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### 1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY



## Introduction Summary:

The applicant requests to rezone property zoned PD (Planned Development) \#85-0317 to PD \#23-0785 to develop a 536 unit multi-family project.

| Zoning: | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| District(s) | PD 85-0317 | PD 23-0785 |
| Typical General <br> Use(s) | 119 | Multi-Family Residential |
| Acreage | 0.11 FAR | 119 |
| Density/Intensity | 600,00 sf | $4.5 \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Mathematical <br> Maximum* | 536 units |  |

*number represents a pre-development approximation

| Development <br> Standards: | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| District(s) | PD 85-0317 | PD 23-0785 |
| Lot Size / Lot Width | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | $30^{\prime}$ North | $25^{\prime}$ North |
|  | $30^{\prime}$ South | $25^{\prime}$ South |
| Setbacks/Buffering <br> and Screening | $30^{\prime}$ East (from wetland setback) | $35^{\prime}$ East |
|  | $20^{\prime}$ West | $25^{\prime}$ West |
|  | $20^{\prime}-30^{\prime}$ Buffering/B \& C screening | $20^{\prime} \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}$ screening along west and 5' B/A screening |
| along east |  |  |


| Additional Information: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PD Variation(s) | None requested as part of this application |


| Waiver(s) to the Land Development Code | None requested as part of this application |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |


| Planning Commission Recommendation: <br> Consistent | Development Services Recommendation: <br> Approvable, subject to proposed conditions |
| :--- | :--- |

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.1 Vicinity Map



Folio: 77457.0100
APPLICATION SITE

+ RAILROADS
SCHOOLS
PARKS

STR: 6-31-20


 , mir mivernion
造


## Context of Surrounding Area:

The site is located in the Riverview comment, to east of Interstate 75, north of Big Bend Road. The area contains multi-family and single-family developments. Commercial uses can be found along Big Bend Road and US Hwy 301. Vance Vogel Sports Complex is located to the south of the site.

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.2 Future Land Use Map



| Subject Site Future Land Use Category: | RES-9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Density/F.A.R.: | 9 units per acre |
| Typical Uses: | Residential, urban scale neighborhood commercial, office uses, multi- <br> purpose projects and mixed use development. |

### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.3 Immediate Area Map



### 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.4 Proposed Site Plan (partial provided below for size and orientation purposes. See Section 8.0 for full site plan)

3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

| Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Classification | Current Conditions | Select Future Improvements |
| Bullfrog Creek Rd. | County Collector <br> - Rural | 2 Lanes <br> $\boxtimes$ Substandard Road $\boxtimes$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes Substandard Road Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes Substandard Road Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes <br> $\square$ Substandard Road <br> $\square$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |

Project Trip Generation $\square$ Not applicable for this request

|  | Average Annual Daily Trips | A.M. Peak Hour Trips | P.M. Peak Hour Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing | 3,536 | 503 | 481 |
| Proposed | 2,510 | 225 | 210 |
| Difference (+/-) | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{1 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{2 7 1}$ |

*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

Connectivity and Cross Access $\square$ Not applicable for this request

| Project Boundary | Primary Access | Additional <br> Connectivity/Access | Cross Access | Finding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North |  | None | None | Meets LDC |
| South | None | None | None | Meets LDC |
| East | X | Vehicular \& Pedestrian | None | Meets LDC |
| West |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |


| Design Exception/Administrative Variance $\quad \square$ Not applicable for this request |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Road Name/Nature of Request | Type | Finding |
| Bullfrog Creek Rd./ Substandard Road | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| Notes: |  |  |

## 4．0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION \＆AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

INFORMATION／REVIEWING AGENCY

| Environmental： | Comments Received | Objections | Conditions Requested | Additional Information／Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Protection Commission | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Yes } \\ & \boxtimes \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No |  |
| Natural Resources | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Yes } \\ & \boxtimes \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\boxtimes$ Yes No |  |
| Conservation \＆Environ．Lands Mgmt． | 区 Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Yes } \\ & \boxtimes \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | 区 Yes No |  |
| Check if Applicable： <br> Wetlands／Other Surface Waters <br> Use of Environmentally Sensitive Land Credit Wellhead Protection Area Surface Water Resource Protection Area | $\square$ Potable $\square$ Significant $\square$ Coastal $\square$ Urban／Su $\square$ Adjacent $\boxtimes$ Other＿＿＿ | ater Wellfield Prote Wildlife Habitat h Hazard Area urban／Rural Sce o ELAPP property resumption of a | ection Area <br> c Corridor <br> ald eagle nes | on site |
| Public Facilities： | Comments Received | Objections | Conditions Requested | Additional Information／Comments |
| Transportation <br> Design Exc．／Adm．Variance Requested <br> Off－site Improvements Provided | $\boxtimes$ Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \boxtimes \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | 凹 Yes <br> $\square$ No |  |
| Service Area／Water \＆Wastewater 凹Urban $\quad \square$ City of Tampa $\square$ Rural $\quad \square$ City of Temple Terrace | ® Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\square$ Yes <br> ® No | $\square$ Yes <br> ® No |  |
| Hillsborough County School Board <br> Adequate $\boxtimes$ K－5 $\boxtimes 6$－8 $\quad \square 9-12 \quad \square N / A$ <br> Inadequate $\square$ K－5 $\square 6$－8 『9－12 $\square$ N／A | 凹 Yes <br> $\square$ No | $\square$ Yes <br> $\boxtimes$ No | 区 Yes <br> 区 No |  |
| Impact／Mobility Fees（Fee estimate is based on a 1，200 square foot，Multi－Family Units 1－2 story） <br> Mobility：$\$ 6,661$＊ 550 units $=\$ 3,663,550$ <br> Parks：$\$ 1,555$＊ 550 units $=\$ 855,250$ <br> School：$\$ 3,891$＊ 550 units $=\$ 2,140,050$ <br> Fire：$\$ 249$＊ 550 units $=\$ 136,950$ <br> Total Multi－Family（1－2 story）$=\$ 6,795,800 \quad$ Urban Mobility，South Park／Fire－ 550 multi－family units |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Plan： | Comments Received | Findings | Conditions Requested | Additional Information／Comments |
| Planning Commission Meets Locational Criteria N／A Locational Criteria Waiver Requested Minimum Density Met N／A | Z Yes No | Inconsistent $\boxtimes$ Consistent | $\square$ Yes <br> －No |  |

