# **Rezoning Application:**

**Zoning Hearing Master Date:** 

**BOCC Land Use Meeting Date:** 

**RZ-STD 22-1070 (REMAND)** 

February 20, 2023

April 11, 2023

Hillsborough County Florida

**Development Services Department** 

# **1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY**

Applicant:	Bremalie Homes, LLC

- FLU Category: Residential-1 (R-1)
- Service Area: Rural

Overlay:

- Site Acreage: 4.45 MOL
- Community
- Seffner Mango Plan Area: None

Rezone from Agricultural - Single-**Request:** Family Conventional (ASC-1) to Commercial General Restricted (CG-R)



# Introduction Summary:

The existing zoning is Agricultural – Single-Family Conventional (ASC-1) which permits Single-Family Residential/Agricultural uses pursuant to the development standards in the table below. The proposed zoning is Commercial – General Restricted (CG-R) which allows Restricted General Commercial, Office and Personal Services uses pursuant to the development standards in the table below. The application was remanded at the November 3, 2022 Board of County Commissioner's Land Use Meeting in order for the applicant to consider further clarifying proposed uses. In response, the applicant has offered restrictions limiting uses to business professional office and indoor recreation uses and limitations on operating hours (weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm).

Zoning:	Existing	Proposed
District(s)	ASC-1	CG-R
Typical General Use(s)	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural	Restricted General Commercial, Office and Personal Services
Acreage	4.45 MOL	4.45 MOL
Density/Intensity	1 du / gross acre	0.27 F.A.R.
Mathematical Maximum*	4 units	52,337 sf

\*number represents a pre-development approximation

Development Standards	Existing	Proposed
District(s)	ASC-1	CG-R
Lot Size / Lot Width	43,560 sf / 150'	10,000 sf / 75'
Setbacks/Buffering and Screening	50' Front 50' Rear 15' Sides	30' Front Buffer Rear Buffer Sides
Height	50'	50'

Planning Commission Recommendation:	Development Services Recommendation:
Consistent	Approvable

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.1 Vicinity Map



## **Context of Surrounding Area:**

The area consists of single-family residential and commercial. The subject parcels are directly adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 to the north. To the south the parcels are adjacent to vacant parcels zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6. To the east the parcels are adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 and CG-R. To the west the parcels are adjacent to a radio tower complex zoned ASC-1. Further south across State Road 574 (Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd) are CSX railroad tracks.

ZHM HEARING DATE: BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.2 Future Land Use Map



Subject Site Future Land Use Category:	Residential-1 (RES-1)
Maximum Density:	1.0 dwelling unit per gross acre / 0.25 F.A.R.
Typical Uses:	Farms, ranches, residential uses, rural scale neighborhood commercial uses, offices, and multi-purpose projects. Commercial, office, and multi- purpose uses shall meet locational criteria for specific land use projects.

# APPLICATION NUMBER: RZ-STD 22-1070 (REMAND)

ZHM HEARING DATE: BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.3 Immediate Area Map



# Adjacent Zonings and Uses

Location:	Zoning:	Maximum Density Permitted by Zoning District:	Allowable Use:	Existing Use:
North	ASC-1	1 du / gross acre	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural	Single-Family Residential
South	ASC-1, RSC-6	1 du / gross acre, 6 du / gross acre	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, Single-Family Residential (Conventional Only)	Vacant, State Right-of-way
East	ASC-1, CG-R	1 du / gross acre, 0.27 F.A.R.	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, General Commercial, Office and Personal Services	Single-Family Residential
West	ASC-1	1 du / gross acre	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural	Radio Towers

ZHM HEARING DATE:

February 20, 2023

# 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
Martin Luther King Blvd.	FDOT Principal Arterial - Urban	2 Lanes □Substandard Road □Sufficient ROW Width	<ul> <li>Corridor Preservation Plan</li> <li>Site Access Improvements</li> <li>Substandard Road Improvements</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
Shady Acres Road	Private	2 Lanes ⊠Substandard Road □Sufficient ROW Width	<ul> <li>Corridor Preservation Plan</li> <li>Site Access Improvements</li> <li>Substandard Road Improvements</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

Project Trip Generation  Not applicable for this request			
	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	38	3	4
Proposed	N/A	68	179
Difference (+/-)	N/A	(+)65	(+)175

\*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

Project Boundary	Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
North		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
South		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
East		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
West		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Design Exception/Administrative Variance 🛛 Not applicable for this request		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Туре	Finding
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Notes:		

⊠ Locational Criteria Waiver Requested

□ Minimum Density Met

Additional

Information/Comments

No Wetlands Present

Conditions

Requested

🗆 Yes

🖾 No

# 4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION & AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

# INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY Comments Objections Environmental: Comments Objections Environmental Protection Commission Image: Yes Yes Image: No Image: No Image: No

Conservation & Environ. Lands Mgmt.	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes		
	🖾 No	🖾 No	🖾 No		
Check if Applicable:	🖾 Potable W	Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area			
□ Wetlands/Other Surface Waters	🗌 Significan	Significant Wildlife Habitat			
Use of Environmentally Sensitive Land	🗌 Coastal Hi	gh Hazard Area			
Credit	🗌 Urban/Su	burban/Rural Scen	ic Corridor		
Wellhead Protection Area	🗌 Adjacent	to ELAPP property			
Surface Water Resource Protection Area	$\Box$ Other				
Public Facilities:	Comments	Objections	Conditions	Additional	
	Received	,	Requested	Information/Comments	
Transportation			🗆 Yes		
Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested	⊠ Yes	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ No		
	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Yes ⊠ No	□ No ⊠N/A		
Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested		No	⊠N/A		
□ Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested □ Off-site Improvements Provided ⊠N/A	□ No	⊠ No	⊠N/A		
<ul> <li>Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested</li> <li>Off-site Improvements Provided N/A</li> <li>Service Area/ Water &amp; Wastewater</li> </ul>		No	⊠N/A		
<ul> <li>□ Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested</li> <li>□ Off-site Improvements Provided ⊠N/A</li> <li>Service Area/ Water &amp; Wastewater</li> <li>□ Urban □ City of Tampa</li> <li>☑ Rural □ City of Temple Terrace</li> </ul>	□ No	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>□ Yes</li> <li>⊠ No</li> </ul>	⊠N/A	Additional	
<ul> <li>□ Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested</li> <li>□ Off-site Improvements Provided ⊠N/A</li> <li>Service Area/ Water &amp; Wastewater</li> <li>□ Urban □ City of Tampa</li> </ul>	□ No □ Yes □ No	⊠ No	⊠N/A □ Yes ⊠ No	Additional Information/Comments	
<ul> <li>□ Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested</li> <li>□ Off-site Improvements Provided ⊠N/A</li> <li>Service Area/ Water &amp; Wastewater</li> <li>□ Urban □ City of Tampa</li> <li>☑ Rural □ City of Temple Terrace</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>☑ Yes</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>Comments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>□ Yes</li> <li>⊠ No</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ N/A</li> <li>☑ Yes</li> <li>☑ No</li> <li>Conditions</li> </ul>		

