio: 7864.0000 PIN: U-36-28-17-0CT-000050-00025.0 Sonia Medina Mailing Address: 6802 Rosewood Ct null Tampa, Fl 33615-3318

Site Address: 6802 Rosewood Ct Tampa, Fl 33615 SEC-TWN-RNG: 36-28-17

Acreage: 0.26 Market Value: \$570,165.00 Landuse Code: 0100 SINGLE FAMILY

Hillsborough County makes no warranty, representation or guaranty as to the content, sequence, accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the geodata information provided herein. The reader should not rely on the data provided herein for any reason. Hillsborough County explicitly disclaims any representations and warranties, including, without limitations, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Hillsborough County shall assume no liability for: 1. Any error, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided regardless of how caused.

2. Any decision made or action taken or not taken by any person in reliance upon any information or data furnished hereunder.