### 5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1 Compatibility

The subject project is located on the east side of I-75 (separated from the site by Bullfrog Creek Road). The proposed use and density is in line with the RES-9 Future Land Use category, which can consider multi-family uses at 9 units per acre. The proposed use is less intense than the industrial, office and commercial uses permitted under the current zoning. The presence of a $66+/-$ acre lake on the site places development in the northeast corner of the site. This provides a separation of approximately 2,000 feet from the southern boundary and approximately 500 feet from the western boundary. A large conservation/wetland area is present to the east of the property, providing approximately 600 feet between the subject site and residential to the east. Land Development Code required buffering and screening ( 5 ' buffer / Type A) screening is provided along the northern, southern, and eastern boundaries. Building placement along the northern boundary is limited to two (of the 14 total) multi-family buildings and the amenity building. The proposed height is an increase of 5 feet from what is presently permitted. Buildings will meet the 2:1 additional setback for buildings over 20 feet in height. Access is limited to only Bullfrog Creek Road, with no cross access to the north, south or east. Land Development Code required screening will be placed along the western boundary to mitigate for excessive traffic noise.

Given the above, staff finds the project compatible with the surrounding area.

### 5.2 Recommendation

Approvable, subject to conditions.

### 6.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Requirements for Certification:

1) The developer shall revise the PD site plan to:
a) Revise the general statement to reflect correct proposed unit count (it conflicts with the site data table on the same page);
b) Remove all references to proposed signage (staff notes that signage must be reviewed and permitted separately in accordance with applicable rules and regulations);
c) Revise General Note 1 to describe the internal roadway as well as the driveways (consistent with proposed condition 4, above).
d) Correct existing/proposed sidewalk placement. Staff notes that sidewalk just south of the proposed project is located immediately $+/-2$-feet west of the existing right-of-way boundary (see photo below), which is inconsistent with how its drawn on the PD site plan. Also, proposed sidewalk should be located consistent with the conditions proposed hereinabove.
e) Revise note 10 to add to the end of the sentence ", subject to compliance with the Design Exception and conditions of zoning approval."
2) Site plan to be modified to comply with condition \#3.

Approval - Approval of the request, subject to the conditions listed below, is based on the general site plan submitted January 30, 2024.

1. The project shall be limited to a maximum of 536 multi-family units within 14 multi-family buildings. Development amenities, such as parks, clubhouses, recreational uses, a dog park and a dock shall be permitted where generally depicted on the general site plan.
2. Notwithstanding proposed setbacks on the general site plan's Site Data Table and building setback delineation lines on the general site plan, buildings shall be located where generally depicted on the site plan.
3. Multi-family building height shall be limited to a maximum of 60 feet and a maximum/minimum of 4-stories (in accordance with the Transportation Analysis). An additional setback of 2 feet for every 1 foot over 20 feet in height shall be provided along all PD boundaries.
4. Garage buildings shall be limited to a maximum of 20 feet in height. The minimum setback shall be 25 feet from all PD boundaries. No garage buildings shall be located within a wetland and shall comply with the wetland minimum setback.
5. A 20- foot wide buffer with Type B screening shall be provided along the western PD boundary.
6. A 5 foot wide buffer with Type A screening shall be provided along the northern, eastern, and southern PD boundaries. No screening within a wetland or wetland setback shall be permitted. Any existing vegetation may contribute to all or parts of the required Type A screening, as reviewed and approved by Natural Resources. Where Type A screening cannot be located along these PD boundaries due to the presence of wetlands or a wetland setback, and existing vegetation does not meet Type A screening requirements, the screening shall be located within the site.
7. Natural Resources staff identified a number of significant trees on the site including potential Grand Oaks. Every effort must be made to avoid the removal of these trees and to design the site around them. The site plan may be modified from the Certified Site Plan to avoid tree removal.
8. An evaluation of the property supports the presumption that listed animal species may occur or have restricted activity zones throughout the property (bald eagle nest HLOO5 is located on the site). Pursuant to the Land Development Code (LDC), a wildlife survey of any endangered, threatened or species of special concern in accordance with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Wildlife Methodology Guidelines shall be required. This survey information must be provided upon submittal of the preliminary plans through the Land Development Code's Site Development or Subdivision process. Essential Wildlife Habitat as defined by the LDC must be addressed, if applicable. The site plan may be modified from the Certified Site Plan to avoid impacts to wild life.
9. Wetlands or other surface waters are considered Environmentally Sensitive Areas and are subject to Conservation Area and Preservation Area setbacks. A minimum setback must be maintained around these areas which shall be designated on all future plan submittals. Only items explicitly stated in the condition of approval or items allowed per the LDC may be placed within the wetland setback. Proposed land alterations are restricted within the wetland setback areas. (Note: It appears that a portion of Bullfrog Creek is located on this site but is not depicted on the current site plan.)
10. Approval of this petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that Natural Resources approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impacts to trees, natural plant communities or wildlife habitat, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
11. The construction and location of any proposed environmental impacts are not approved by this rezoning, but shall be reviewed by Natural Resources staff through the site and subdivision development plan process pursuant to the Land Development Code.
12. Per the definition of "adjacent" in Article XII Definitions of the Land Development Code (LDC), the subject application is adjacent to the Golden Aster Scrub Preserve. Per LDC 4.01.11, compatibility of the development with the preserve will be ensured with a compatibility plan that addresses issues related to the development such as, but not necessarily limited to, access, prescribed fire, and landscaping. The compatibility plan shall be proposed by the developer, reviewed and approved by the Conservation and Environmental Lands Management Department, and shall be required as a condition of granting a Natural Resources Permit.
13. Approval of this zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impact to wetlands, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
14. The construction and location of any proposed wetland impacts are not approved by this correspondence but shall be reviewed by EPC staff under separate application pursuant to the EPC Wetlands rule detailed in Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC, (Chapter 1-11) to determine whether such impacts are necessary to accomplish reasonable use of the subject property.
15. Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the approved wetland / other surface water (OSW) line must be incorporated into the site plan. The wetland/ OSW line must appear on
all site plans, labeled as "EPC Wetland Line", and the wetland must be labeled as "Wetland Conservation Area" pursuant to the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).
16. Final design of buildings, stormwater retention areas, and ingress/egresses are subject to change pending formal agency jurisdictional determinations of wetland and other surface water boundaries and approval by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
17. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the PD boundaries.
18. The project shall be served by (and limited to) one (1) vehicular connection to Bullfrog Creek Rd. All other existing access connections serving the property shall be closed, with aprons removed and sodding restored.
19. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development, the developer shall construct the following site access improvements:
a. A southbound to eastbound left turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project entrance; and,
b. A northbound to eastbound right turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project entrance.