🗆 No

⊠ N/A

 $\boxtimes$  Consistent

🖾 No

February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

### **5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS**

### 5.1 Compatibility

The approximate 4.45-acre property is comprised of two parcels both zoned ASC-1 (Agricultural – Single-Family Conventional). The subject parcels are located 200 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard and Shady Acres Road. The area is comprised of single-family residential and commercial. The subject parcels are directly adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 to the north. To the south the parcels are adjacent to vacant parcels zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6. To the east the parcels are adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 and CG-R. To the west the parcels are adjacent to a radio tower complex zoned ASC-1. Further south across State Road 574 (Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd) are CSX railroad tracks. The subject property is designated Residential-1 (RES-1) on the Future Land Use map.

The Planning Commission in their previous report on this case for the September 19<sup>,</sup> 2022 ZHM hearing mentioned several compatibility concerns regarding the proposed rezoning:

1) The subject site does not meet Commercial Locational Criteria as the nearest qualifying node at Mcintosh and Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard is located greater than 300 feet as per policy 22.2 of the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant has requested a waiver, which is in the record.

2) The Seffner Mango Community Plan discourages retail uses along Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard in the Rural Area and discourages commercial encroachment in residential areas between US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard.

In response to these concerns, the applicant has offered the following mitigating restrictions:

- 1) Business and/or professional offices, including, but not limited to accountants, banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies as well as the County code definition of "Business Service".
- 2) INDOOR USES ONLY as defined in the official County code definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor": For profit or non-profit recreational uses and facilities providing sports or recreation opportunities within an enclosed building. Such uses shall include but not be limited to bowling alleys, skating rinks, movie theatres, gymnasiums, fitness centers, dance schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etc. located completely within an enclosed building.
- 3) The operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm.

With the proposed restrictions, the Planning Commission finds the proposed rezoning CONSISTENT with the Comprehensive Plan. Development Services concurs with that assessment. The proposed restrictions limit retail and lowers the intensity of the potential uses, which provides a transition to the residential neighborhood. The proposed office and indoor uses meet the intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. Also, the restricted operating hours provide noise and traffic congestion relief to the neighboring residential area.

Based on the above considerations staff finds the requested CG-R zoning district COMPATIBLE with the existing zoning and development pattern in the area.

# 5.2 Recommendation

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the request APPROVABLE. As noted, the applicant has offered the following restrictions:

- 1. Business and/or professional offices, including, but not limited to accountants, banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies as well as the County code definition of "Business Service".
- 2. INDOOR USES ONLY as defined in the official County code definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor": For profit or non-profit recreational uses and facilities providing sports or recreation opportunities within an enclosed building. Such uses shall include but not be limited to bowling alleys, skating rinks, movie theatres, gymnasiums, fitness centers, dance schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etc. located completely within an enclosed building.
- 3. The operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm.

Zoning Administrator Sign Off:	J. Brian Grady Fri Feb 10 2023 09:31:06
SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING CONSTRUCT & BUILDING REVIEW AND APPROVAL.	ION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Approval of this re-zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the project will receive approvals/permits necessary for site development as proposed will be issued, nor does it imply that other required permits needed for site development or building construction are being waived or otherwise approved. The project will be required to comply with the Site Development Plan Review approval process in addition to obtain all necessary building permits for on-site structures.

ZHM HEARING DATE: BOCC LUM MEETING DATE:

February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

Case Reviewer: Planner Chris Grandlienard, AICP

### 6.0 FULL TRANSPORATION REPORT (see following pages)

# AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department	DATE: 02/09/2023
REVIEWER: Alex Steady, Senior Planner	AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation
PLANNING AREA/SECTOR: Seffner Mango/ Northeast	<b>PETITION NO.:</b> STD 22-1070

This agency has no comments.

This agency has no objection.

This agency objects for the reasons set forth below.

# REPORT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- The proposed rezoning would result in an increase of trips potentially generated by development
  of the subject site by 65 trips in the a.m. peak hour, and 175 trips in the p.m. peak hour.
- As this is a Euclidean zoning request, access will be reviewed at the time of plat/site/construction
  plan review for consistency with applicable rules and regulations within the Hillsborough County
  Land Development Code and Transportation Technical Manual.
- Transportation Review Section staff has no objection to the proposed rezoning.

# PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting to rezone two parcels totaling +/- 4.45 acres from Agricultural Single Family Conventional – 1 (ASC-1) to Commercial General - Restricted (CG-R). The site is located +/- 100 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin Luther King Blvd and Shady Acres Road. The Future Land Use designation of the site is Residential – 1 (R-1).

### Trip Generation Analysis

In accordance with the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM), no transportation analysis was required to process the proposed rezoning. Staff has prepared a comparison of the trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer's <u>Trip Generation Manual</u>, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition.

### Approved Zoning:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two- Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
_	way volume	AM	PM
ASC-1, 4 Single Family Dwelling Unit (ITE Code 210)	38	3	4

### Proposed Zoning:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two- Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
_	way volume	AM	PM
CG-R, 52,000 sf Health/Fitness Club (ITE Code 492)	N/A*	68	179

\*ITE does not provide a daily trip count for ITE Code 492

### Trip Generation Difference:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two- Way Volume	Total Hour	
	way volume	AM	PM
Difference	N/A	+65	+175

# TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

Martin Luther King Blvd is a 2-lane, undivided, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) maintained, Principal Arterial roadway with +/- 12-foot travel lanes. Martin Luther King Blvd has bike lanes on both side but no sidewalks or curb and gutter on either side of the roadway within the vicinity of the project. Shady Acres Road is private, substandard, local roadway with +/- 10 feet wide pavement. Shady Acres Road does not have sidewalk, bike lanes or curb and cutter on either side of the road within the vicinity of the project.

### HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY CORRIDOR PRESERVATION PLAN

Martin Luther King Blvd is shown as a 4-lane road in the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan. Additional Right of Way may need to be preserved on the subject property for the planned improvement.

# SITE ACCESS

It is anticipated that the site will have access to Martin Luther King Blvd. During the site review process, any access from the subject site to Shady Acres Road shall be closed, as it is a private residential roadway. As this is a Euclidean zoning request, access will be reviewed at the time of plat/site/construction plan review for consistency with applicable rules and regulations within the Hillsborough County Land Development Code and Transportation Technical Manual.

# ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of Service (LOS) information is reported below.

FDOT Generalized Level of Service				
Roadway	From	LOS Standard	Peak Hr Directional LOS	
M L KING BLVD	VALRICO RD	MCINTOSH RD	D	D

Source: 2020 Hillsborough County Level of Service (LOS) Report

# COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH LAND USE HEARING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

Application number:	RZ-STD 22-1070 (Remand)
Hearing date:	February 20, 2023
Applicant:	Bremalie Homes, LLC
Request:	Rezone to CG-R
Location:	<ul> <li>2922 Shady Acres Road and 12780 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, Dover</li> <li>200 feet northwest of the intersection of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and Shady Acres Road</li> </ul>
Parcel size:	4.45 acres +/-
Existing zoning:	ASC-1
Future land use designation:	Res-1 (1 du/ga; 0.25 FAR)
Service area:	Rural Services Area
Community planning area:	Seffner-Mango Community Plan

# A. APPLICATION REVIEW

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES STAFF REPORT APPLICATION REVIEW SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

# **Rezoning Application:**

**Zoning Hearing Master Date:** 

**BOCC Land Use Meeting Date:** 

**RZ-STD 22-1070 (REMAND)** February 20, 2023

April 11, 2023

Hillsborough **County** Florida

**Development Services Department** 

# **1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY**

Applicant: Bremalie Homes, LLC	Applicant:	Bremalie	Homes,	LLC
--------------------------------	------------	----------	--------	-----

- FLU Category: Residential-1 (R-1)
- Service Area: Rural

Overlay:

- Site Acreage: 4.45 MOL
- Community
- Seffner Mango Plan Area: None

Rezone from Agricultural - Single-**Request:** Family Conventional (ASC-1) to Commercial General Restricted (CG-R)



# Introduction Summary:

The existing zoning is Agricultural – Single-Family Conventional (ASC-1) which permits Single-Family Residential/Agricultural uses pursuant to the development standards in the table below. The proposed zoning is Commercial – General Restricted (CG-R) which allows Restricted General Commercial, Office and Personal Services uses pursuant to the development standards in the table below. The application was remanded at the November 3, 2022 Board of County Commissioner's Land Use Meeting in order for the applicant to consider further clarifying proposed uses. In response, the applicant has offered restrictions limiting uses to business professional office and indoor recreation uses and limitations on operating hours (weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm).

Zoning:	Existing	Proposed
District(s)	ASC-1	CG-R
Typical General Use(s)	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural	Restricted General Commercial, Office and Personal Services
Acreage	4.45 MOL	4.45 MOL
Density/Intensity	1 du / gross acre	0.27 F.A.R.
Mathematical Maximum*	4 units	52,337 sf

\*number represents a pre-development approximation

Development Standards	Existing	Proposed
District(s)	ASC-1	CG-R
Lot Size / Lot Width	43,560 sf / 150'	10,000 sf / 75'
Setbacks/Buffering and Screening	50' Front 50' Rear 15' Sides	30' Front Buffer Rear Buffer Sides
Height	50'	50'

Planning Commission Recommendation:	Development Services Recommendation:
Consistent	Approvable

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.1 Vicinity Map



## **Context of Surrounding Area:**

The area consists of single-family residential and commercial. The subject parcels are directly adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 to the north. To the south the parcels are adjacent to vacant parcels zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6. To the east the parcels are adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 and CG-R. To the west the parcels are adjacent to a radio tower complex zoned ASC-1. Further south across State Road 574 (Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd) are CSX railroad tracks.

ZHM HEARING DATE: BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.2 Future Land Use Map



Subject Site Future Land Use Category:	Residential-1 (RES-1)
Maximum Density:	1.0 dwelling unit per gross acre / 0.25 F.A.R.
Typical Uses:	Farms, ranches, residential uses, rural scale neighborhood commercial uses, offices, and multi-purpose projects. Commercial, office, and multi- purpose uses shall meet locational criteria for specific land use projects.

# APPLICATION NUMBER: RZ-STD 22-1070 (REMAND)

ZHM HEARING DATE: BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

# 2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

### 2.3 Immediate Area Map



# Adjacent Zonings and Uses

Location:	Zoning:	Maximum Density Permitted by Zoning District:	Allowable Use:	Existing Use:
North	ASC-1	1 du / gross acre	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural	Single-Family Residential
South	ASC-1, RSC-6	1 du / gross acre, 6 du / gross acre	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, Single-Family Residential (Conventional Only)	Vacant, State Right-of-way
East	ASC-1, CG-R	1 du / gross acre, 0.27 F.A.R.	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, General Commercial, Office and Personal Services	Single-Family Residential
West	ASC-1	1 du / gross acre <del>6 o</del>	Single-Family F 22 F 22	Radio Towers

ZHM HEARING DATE:

February 20, 2023

# 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
Martin Luther King Blvd.	FDOT Principal Arterial - Urban	2 Lanes □Substandard Road □Sufficient ROW Width	<ul> <li>Corridor Preservation Plan</li> <li>Site Access Improvements</li> <li>Substandard Road Improvements</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
Shady Acres Road	Private	2 Lanes ⊠Substandard Road □Sufficient ROW Width	<ul> <li>Corridor Preservation Plan</li> <li>Site Access Improvements</li> <li>Substandard Road Improvements</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

Project Trip Generation  Not applicable for this request			
	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	38	3	4
Proposed	N/A	68	179
Difference (+/-)	N/A	(+)65	(+)175

\*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Primary Access	Primary Access     Connectivity/Access       Choose an item.     Choose an item.       Choose an item.     Choose an item.	Primary AccessConnectivity/AccessCross AccessChoose an item.Choose an item.