Such improvements shall not be permitted to alter the existing western edge of the roadway (i.e. only east side widening shall be permitted).
20. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development, the developer shall construct a Typical Section - 3 (TS-3) compliant roadway, between Bullfrog Creek Rd. and continuing east within the site for a distance of +/- 650 feet, as generally shown on the PD site plan. Internal transportation facilities shall be considered driveways beyond this point. The roadway and all driveways within the project shall be privately owned and maintained. Internal driveways shall be gated and comply with Typical Detail - 9 (TD-9) within the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM).
21. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary:
a. The minimum 5 -foot-wide sidewalks to be constructed along the entirety of the project's Bullfrog Creek frontage (and portions of the roadway south of the project consistent with the Design Exception), shall be constructed in a location consistent with Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) Typical Section - 7 (TS-7), i.e. as close to the eastern right-of-way boundary as possible, with a 2 -foot minimum grass strip between the sidewalk and eastern right-of-way boundary;
b. Where the required sidewalk is constructed along the project's frontage, the developer shall construct the sidewalk within the subject property and provide and easement (for public access and maintenance purposes) in accordance with Sec. 6.03.02.D. of the LDC if necessary to comply with TTM TS-7 sidewalk separation requirements. Alternatively, the property owner may (at its sole option) dedicate and convey the underlying fee to the County; and,
c. Sidewalk shall be constructed within to the proposed project in accordance with Sec. 6.03.02. of the LDC.
22. If PD 23-0785 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception request (dated January 26, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on February 5, 2024) for the Bullfrog Creek Rd. substandard road improvements. As Bullfrog Creek Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make certain improvements to Bullfrog Creek Rd., consistent with the Design Exception.

Specifically, prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development and in addition to the sidewalks required pursuant to LDC Sec. 6.03 .02 and as further described in condition 21, above, the developer shall construct $+/-450$ feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios 77479.1004 and 77479.1005 ) and $+/-$ 735 feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios $77551.0500,77551.0100$, and along a portion of the frontage of folio 77565.0000 that is between its northern property boundary and the $1^{\text {st }}$ driveway serving that folio).
23. Consistent with the applicant's transportation analysis, all buildings containing residential dwelling units shall be a minimum of 4-stores in height.
24. In accordance with LDC Section 5.03.07.C, the certified PD general site plan shall expire for the internal transportation network and external access points, as well as for any conditions related to the internal transportation network and external access points, if site construction plans, or equivalent thereof, have not been approved for all or part of the subject Planned Development within 5 years of the effective date of the PD unless an extension is granted as provided in the LDC. Upon expiration, re-certification of the PD General Site Plan shall be required in accordance with provisions set forth in LDC Section 5.03.07.C.
25. If the notes and/or graphics on the site plan are in conflict with specific zoning conditions and/or the LDC regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall apply, unless specifically conditioned otherwise. References to development standards of the LDC in the above stated conditions shall be interpreted as the regulations in effect at the time of preliminary site plan/plat approval.

| Zoning Administrator Sign Off: |
| :--- |
| SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN |
| \& BUILDING REVIEW AND APPROVAL. |
| Approval of this re-zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the project will receive |
| approvals/permits necessary for site development as proposed will be issued, nor does it imply that other required permits needed |
| for site development or building construction are being waived or otherwise approved. The project will be required to comply |
| with the Site Development Plan Review approval process in addition to obtain all necessary building permits for on-site structures. |

ZHM HEARING DATE:
BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: April 9, 2024

### 7.0 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND/OR GRAPHICS

### 8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)



### 8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)



### 8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)



ZHM HEARING DATE:

### 9.0 FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT (see following pages)

## AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: ZONING TECHNICIAN, Development Services Department
DATE: 2/12/2024
REVIEWER: James Ratliff, AICP, PTP, Principal Planner
PLANNING SECTOR/AREA: RV
AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation
PETITION NO: RZ 23-0785
This agency has no comments.

This agency has no objection.

X This agency has no objection, subject to listed or attached conditions.

This agency objects, based on the listed or attached conditions.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along the PD boundaries.
2. The project shall be served by (and limited to) one (1) vehicular connection to Bullfrog Creek Rd. All other existing access connections serving the property shall be closed, with aprons removed and sodding restored.
3. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development, the developer shall construct the following site access improvements:
a. A southbound to eastbound left turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project entrance; and,
b. A northbound to eastbound right turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project entrance.