Design Exception/Administrative Variance		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Туре	Finding
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Notes:		

⊠ Locational Criteria Waiver Requested

□ Minimum Density Met

## 4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION & AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

### **INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY Conditions** Additional Comments **Objections Environmental:** Requested Received Information/Comments 🛛 Yes ☐ Yes □ Yes **Environmental Protection Commission** No Wetlands Present 🛛 No 🖾 No 🗆 No Yes 🗌 Yes 🗆 Yes Conservation & Environ. Lands Mgmt. 🖂 No 🖂 No 🖂 No ⊠ Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area Check if Applicable: □ Significant Wildlife Habitat □ Wetlands/Other Surface Waters Coastal High Hazard Area Use of Environmentally Sensitive Land □ Urban/Suburban/Rural Scenic Corridor Credit □ Adjacent to ELAPP property □ Wellhead Protection Area □ Other □ Surface Water Resource Protection Area Comments Conditions Additional **Public Facilities: Objections** Received Requested Information/Comments **Transportation** □ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested 🗆 No 🛛 No 🗆 No ⊠N/A $\Box$ Off-site Improvements Provided $\boxtimes$ N/A Service Area/ Water & Wastewater ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes □Urban □ City of Tampa □ No 🖂 No 🖂 No ⊠Rural □ City of Temple Terrace Comments Conditions Additional **Comprehensive Plan: Findings** Received Information/Comments Requested **Planning Commission** □ Meets Locational Criteria $\Box N/A$ □ Inconsistent 🛛 Yes □ Yes

🗆 No

🖾 N/A

⊠ Consistent

🛛 No

ZHM HEARING DATE: Fe BOCC LUM MEETING DATE: Ap

February 20, 2023 April 11, 2023

# **5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS**

# 5.1 Compatibility

The approximate 4.45-acre property is comprised of two parcels both zoned ASC-1 (Agricultural – Single-Family Conventional). The subject parcels are located 200 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard and Shady Acres Road. The area is comprised of single-family residential and commercial. The subject parcels are directly adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 to the north. To the south the parcels are adjacent to vacant parcels zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6. To the east the parcels are adjacent to single-family residential zoned ASC-1 and CG-R. To the west the parcels are adjacent to a radio tower complex zoned ASC-1. Further south across State Road 574 (Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd) are CSX railroad tracks. The subject property is designated Residential-1 (RES-1) on the Future Land Use map.

The Planning Commission in their previous report on this case for the September 19<sup>,</sup> 2022 ZHM hearing mentioned several compatibility concerns regarding the proposed rezoning:

1) The subject site does not meet Commercial Locational Criteria as the nearest qualifying node at Mcintosh and Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard is located greater than 300 feet as per policy 22.2 of the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant has requested a waiver, which is in the record.

2) The Seffner Mango Community Plan discourages retail uses along Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard in the Rural Area and discourages commercial encroachment in residential areas between US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard.

In response to these concerns, the applicant has offered the following mitigating restrictions:

- 1) Business and/or professional offices, including, but not limited to accountants, banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies as well as the County code definition of "Business Service".
- 2) INDOOR USES ONLY as defined in the official County code definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor": For profit or non-profit recreational uses and facilities providing sports or recreation opportunities within an enclosed building. Such uses shall include but not be limited to bowling alleys, skating rinks, movie theatres, gymnasiums, fitness centers, dance schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etc. located completely within an enclosed building.
- 3) The operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm.

With the proposed restrictions, the Planning Commission finds the proposed rezoning CONSISTENT with the Comprehensive Plan. Development Services concurs with that assessment. The proposed restrictions limit retail and lowers the intensity of the potential uses, which provides a transition to the residential neighborhood. The proposed office and indoor uses meet the intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. Also, the restricted operating hours provide noise and traffic congestion relief to the neighboring residential area.

Based on the above considerations staff finds the requested CG-R zoning district COMPATIBLE with the existing zoning and development pattern in the area.

# 5.2 Recommendation

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the request APPROVABLE. As noted, the applicant has offered the following restrictions:

- 1. Business and/or professional offices, including, but not limited to accountants, banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies as well as the County code definition of "Business Service".
- INDOOR USES ONLY as defined in the official County code definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor": For profit or non-profit recreational uses and facilities providing sports or recreation opportunities within an enclosed building. Such uses shall include but not be limited to bowling alleys, skating rinks, movie theatres, gymnasiums, fitness centers, dance schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etc. located completely within an enclosed building.
- 3. The operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm.

Zoning Administrator Sign Off:	J. Brian Grady Fri Feb 10 2023 09:31:06	
SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN & BUILDING REVIEW AND APPROVAL.		

Approval of this re-zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the project will receive approvals/permits necessary for site development as proposed will be issued, nor does it imply that other required permits needed for site development or building construction are being waived or otherwise approved. The project will be required to comply with the Site Development Plan Review approval process in addition to obtain all necessary building permits for on-site structures.

# **B. HEARING SUMMARY**

This case was heard by the Hillsborough County Land Use Hearing Officer on February 20, 2023. Mr. Brian Grady of the Hillsborough County Development Services Department introduced the petition. Mr. Grady stated the Board of County Commissioners remanded this case with the direction that the applicant clarify the range of uses being proposed for the project.

# Applicant

Mr. Todd Pressman spoke on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Pressman presented the rezoning request and provided testimony as reflected in the hearing transcript, a copy of which is attached to and made a part of this recommendation. He stated the applicant has provided specific restrictions for the range of uses they are proposing and those restrictions were submitted into the record and are in the Development Services staff report.

# **Development Services Department**

Mr. Chris Grandlienard, Hillsborough County Development Services Department, presented a summary of the findings and analysis as detailed in the staff report previously submitted to the record.

# **Planning Commission**

Ms. Carla Llanos, Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission, presented a summary of the findings and analysis as detailed in the Planning Commission report previously submitted into the record. Ms. Llanos stated Planning Commission staff noted the Florida Department of Transportation owns the adjacent parcel south of the Subject Property.

# Proponents

The hearing officer asked whether there was anyone at the hearing in person or online to speak in support of the application. There were none.

# **Opponents**

The hearing officer asked whether there was anyone at the hearing in person or online to speak in opposition to the application. There were none.

# **Development Services Department**

Mr. Grady stated the Development Services Department had nothing further.

# **Applicant Rebuttal**

Mr. Pressman stated the applicant had nothing further.

The hearing officer closed the hearing RZ-STD 22-1070.

# C. EVIDENCE SUMBITTED

Mr. Pressman submitted into the record at the hearing a copy of the applicant's presentation slides.

# D. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Subject Property consists of two parcels totaling approximately 4.45 acres at 2922 Shady Acres Road and 12780 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard in Dover, located 200 feet northwest of the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and Shady Acres Road intersection.
- 2. The Subject Property is designated Res-1 on the Future Land Use Map and is zoned ASC-1. The Subject Property's existing zoning allows single-family residential and agricultural uses with density at one dwelling unit per gross acre.
- 3. The Subject Property is located within the boundaries of the Seffner-Mango Community Plan and is within the Rural Services Area.
- 4. The Subject Property is improved with a single-family home constructed in 1962 and prefabricated metal building.
- 5. The area surrounding the Subject Property consists of single-family residential and commercial uses. Directly adjacent to the Subject Parcel to the north is a property zoned ASC-1 and in single-family residential and pasture use; to the west is a property zoned ASC-1 and in use as a radio tower complex; to the south is a vacant parcel zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6 that is owned by the Florida Department of Transportation; further south is Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, a railroad track, and properties zoned ASC-1 and developed in single-family residential use; to the east of the Subject Property is Shady Acres Road and properties zoned CG-R; to the north east are properties zoned RSC-3 MH and ASC-1.
- 6. The applicant is requesting to rezone the Subject Property to Commercial General-Restricted.
- 7. The Subject Property does not meet Commercial Locational Criteria, and the applicant has requested a waiver. Planning Commission staff reviewed the applicant's waiver justifications and found the restricted uses are in the nature of CG uses and are more in line with BPO uses. Planning Commission staff recommended the Board of County Commissioners grant the Commercial Locational Criteria waiver request.
- 8. At the November 3, 2022 land use meeting, the Board of County Commissioners remanded the proposed rezoning for the applicant to consider further clarifying proposed restrictions limiting the allowable uses. In response, the applicant offered

restrictions limiting allowable uses to business and professional offices including uses in the LDC definition of "Business Services," uses within the LDC definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor," and restricting operating hours to 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on weekdays and 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on weekends.

- 9. With the proposed restrictions on uses and operating yours, Development Services Department staff found the requested rezoning approvable and compatible with the existing zoning and development pattern in the surrounding area.
- 10. With the proposed restrictions on uses and operating hours, Planning Commission staff found the proposed rezoning consistent with the comprehensive plan and the Seffner-Mango Community Plan.

# E. FINDINGS OF COMPLIANCE OR NON-COMPLIANCE WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The record evidence demonstrates the proposed rezoning request is in compliance with, and does further the intent of the Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the *Future of Hillsborough Comprehensive Plan for Unincorporated Hillsborough County*.

# F. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A development order is consistent with the comprehensive plan if "the land uses, densities or intensities, and other aspects of development permitted by such order...are compatible with and further the objectives, policies, land uses, and densities or intensities in the comprehensive plan and if it meets all other criteria enumerated by the local government." § 163.3194(3)(a), Fla. Stat. (2022). Based on the evidence and testimony submitted in the record and at the hearing, including reports and testimony of Development Services Staff and Planning Commission staff, applicant's testimony and evidence, there is substantial competent evidence demonstrating the requested rezoning is consistent with the *Future of Hillsborough Comprehensive Plan for Unincorporated Hillsborough County*, and complies with the applicable requirements of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code.

# G. SUMMARY

The applicant is requesting to rezone the Subject Property to Commercial General-Restricted. The applicant is offering restrictions limiting allowable uses to business and professional offices including uses in the LDC definition of "Business Services," uses within the LDC definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor," and restricting operating hours to 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on weekdays and 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on weekends. The Subject Property does not meet Commercial Locational Criteria, and the applicant has requested a waiver.

# H. RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, this recommendation is for **APPROVAL** of the rezoning request with the following restrictions:

- 1. Business and/or professional offices, including, but not limited to accountants, banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies, as well as the County code definition of "Business Service."
- 2. INDOOR USES ONLY as defined in the official County code definition of "Recreational Uses, General Indoor/Outdoor:" For profit or non-profit recreational uses and facilities providing sports or recreation opportunities within an enclosed building. Such uses shall include but not be limited to bowling alleys, skating rinks, movie theatres, gymnasiums, fitness centers, dance schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etc. located completely within an enclosed building.
- 3. The operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8 am to 8 pm and weekends 10 am to 10 pm.

Pamela Oo Hatley Pamela Jo Hatley PhD, D

Land Use Hearing Officer

March 13, 2023 Date:

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA			
BOARD (	OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS		
	X		
IN RE:	)		
ZONE HEARING MASTER ) HEARINGS ) )			
ZONING HEARING MASTER HEARING TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS			
BEFORE:	PAMELA JO HATLEY Land Use Hearing Master		
DATE:	Monday, February 20, 2023		
TIME:	Commencing at 6:00 p.m. Concluding at 8:11 p.m.		
LOCATION:	Hillsborough County Planning Commission Board Room-2nd Floor 601 East Kennedy Boulevard Tampa, Florida 36602		
Reported via Cisco Webex Videoconference by: Samantha Kozlowski, CER			