Such improvements shall not be permitted to alter the existing western edge of the roadway (i.e. only east side widening shall be permitted).
4. Prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development, the developer shall construct a Typical Section - 3 (TS-3) compliant roadway, between Bullfrog Creek Rd. and continuing east within the site for a distance of $+/-650$ feet, as generally shown on the PD site plan. Internal transportation facilities shall be considered driveways beyond this point. The roadway and all driveways within the project shall be privately owned and maintained. Internal driveways shall be gated and comply with Typical Detail 9 (TD-9) within the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM).
5. Notwithstanding anything shown on the PD site plan to the contrary:
a. The minimum 5-foot-wide sidewalks to be constructed along the entirety of the project's Bullfrog Creek frontage (and portions of the roadway south of the project consistent with the Design Exception), shall be constructed in a location consistent with Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) Typical Section - 7 (TS-7), i.e. as close to the eastern right-ofway boundary as possible, with a 2 -foot minimum grass strip between the sidewalk and eastern right-of-way boundary;
b. Where the required sidewalk is constructed along the project's frontage, the developer shall construct the sidewalk within the subject property and provide and easement (for public
access and maintenance purposes) in accordance with Sec. 6.03.02.D. of the LDC if necessary to comply with TTM TS-7 sidewalk separation requirements. Alternatively, the property owner may (at its sole option) dedicate and convey the underlying fee to the County; and,
c. Sidewalk shall be constructed within to the proposed project in accordance with Sec. 6.03.02. of the LDC.
6. If PD 23-0785 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception request (dated January 26, 2024) which was found approvable by the County Engineer (on February 5, 2024) for the Bullfrog Creek Rd. substandard road improvements. As Bullfrog Creek Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make certain improvements to Bullfrog Creek Rd., consistent with the Design Exception. Specifically, prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development and in addition to the sidewalks required pursuant to LDC Sec. 6.03.02 and as further described in condition 5 , above, the developer shall construct $+/-450$ feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios 77479.1004 and 77479.1005 ) and $+/-735$ feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios $77551.0500,77551.0100$, and along a portion of the frontage of folio 77565.0000 that is between its northern property boundary and the $1^{\text {st }}$ driveway serving that folio).
7. Consistent with the applicant's transportation analysis, all buildings containing residential dwelling units shall be a minimum of 4 -stores in height.

## Other Conditions

- Prior to PD Site Plan Certification, the developer shall revise the PD site plan to:
- Revise the general statement to reflect correct proposed unit count (it conflicts with the site data table on the same page);
- Remove all references to proposed signage (staff notes that signage must be reviewed and permitted separately in accordance with applicable rules and regulations);
- Revise General Note 1 to describe the internal roadway as well as the driveways (consistent with proposed condition 4 , above).
- Correct existing/proposed sidewalk placement. Staff notes that sidewalk just south of the proposed project is located immediately $+/-2$-feet west of the existing right-of-way boundary (see photo below), which is inconsistent with how its drawn on the PD site plan. Also, proposed sidewalk should be located consistent with the conditions proposed hereinabove.
- Revise note 10 to add to the end of the sentence ", subject to compliance with the Design Exception and conditions of zoning approval."



## PROJECT OVERVIEW \& TRIP GENERATION

The applicant is requesting to rezone $\mathrm{a}+/-122.18 \mathrm{ac}$. parcel from Planned Development (PD) 85-0317 to PD. Approved PD 85-0317 currently has approvals for a up to 600,000 s.f. of "...industrial/warehouse and office uses only with some internal oriented ancillary commercial uses. No free-standing commercial uses shall be permitted" (reference condition 2). Existing conditions 6 and 7 required a connecting roadway between US 301, before the project could develop beyond its first phase (which was required to consist of 360,000 s.f. of uses, with certain types of uses constituting $60 \%$ of the development each). Staff does not understand how 3 different types of uses can each account for $60 \%$ of the development, and so for the purposes of estimating differences in maximum potential trip impacts between the existing and proposed zoning designations (and because there is no longer any land left whereby a roadway could be constructed to connect the subject site to US 301), the below estimates for existing zoning impacts were based upon a maximum potential of 360,000 s.f. of general office uses.

The applicant is seeking entitlements to construct 536 multi-family dwelling units. The applicant's transportation analysis utilized the Land Use Code (LUC) for Mid-Rise Multifamily Housing, i.e. the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation Manual LUC 221, which is based on trip generation data from residential development with buildings between 4 and 10 floors of living space. As such, staff has included a condition requiring all residential buildings to be a minimum of 4 stories in height. Alternatively, the applicant could have utilized the LUC for low-rise multi-family buildings, which generate greater amounts of traffic, and would have therefore resulted in a worst-case scenario and allowed for flexibility in building height.

Consistent with Development Review Procedures Manual requirements, the applicant submitted a trip generation and stie access analysis for the proposed project. Transportation Review Section staff has prepared the below comparison of the number of trips generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario and consistent with issues/assumptions outlined above. Data presented below is based on the institute of Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition.

Existing Zoning:

| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour Two-Way | Total Peak Hour Trips |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | AM | PM |
| PD 85-0317, 360,000 s.f. of Office Uses <br> (LUC 710) | 3,536 | 503 | 481 |

Proposed Use:

| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour Two-Way | Total Peak Hour Trips |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | AM | PM |
| PD 23-0785, 536 Multi-family Apartments 4-10 <br> Stories (LUC 221) | 2,510 | 225 | 210 |


| Land Use/Size | 24 Hour Two-Way | Total Peak Hour Trips |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | AM | PM |
| Difference | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{1 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{( - )} \mathbf{2 7 1}$ |

## EXISTING AND PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

 Bullfrog Creek Rd. is a 2-lane, publicly maintained, substandard collector road, characterized by $+/-22$ feet of pavement in average condition. The existing right-of-way in the vicinity of the project is $+/-100$ feet. There are no paved shoulders along the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed project. There no bicycle facilities present on the facility in the vicinity of the proposed project. There are $+/-5$-foot-wide sidewalks along portions of the east side of the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed project.Staff is aware of potential County plans for an extension of the South Coast Greenway along the west side of Bullfrog Creek Rd. As such, the Design Exception and above conditions were formulated to ensure no widening occurs along the west side of the roadway (to ensure the existing right-of-way along the west side of the roadway is preserved for the trail future project).