1	MR. GRADY: The next item is agenda item B.1 rezoning
2	standard 22-1070. This is a request to rezone from ASC-1 to
3	commercial general with restrictions. Madam Hearing Officer,
4	this case was remanded by the Board with with the direction
5	of the applicant to look at verifying the range of uses they
6	were proposing for this project. They haven't had restrictions.
7	Chris Grandlienard will provide the staff the staff
8	recommendation after presentation of the applicant.
9	HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you.
10	MR. PRESSMAN: Good evening, Hearing Officer. Todd
11	Pressman 21 (inaudible) South, Saint Petersburg. As staff has
12	indicated, we work closely with the staff to bring the case back
13	on remand from the prior commission, which comes now forward
14	with a staff recommendation support from both the AC and the
15	department. So I will go through this quickly. And I enter
16	this on the record.
17	This is 22107. We're located in the Dover general
18	area. This is a little closer view of it and this is the
19	project site outlined in red by the property appraiser. There's
20	actually two parcels that compose of the site. This is the
21	existing site. We're seeking rezoning from ASC-1 to CG. again,
22	this is a remand from the November 3rd clarifying restricted
23	uses. Planning Commission Development Services support. These
24	are the specific restrictions they're, of course, part of the
25	staff report. And I've also entered this into the record, but

primarily it's business professional offices with some specifics
 and clarification. Indoor uses, also specifically more clearly
 defined and operating hours.

4 Zoning map along the roadway is commercial in nature 5 in manufacturing. Existing uses include trucks and rentals both 6 in the CG and CN. The PDC is recorded from 1988 plan 7 development, which I have the record here for you as well. Abutting on the west is antenna and antenna farm. There are 8 three specific antennas that I have visualized for you here. 9 That's abutting on the west. Existing as CG on the east is this 10 11 Existing on the CG is this use. And those are the zoning use. categories layout along the roadway. Opposite side of the 12 13 roadway is CSX railroad tracks, they parallel the roadway 14 throughout that part of the county. This is just a quick 15 visualization of -- of that roadway or railway. The traffic --16 it is an arterial roadway. Per the county records, it carries 17 anywhere from 14,300 vehicles per day to 11,200 vehicles per 18 day, depending upon what side you take to count. And the roadway is also a specific designated truck route in -- in the 19 20 county. Planning Commission notes, they support the locational 21 waive, meets intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. And 22 they find it consistent. Under Seffner Mango Community Plan it 23 notes that commercial developments should be directed to US 19 Martin Luther King Boulevard corridors. It encourages advisor 24 revitalization in redevelopment of older existing commercial 25

1 areas and uses. And this is just visualization that the site 2 has had activity over many years, at least by the year 1999. So 3 with that, we ask for your consideration and approval request. 4 Thank you. Thank you. I'm happy to answer any questions you 5 might have.

HEARING MASTER: Don't have any for your right now,
Mr. Pressman. Thank you. All right. Development Services.

8 MR. GRANDLIENARD: Good evening. Chris Grandlienard 9 with Development Services. We're here to present application 10 22-1070, the remand. The application was remanded at the 11 November 3rd Board of County Commissioners land use meeting. In 12 order for the applicant to consider for further clarifying 13 proposed uses as he mentioned.

14 The applicant is proposing a rezone from existing 15 ASC-1 to commercial general restricted. The approximate 4.45 acre property's comprised of two parcels both zoned ASC-1, 16 17 agricultural single-family conventional. The subject parcels are located 200 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin 18 19 Luther King Junior Boulevard and Shady Acres Road. The subject property is designated Residential-1 on the future land use map. 20 21 And regarding to compatibility -- previous compatibility 22 concerns that Development Services had -- and Planning 23 Commission had -- had -- the subject site did not -- does not mention -- meet commercial location criteria, as the nearest 24 qualifying note is at Macintosh and Martin Luther King 25

Boulevard. That is located greater than two -- 300 feet as per Policy 22.2 of the Future Use -- Land Development Element of a comprehensive plan. The applicant has requested a waiver, which is in the record.

5 Also, another compatibility concern about the Seffner 6 Mango Community Plan discourages retail uses along MLK Boulevard 7 in the rural area. And it discourages commercial encroachment 8 in residential areas between US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King.

In response to the -- in response to these concerns, 9 the applicant has offered the following mitigating restrictions. 10 11 The business and/or profess -- business and/or professional offices, including but not limited to, accounts -- accountants, 12 13 banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies, as well as the 14 county code definition of business service. Number two, indoor 15 uses only as defined in the official county code. The definition of recreational uses, general indoor, outdoor. 16 For 17 profit or nonprofit recreational uses and facilities providing 18 sports or recreation opportunities within an closed building. Such uses shall include, but not be limited to bowling alleys, 19 skating rinks, movie theaters, gymnasium, fitness centers, 20 desks, schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etcetera, located 21 22 completely within an enclosed building. Number three, the 23 operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. and weekends 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. With these 24 proposed restrictions, the Planning Commission found the 25

proposed rezoning consistent with the comprehensive plan and 1 Development Services concurs with that assessment. 2 The proposed restrictions limit retail and lower the 3 intensity for the potential uses, which provides a transition to 4 5 the residential neighborhood. The proposed office and indoor 6 uses meet the intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. Also, 7 the restricted operating hours provided noise and traffic congestion relief to the neighboring residential area. 8 Based on the above considerations, staff finds the 9 request to commercial general restricted zoning district 10 11 compatible with the existing rezoning -- existing zoning and 12 development patterns in the area. And we would find it 13 approvable for commercial general restricted. And I'm glad to 14 answer any questions you may have. 15 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you very much. No questions this time. Thank you. All right. Planning 16 Commission. 17 18 MS. LLANOS: Karla Llanos with Planning Commission Staff. Would you like me to do a full testimony or would you 19 like me to skip and go into the nitty gritty? 20 21 HEARING MASTER: Just -- yes. The nitty gritty. 22 Address the -- the remand and then -- that changed some of the 23 findings and the basis for that please. 2.4 MS. LLANOS: Understood. 25 HEARING MASTER: Thank you.

U.S. Legal Support f 2 www.uslegalsupport.com

33

1	MS. LLANOS: So in response to the remand directly by
2	the Board of County Commissioners on November 3rd, the applicant
3	is restricting and allowable uses to business and professional
4	office and indoor recreational uses. Planning Commission Staff
5	did review the list of the proposed uses and they are in in
6	agreement. So Planning Commission Staff also reviewed a waiver
7	because the area has some presence of like CG zoning districts,
8	etcetera, which we found that it was kind of rezoned around 2014
9	from CM to CG uses and to CG existing uses in the area.
10	Now after we reviewed the waiver, we included in the
11	proposals mean we it has kind of restricted uses that are not
12	commercial general in nature, but more VPO uses, which is this
13	is professional office uses. And it's more than less intense
14	and traditional transitional in character. So with that,
15	Planning Commission Staff finds that the proposed list of uses
16	and the way the request is right now is consistent with a
17	comprehensive plan. In addition, it's it's consistent with
18	the Saffner Mango Community Plan Goals two and three. It
19	specifically calls out for residential, you know, encroachment
20	of commercial uses and residential areas between 92 and Dr.
21	Martin Luther King. It discourages strict commercial, which
22	again, the applicant's proposing this is for professional office
23	with some in indoor recreational uses. So it kind of goes away
24	from that retail strip idea. So on top of that overall, the
25	proposed rezoning, we've heard Development that it is consistent

objective with the goal's objectives and policies of 1 Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan. 2 And it is compatible with the existing and plan development pattern 3 4 found within the surrounding area. With that said, we did also look at the parcel in 5 6 front of the subject site. We did notice that it was -- for the 7 department of transportation. So that's a further indication of how we want to keep that development and pattern as well. 8 So at this point, based upon those considerations, 9 Planning Commission Staff is again -- finding this request 10 11 consistent with the Unincorporated Hillsborough County 12 Comprehensive Plan, subject to the conditions proposed by 13 Development Services Department. 14 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you, Ms. --15 Ms. Llanos. 16 All right. Is -- is there anyone here or online who 17 is just to speak in support of this application? I do not hear 18 anyone. Is there anyone here or online wishes just to speak in 19 opposition to this application? I do not not hear anyone? Development Services, anything further? 20 21 MR. GRADY: Nothing further. 22 HEARING MASTER: Okay. Applicant, anything further? 23 Okay. Okay. Then this will close the hearing on rezoning 24 standard 22-1070. 25



# Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission

Unincorporated Hillsborough County Rezoning		
Hearing Date: February 20, 2023 Report Prepared: February 8, 2023	Petition: RZ 22-1070 12780 Dr Martin Luther King Junior Drive On the north side of Dr Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard, west of Shady Acres Road	
Summary Data:		
Comprehensive Plan Finding:	CONSISTENT	
Adopted Future Land Use:	Residential-1 (1 du/ga; 0.25 FAR)	
Service Area	Rural	
Community Plan:	Seffner Mango	
Requested Zoning:	Agricultural Single-Family Conventional-1 (ASC-1) to Commercial General (CG-R)	
Parcel Size (Approx.):	4.45 acres +/- (193,842 square feet)	
Street Functional Classification:	Shady Acres Road <i>–</i> Local Dr Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard - Principal Arterial	
Locational Criteria	Does not meet; waiver has been requested	
Evacuation Zone	None	



Plan Hillsborough planhillsborough.org planner@plancom.org 813 – 272 – 5940 601 E Kennedy Blvd 18<sup>th</sup> floor Tampa, FL, 33602

# **Context**

- The 4.45 +/- acre subject site is located north of Dr Martin Luther King Junior Drive and west of Shady Acres Road. The subject site is located within the Rural Area and is within the limits of the Seffner Mango Community Plan.
- The subject site's Future Land Use classification is Residential-1 (RES-1) on the Future Land Use Map. Typical uses of RES-1 include farms, ranches, residential uses, rural scale neighborhood commercial uses, offices, and multi-purpose projects. Commercial, office, and multi-purpose uses shall meet locational criteria for specific land use projects. Agricultural uses may be permitted pursuant to policies in the agricultural objective areas of the Future Land Use Element. RES-1 surrounds the subject site on all sides. Residential-4 (RES-4) is located to the southwest of the site. Light Industrial (LI) is located further east of the subject site.
- The northern portion of the subject site is currently developed with a single-family residential dwelling. The southern portion is developed with light industrial uses such as metal fabrication. To the north are agricultural uses. Public Utility uses are located to the west of the site. The CSX railroad tracks are located to the south of Dr Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard.
- The subject site is currently zoned as Agricultural Single-Family Conventional-1(ASC-1). ASC-1 is located to the north, south, and west of the site. Residential Single-Family Conventional-6 (RSC-6) is located to the southwest of the site. Commercial General (CG) is located to the east, across Shady Acres Road. Commercial Neighborhood (CN) is located next to the CG.
- The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Agricultural Single-Family Conventional-1 (ASC-1) to Commercial General (CG-R), restricting the allowable uses to business and/or professional office and indoor recreational uses.

# Compliance with Comprehensive Plan:

The following Goals, Objectives, and Policies apply to this rezoning request and are used as a basis for a consistency finding.

# FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT

# Rural Area

**Objective 4:** The Rural Area will provide areas for long term, agricultural uses and large lot, low density rural residential uses which can exist without the threat of urban or suburban encroachment, with the goal that no more than 20% of all population growth within the County will occur in the Rural Area.

**Policy 4.1: Rural Area Densities** Within rural areas, densities shown on the Future Land Use Map will be no higher than 1 du/5 ga unless located within an area identified with a higher density land use category on the Future Land Use Map as a suburban enclave, planned village, a Planned Development pursuant to the PEC ½ category, or rural community which will carry higher densities.

# Relationship to Land Development Regulations

**Objective 9:** All existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations as per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.

**Policy 9.1:** Each land use plan category shall have a set of zoning districts that may be permitted within that land use plan category, and development shall not be approved for zoning that is inconsistent with the plan.

**Policy 9.2:** Developments must meet or exceed the requirements of all land development regulations as established and adopted by Hillsborough County, the state of Florida and the federal government unless such requirements have been previously waived by those governmental bodies.

# Neighborhood/Community Development

**Objective 16:** Neighborhood Protection The neighborhood is a functional unit of community development. There is a need to protect existing neighborhoods and communities and those that will emerge in the future. To preserve, protect and enhance neighborhoods and communities, all new development must conform to the following policies.