## SITE ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY

The project will be served via a single vehicular access connection to Bullfrog Creek Rd. LDC Sec. 6.04.04.A.3 does not permit residential projects to be accessed via driveways with a length greater than 250 feet. Given this, the applicant has proposed constructing the first $+/-650$ feet of the internal transportation facility as a Type TS-3 roadway as shown within the Transportation Technical Manual (TTM). TS-3 roadways are constructed within 50 -foot-wide rights-of-way and feature 10 -foot-wide travel lanes, 5 -footwide sidewalks along both sides of the roadway, and Miami curbing along both sides of the roadway.

As shown in the applicant's transportation analysis, certain auxiliary (turn) lanes as required per LDC Sec. 6.04.04.D. Specifically, the developer will be required to construct:

1. A southbound to eastbound left turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project access driveway; and,
2. A northbound to eastbound right turn lane on Bullfrog Creek Rd. into the project access driveway.

## DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST - BULLFROG CREEK RD. SUBSTANDARD ROAD

As Bullfrog Creek Rd. is a substandard collector roadway, the applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated January 26, 2024) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the request approvable (on February 5, 2024). The deviations from the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) TS-7 Typical Section (for 2-Lane Rural Local and Collector Roadways) include:

1. The developer will be permitted to leave the existing +/- 11-foot-wide travel lanes in lieu of the 12-foot-wide lanes required per TS-7;
2. The developer will be permitted to leave the unpaved shoulders in their existing configuration, in
lieu of the 8 -foot-wide shoulders (of which 5 -feet is required to be paved) per TS-7 and which serve as the required bicycle facilities; and,
3. The developer will be permitted to construct the 3-lane sections (i.e including the site access turn lanes) within a $+/-100$-foot-wide right-of-way, rather than the 108 -foot-wide right-of-way required pursuant to the TTM.

In lieu of the above improvements which were required to be constructed between the project driveway and nearest roadway meeting an applicable standard, the applicant is proposing to construct $+/-450$ feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios 77479.1004 and 77479.1005) and $+/-735$ feet of sidewalk (along the complete frontages of folios $77551.0500,77551.0100$, and along a portion of the frontage of folio 77565.0000 that is between its northern property boundary and the $1^{\text {st }}$ driveway serving that folio)

Staff notes that there was an error in the Design Exception (DE) due to the fact that the DE utilized outdated aerials. Because of this, the amount of sidewalk which the applicant will be installing is less than the value indicated in the DE request, since a portion of the area they are proposing to construct sidewalk has already been constructed by another developer. Staff notes that the zoning condition and above summary accurately conveys the extent of the required improvements as of the date of this staff report.

If PD 23-0785 is approved, the County Engineer will approve the Design Exception request.

## ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) INFORMATION

Bullfrog Creek Rd. was not evaluated as a part of the 2020 Hillsborough County Level of Service (LOS) Report. As such, LOS information for this project cannot be provided.

## Ratliff, James

| From: | Williams, Michael |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, February 5, 2024 6:57 PM |
| To: | Steven Henry |
| Cc: | Ashley Phillips; Heinrich, Michelle; Ratliff, James; Tirado, Sheida; PW-CEIntake; De Leon, Eleonor |
| Subject: | FW: RZ PD 23-0785 - Design Exception Review |
| Attachments: | 23-0785 DEAdd 01-30-24.pdf |
|  |  |
| Importance: | High |

Steve,
I have found the attached Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (AV) or Design Exception (DE) for PD 23-xxxx APPROVABLE.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon (DeLeonE@hcfl.gov or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to PW-CEIntake@hcfl.gov
Mike
Michael J. Williams, P.E.
Director, Development Review
County Engineer
Development Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851
M: (813) 614-2190
E: Williamsm@HCFL.gov
W: HCFLGov.net
Hillsborough County
601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

[^0]From: Tirado, Sheida [TiradoS@hcfl.gov](mailto:TiradoS@hcfl.gov)
Sent: Sunday, February 4, 2024 8:31 PM
To: Williams, Michael [WilliamsM@hcfl.gov](mailto:WilliamsM@hcfl.gov)
Cc: De Leon, Eleonor [DeLeonE@hcfl.gov](mailto:DeLeonE@hcfl.gov)
Subject: RZ PD 23-0785 - Design Exception Review
Importance: High

Hello Mike,

The attached DE is approvable to me, please include the following people in your response:
shenry@lincks.com
ashleyp@jpfirm.com
heinrichm@hcfl.gov
ratliffja@hcfl.gov

Best Regards,

Sheida L. Tirado, PE (she/her/hers)
Transportation Review Manager
Development Services Department

P: (813) 276-8364
E: tirados@HCFLGov.net
W: HCFLGov.net
Hillsborough County
601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

Facebook \| Twitter \| YouTube \| Linkedln \| HCFL Stay Safe
Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

## LINCKS \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

January 26, 2024
Mr. Michael Williams, PE
County Engineer Development Review Director
Hillsborough County
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Re: Bullfrog Creek Road
RZ PD-23-0785
Folio 077457.0100
Lincks Project No. 22196

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for Bullfrog Creek Road from Old Big Bend Road to the project access.

The developer proposes to rezone the property to PD to allow up to 543 Multi-Family Dwelling Units. Table 1 provides the trip generation for the project.

The proposed PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.
According to the Hillsborough County Functional Classification Map, Bullfrog Creek Road is classified as a local roadway. However, it is anticipated the roadway may serve more than 5,000 vehicles per day, as shown in Table 2; therefore, it is considered a collector roadway. The subject site is within the Hillsborough County Urban Service Area.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-7 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for Bullfrog Creek Road. The segment of Bullfrog Creek Road currently has the following characteristics:

- Two (2) lane rural roadway
- Eleven (11) foot lanes
- Five (5) foot sidewalk along portions of the east side of the road.
- Right of way is 100 feet.

The following exceptions are requested to accommodate the proposed project.

1. Lane Width - TS-7 has 12 foot lanes. The existing roadway has 11 foot lanes.
2. Shoulders - TS-7 has 8 foot shoulder with 5 feet paved. The existing road has unpaved shoulders.

Mr. Mike Williams
January 26, 2024
Page 2
3. Sidewalk - TS-7 has five (5) foot sidewalk on both sides of the road There is an existing sidewalk on a portion of the eastside of the road.
4. Right of Way - TS-7 has 110 feet of right of way. The existing right of way is 100 feet.

The justification for the Design Exception us as follows:

1. Sidewalk - the developer proposes to construct a sidewalk along the east side of the road to provide a continuous sidewalk from the project to the Vance V. Vogel Park. The developer is to construct approximately 4,030 feet of sidewalk along the proposed frontage and then an additional 1,545 feet to fill in the missing segments.
2. The County has plans for a 12 foot trail on the west side of Bullfrog Creek Road. This will allow bike and pedestrian circulation to the park, YMCA and schools in the area.
3. The developer proposed to construct the access improvements along Bullfrog Creek Road with an east side widening that will then allow the planned trail on the west side of Bullfrog Creek Road.

Figure 1 illustrates the proposed sidewalk improvements. With these improvements there will be a continuous sidewalk from the project access to the park entrance.

Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to Bullfrog Creek Road will mitigate the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.


Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:
$\qquad$ Disapproved
$\qquad$ Approved
$\qquad$ Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E, (813) 276-8364, TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer
TABLE 1
ESTIMATED PROJECT TRIP GENERATION (1)


TABLE 2
BULLFROG CREEK ROAD ASSESSMENT

py yәәл бодниng
रемреоप्व

## (1) Counts dated 10/6/22.

(2) Peak Season Traffic converted to daily volume based on FDOT K $=0.09$.
(3) See Table 2, Trip Generation $-40 \%$ to and from the north.


FIGURE 1





HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION MAP
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### 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

| Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Classification | Current Conditions | Select Future Improvements |
| Bullfrog Creek Rd. | County Collector <br> - Rural | 2 Lanes <br> $\boxtimes$ Substandard Road <br> $\boxtimes$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes Substandard Road Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes Substandard Road Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. Lanes <br> $\square$ Substandard Road <br> $\square$ Sufficient ROW Width | Corridor Preservation Plan Site Access Improvements Substandard Road Improvements Other |

Project Trip Generation $\square$ Not applicable for this request

|  | Average Annual Daily Trips | A.M. Peak Hour Trips | P.M. Peak Hour Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing | 3,536 | 503 | 481 |
| Proposed | 2,510 | 225 | 210 |
| Difference (+/-) | $\mathbf{( - ) \mathbf { 1 , 0 2 6 }}$ | $\mathbf{( - ) \mathbf { 2 7 8 }}$ | $\mathbf{( - ) \mathbf { 2 7 1 }}$ |

*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

| Connectivity and Cross Access $\square$ Not applicable for this request |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Boundary | Primary Access | Additional <br> Connectivity/Access | Cross Access | Finding |
| North |  | None | None |  |
| South |  | None | None | Meets LDC |
| East | None | None | Meets LDC |  |
| West | Xehicular \& Pedestrian | None | Meets LDC |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |

Design Exception/Administrative Variance $\square$ Not applicable for this request

| Road Name/Nature of Request | Type | Finding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bullfrog Creek Rd./ Substandard Road | Design Exception Requested | Approvable |
|  | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| Notes: |  |  |

4.0 Additional Site Information \& Agency Comments Summary

| Transportation | Objections | Conditions <br> Requested | Additional <br> Information/Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boxtimes$ Design Exception/Adm. Variance Requested <br> $\boxtimes$ Off-Site Improvements Provided | $\square$ Yes $\square$ N/A <br> $\boxtimes$ No | $\boxtimes$ Yes <br> $\square$ No |  |



## Hillsborough County <br> City-County <br> Planning Commission

| Unincorporated Hillsborough County Rezoning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hearing Date: <br> February 20, 2024 <br> Report Prepared: <br> February 8, 2024 | Petition: PD 23-0785 <br> Folio: 77457.0100 <br> East of Interstate 75 and Bullfrog Creek Road, west of US Highway 301 South, south of Symmes Road, and north of Old Big Bend Road |
| Summary Data: |  |
| Comprehensive Plan Finding: | CONSISTENT |
| Adopted Future Land Use: | Residential-9 (RES-9) (9 du/ga; 0.50 FAR) |
| Service Area: | Urban |
| Community Plan: | Riverview and SouthShore Areawide Systems |
| Request: | Rezone to a Planned Development (PD) to allow for 536 multi-family dwelling units |
| Parcel Size (Approx.): | $119 \pm$ acres |
| Street Functional Classification: | Interstate-75 - State Principal Arterial Bullfrog Creek - Local Old Big Bend Road- County Arterial Symmes Road- County Collector |
| Locational Criteria: | N/A |
| Evacuation Zone: | B |

Plan Hillsborough planhillsborough.org planner@plancom.org 813-272-5940 601 E Kennedy Blvd $18^{\text {th }}$ floor
Tampa, FL, 33602

## Context

- The approximately $119 \pm$ acre site is located East of Interstate 75 and Bullfrog Creek Road, west of US Highway 301 South, south of Symmes Road, and north of Old Big Bend Road.
- The site is in the Urban Service Area and within the limits of the Riverview Community Plan and the SouthShore Areawide Systems Plan.
- The subject site is located in the Residential-9 (RES-9) Future Land Use Category. The intent of the RES-9 Future Land Use Category is to designate areas that are suitable for low-medium density residential, as well as urban-scale neighborhood commercial, office, multi-purpose projects and mixed-use. The RES-9 Future Land Use Category allows for up to $9 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ga}$ for residential and 175,000 square feet of non-residential or 0.50 floor area ratio (FAR) whichever is less intense. All non-residential development that exceeds 0.35 FAR must be for office or residential support uses.
- The Suburban Mixed Use-6 (SMU-6) Future Land Use is located north, northwest and south of the subject site. The Residential-9 RES-9 Future Land Use is located to the east of the subject site and Natural Preservation $(\mathrm{N})$ is located to the west, on the other side of Interstate 75.
- The area to the north, east, and west of the subject property is developed as single-family detached. To the east is a large single family detached subdivision with a large conservation area along the eastern boundary abutting the subject property to the west. To the south is another single-family detached subdivision and to the north is more single-family detached but on larger lots. To the west of the property on the other side of Interstate 75 is the Golden Aster Scrub Preserve and Trail.
- The subject site is zoned as a Planned Development (PD). PD zoning extends to the east and south. To the west of the subject site is Agricultural Rural (AR). Agricultural Single Family Conventional (ASC-1), Residential Single Family Conventional (RSC-2) and Agricultural Single Family (AS-1) are to the immediate north.
- The applicant is requesting a rezone to Planned Development (PD) to allow for the development of 536 multi-family dwelling units.


## Compliance with Comprehensive Plan:

The following Goals, Objectives, and Policies apply to this rezoning request and are used as a basis for a consistency finding.

## FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT

## Urban Service Area (USA)

Objective 1: Hillsborough County shall pro-actively direct new growth into the urban service area with the goal that at least $80 \%$ of all population growth will occur within the USA during the planning horizon of this Plan. Within the Urban Service Area, Hillsborough County will not impede agriculture. Building permit activity and other similar measures will be used to evaluate this objective.

Policy 1.2: Minimum Density All new residential or mixed-use land use categories within the USA shall have a density of 4 du/ga or greater unless environmental features or existing development patterns do not support those densities.

Within the USA and in categories allowing 4 units per acre or greater, new development or redevelopment shall occur at a density of at least $75 \%$ of the allowable density of the land use category, unless the development meets the criteria of Policy 1.3.

Policy 1.4: Compatibility is defined as the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include the following: height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean "the same as." Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development.

## Relationship to Land Development Regulations

Objective 9: All existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations as per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.

Policy 9.1: Each land use plan category shall have a set of zoning districts that may be permitted within that land use plan category, and development shall not be approved for zoning that is inconsistent with the plan.

Policy 9.2: Developments must meet or exceed the requirements of all land development regulations as established and adopted by Hillsborough County, the state of Florida and the federal government unless such requirements have been previously waived by those governmental bodies.

## Neighborhood/Community Development

Objective 16: Neighborhood Protection The neighborhood is a functional unit of community development. There is a need to protect existing neighborhoods and communities and those that will emerge in the future. To preserve, protect and enhance neighborhoods and communities, all new development must conform to the following policies.

Policy 16.2: Gradual transitions of intensities between different land uses shall be provided for as new development is proposed and approved, through the use of professional site planning, buffering and screening techniques and control of specific land uses.

## Community Design Component

### 1.2 Urban Pattern Characteristics

This pa ern can be considered for parts of the County which have future land use designations of nine (9) dwelling units per acre or more. Generally, areas of the County considered urban possess the following characteristics:

Urban Development Pattern

Compact, interconnected spatial organization
Few undeveloped spaces
Tightly Woven streets
Relatively small blocks
Multiple activity centers containing a mixture of residential and commercial
Employment centers and civic uses
Small scale open space-emphasis is placed on providing recreational facilities rather than large amount of park land

## Housing

Residential density - generally nine (9) or more dwelling units per acre
Lot sizes - typically in the range of 7,000 square feet or less
Use of the traditional community pa ern of houses - porches, garages at the rear, and alleys may be utilized
Wide variety of housing types - may include multi- family, single family, and alternative housing such as congregate living quarters and granny flats, possibly in close proximity to one another

### 5.0 Neighborhood Level Design

### 5.1 Compatibility

Goal 12: Design neighborhoods which are related to the predominant character of the surroundings.

Objective 12-1: New developments should recognize the existing community and be designed in a way that is compatible (as defined in FLUE policy 1.4) with the established character of the surrounding neighborhood.

Policy 12-1.1: Lots on the edges of new developments that have both a physical and visual relationship to adjacent property that is parceled or developed at a lower density should mi gate such impact with substantial buffering and/or compatible lot sizes.

Policy 12-1.2: Walls and buffering used to separate new development from the existing, lower density community should be designed in a style compatible with the community and should allow pedestrian penetration. In rural areas, perimeter walls are discouraged and buffering with berms and landscaping are strongly encouraged.

Policy 12-1.3: New development in existing, lower density communities should utilize the planned development process of rezoning in order to fully address impacts on the existing community. Additionally, pre-application conferences are strongly encouraged with the staffs of the Planning Commission and Hillsborough County Planning and Growth Management Department.

Policy 12-1.4: Compatibility may be achieved through the utilization of site design techniques including but not limited to transitions in uses, buffering, setbacks, open space and graduated height restrictions, to affect elements such as height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. .

Policy 12-1.6: In order to facilitate community understanding of issues, encourage early neighborhood-based input regarding rezonings which require public hearing.

Policy 12-1.7: Include design related issues as part of the neighborhood planning process.

## LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ELEMENT: SouthShore Areawide Systems Plan

Cultural/Historic Objective - The SouthShore region of Hillsborough County supports a diverse population with people living in unique communities, interspersed with farms, natural areas, open spaces and greenways that preserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage.

- Promote sustainable growth and development that is clustered and well planned to preserve the area's environment, cultural identity and livability.
- Support the principles of Livable Neighborhood Guidelines established in adopted community plans in SouthShore
- Maintain housing opportunities for all income groups
- Explore and implement development incentives throughout SouthShore that will increase the housing opportunities for all income groups, consistent with and furthering the goals, objectives and policies within the Comprehensive Plan Housing Element


## Riverview Community Plan

Goal 1 Achieve better design and densities that are compatible with Riverview's vision.

- Develop Riverview district-specific design guidelines and standards.

The standards shall build on recognizable themes and design elements that are reflective of historic landmarks, architecture and heritage of Riverview. The mixed-use, residential, non-residential and roadway design standards shall include elements such as those listed.

Goal 2 Reflect the vision of Riverview using the Riverview District Concept Map. The Riverview District Concept Map will illustrate the unique qualities and land uses related to distinct geographic areas identified as "districts". (See Figure 10)

The following specific districts are incorporated into the Riverview District Concept Map. Require future development and redevelopment to comply with the adopted Riverview District Concept Map.
5. Residential - Encourage attractive residential development that complements the surrounding character and promotes housing diversity.

Goal 4 Provide safe, attractive, efficient multi-modal transportation, including vehicular, bicycle/pedestrian and transit.

## Staff Analysis of Goals, Objectives, and Policies:

The $119 \pm$ acre subject site is located east of Interstate 75, and Bullfrog Creek Road, west of US Highway 301 South, south of Symmes Road, and north of Old Big Bend Road. The site is in the Urban Service Area and within the limits of the Riverview Community Plan
and the SouthShore Area Wide Systems Plan. The applicant is requesting a rezone to Planned Development (PD) to allow for the development of 536 multi-family dwelling units.

Objective 1 and Policy 1.2 of the Future Land Use Element (FLUE) advocates for growth in the Urban Service Area (USA). Policy 1.3 requires that all new development in land use categories in the USA with greater than $4 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$ to achieve at least $75 \%$ of categories maximum development potential. The subject site is designated as RES-9 Future Land Category in the USA. The RES-9 Future Land Use Category allows for up to $9 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ga}$ for residential and 175,000 square feet of non-residential or 0.50 floor area ratio (FAR), whichever is less intense. All non-residential development that exceeds 0.35 FAR must be for office or residential support uses. The request is for residential development and the minimum density required per policy 1.3 is 803 dwelling units ( 119 X 9 X 75\%). However, Policy 1.3 also provides for exceptions to this policy such as development at a density of $75 \%$ of the category or greater would not be compatible, and development would have an adverse impact on environmental features on the site or adjacent to the property. The property qualifies for both criteria as an exception to minimum density.

Policy 1.4 discusses the compatibility and sensitivity to the surrounding development pattern. The proposed planned development is compatible with the development pattern in the area as required in Policy 1.4. The area surrounding the subject property is developed as single-family detached. To the east is a large single family detached subdivision with a large conservation area along the eastern boundary abutting the subject property to the west. To the south is another single-family detached subdivision and to the north is more single-family detached but on larger lots. To the west of the property on the other side of Interstate-75 is the Golden Aster Scrub Preserve and Trail.

Objective 8 enables the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and Policy 8.1 mandates the range of acceptable land uses in each classification in the FLUM. Policy 8.2 requires all development to be compliant with the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan and the proposed development does meet the intent of the FLU category.

The subject site is located in the Residential-9 (RES-9) Future Land Use Category. The intent of the RES-9 Future Land Use category is to designate areas that are suitable for low-medium density residential, as well as urban-scale neighborhood commercial, office, multi-purpose projects and mixed-use. The proposed use is residential and meets the intent of the RES-9 Future Land Use category. Per the site plan dated January 30, 2024 there are only residential uses proposed and the site plan shows 536 dwelling units, which does not exceed the maximum residential development potential per the RES-9 Future Land Use classification. Policy $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ provides a method for calculating density on lands with environmental sensitivity. Man-made water bodies as defined (including borrow pits) are included in the Policy direction. Policy 13.3 uses uplands only to then be multiplied by 1.25 to determine the acreage available to calculate the density/intensity based on the Future Land Use category. The maximum density utilizing the calculation method is broken down below:

Per Site Plan as of 12/27/23
Total acreage: 119 acres
4.89 acres (wetlands+creek) +66.43 acres (lake) $=\mathbf{7 1 . 3 2}$ acres
47.68 acres of uplands

Formula: 47.68 ac $X 1.25 \mathrm{X} 9 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}=536$ dwelling units needed

The proposed Planned Development is consistent with the Neighborhood Protection policies under FLUE Objective 16. Specifically, FLUE Policy 16.2 which calls for gradual transitions of intensities between land uses. The proposed development is consistent with this policy direction, as there is a large lake covering a little over half of the property along the frontage with Bullfrog Creek Road. The lake acts as an aesthetic water feature to the area and helps cluster development to the northeastern corner of the parcel.

The Environmental Protection Commission (EPC) Wetlands Division has reviewed the proposed site plan and has determined that a resubmittal is not necessary for the site plan's current configuration. Given that there is a separate approval process for wetland impacts with the Environmental Protection Commission and they currently do not object, Planning Commission staff finds this request consistent with Objective 13 and associated policies in the FLUE and Objective 3.5 and associated policies in the E\&S.

At the time of filing this report, final transportation and zoning comments were not yet available in Optix, therefore the Planning Commission Staff finding did not take them into consideration for the analysis of this request.

The site is located within the limits of the Riverview Community Plan, specifically within the Residential District. The proposed development does support the vision of the Riverview Community Plan. The subject site meets the intent of the Cultural/Historic goals and strategies of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Plan. The Plan seeks to promote sustainable growth and maintain housing opportunities for all income groups throughout the SouthShore area. A rezoning to a Planned Development (PD) to allow for an alternative housing development which would facilitate this goal.

Overall, the proposed Planned Development would provide for a development pattern that is comparable to the mixed-use development pattern within the surrounding area and meets the intent or the vision of the Riverview Community Plan.

## Recommendation

Based upon the above considerations, the Planning Commission staff finds the proposed Planned Development CONSISTENT with the Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan.
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