**Policy 16.1:** Established and planned neighborhoods and communities shall be protected by restricting incompatible land uses through mechanisms such as:

- a) locational criteria for the placement of non-residential uses as identified in this Plan,
- b) limiting commercial development in residential land use categories to neighborhood scale;
- c) requiring buffer areas and screening devices between unlike land uses;

**Policy 16.2:** Gradual transitions of intensities between different land uses shall be provided for as new development is proposed and approved, through the use of professional site planning, buffering and screening techniques and control of specific land uses.

**Policy 16.3:** Development and redevelopment shall be integrated with the adjacent land uses through:

- a) the creation of like uses; or
- b) creation of complementary uses; or
- c) mitigation of adverse impacts; and
- d) transportation/pedestrian connections

**Policy 16.5:** Development of higher intensity non-residential land uses that are adjacent to established neighborhoods shall be restricted to collectors and arterials and to locations external to established and developing neighborhoods.

# Community Planning

**Objective 18:** Hillsborough County is comprised of many diverse communities and neighborhoods, each with its own unique identity. While the comprehensive plan is effective in providing an overall growth management strategy for development within the entire County, it does not have detailed planning strategies for individual communities. The County shall develop strategies that ensure the long-range viability of its communities through a community and special area studies planning effort.

**Policy 18.1:** The County shall assist the Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission in developing community plans for each planning area that are consistent with and further the Comprehensive Plan. The community plans will be adopted as part of the Comprehensive Plan in the Livable Communities Element; these more restrictive community-specific policies will apply in guiding the development of the community.

Additional policies regarding community planning and the adopted community plans can be found in the Livable Communities Element.

# Commercial Locational Criteria

**Objective 22:** To avoid strip commercial development, locational criteria for neighborhood serving commercial uses shall be implemented to scale new commercial development consistent with the character of the areas and to the availability of public facilities and the market.

**Policy 22.1:** The locational criteria for neighborhood serving non-residential uses in specified land uses categories will:

- provide a means of ensuring appropriate neighborhood serving commercial development without requiring that all neighborhood commercial sites be designated on the Future Land Use Map;
- establish a maximum square footage for each proposed neighborhood serving commercial intersection node to ensure that the scale of neighborhood serving commercial development defined as convenience, neighborhood, and general types of commercial uses, is generally consistent with surrounding residential character; and
- establish maximum frontages for neighborhood serving commercial uses at intersections ensuring that adequate access exists or can be provided.

**Policy 22.2:** The maximum amount of neighborhood-serving commercial uses permitted in an area shall be consistent with the locational criteria outlined in the table and diagram below. The table identifies the intersection nodes that may be considered for non-residential uses. The locational criteria is based on the land use category of the property and the classification of the intersection of roadways as shown on the adopted Highway Cost Affordable Long Range Transportation Plan. The maximums stated in the table/diagram may not always be achieved, subject to FAR limitations and short range roadway improvements as well as other factors such as land use compatibility and environmental features of the site.

In the review of development applications consideration shall also be given to the present and short-range configuration of the roadways involved. The five year transportation Capital Improvement Program, MPO Transportation Improvement Program or Long Range Transportation Needs Plan shall be used as a guide to phase the development to coincide with the ultimate roadway size as shown on the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan.

**Policy 22.7:** Neighborhood commercial activities that serve the daily needs of residents in areas designated for residential development in the Future Land Use Element shall be considered provided that these activities are compatible with surrounding existing and planned residential development and are developed in accordance with applicable development regulations, including phasing to coincide with long range transportation improvements.

The locational criteria outlined in Policy 22.2 are not the only factors to be considered for approval of a neighborhood commercial or office use in a proposed activity center. Considerations involving land use compatibility, adequacy and availability of public services, environmental impacts,
adopted service levels of effected roadways and other policies of the Comprehensive Plan and zoning regulations would carry more weight than the locational criteria in the approval of the potential neighborhood commercial use in an activity center. The locational criteria would only designate locations that could be considered, and they in no way guarantee the approval of a particular neighborhood commercial or office use in a possible activity center.

**Policy 22.8:** The Board of County Commissioners may grant a waiver to the intersection criteria for the location of commercial uses outlined in Policy 22.2. The waiver would be based on the compatibility of the use with the surrounding area and would require a recommendation by the Planning Commission staff. Unique circumstances and specific findings should be identified by the staff or the Board of County Commissioners which would support granting a waiver to this section of the Plan. The Board of County Commissioners may reverse or affirm the Planning Commission staff's recommendation through their normal review of rezoning petitions. The waiver can only be related to the location of the neighborhood serving commercial or agriculturally oriented community serving commercial zoning or development. The square footage requirement of the plan cannot be waived.

#### Community Design Component

#### 4.3 COMMERCIAL CHARACTER

**GOAL 9:** Evaluate the creation of commercial design standards in a scale and design that complements the character of the community.

**Policy 9-1.2**: Avoid "strip" development patterns for commercial uses.

# LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ELEMENT: SEFFNER MANGO COMMUNITY PLAN

**2. Goal:** Enhance community character and ensure quality residential and nonresidential development.

- Within the Rural Service Area residential development shall reflect its rural future land use designation.
- Discourage commercial encroachment into the residential areas between US 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard and south of Martin Luther King Boulevard.

**3. Goal:** Commercial development should be directed to the US 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard corridors.

Strategies:

- Recognize the commercial character of US 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard within the Urban Service Area.
- Restrict retail development along US 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard outside the Urban Service Area to existing commercial zoning districts.
- Discourage further strip retail development along those portions of US 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard that are in the Rural Service Area.
- Non-residential development at intersections south of US 92 and north of Martin Luther King Boulevard that meet locational criteria as established in the Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan as of June 18, 2009, for consideration of commercial uses, shall be limited to office uses and child care and places of worship. Buildings shall be residential in appearance with pitched roofs. Metal buildings shall not be allowed

# Staff Analysis of Goals, Objectives and Policies

The 4.45 acre subject site is located in the northwest quadrant of the Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard and Shady Acres Drive intersection. The subject site is in the Rural Area and is within the limits of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. The Future Land Use category of the subject site is Residential-1 (RES-1) on the Future Land Use Map. The applicant is requesting to rezone the subject site from Agricultural Single-Family Conventional-1 (ASC-1) to Commercial General (CG-R), restricting the allowable uses to business and/or professional office and indoor recreational uses.

According to Appendix A of the Future Land Use Element, the intent of the Residential-1 (RES-1) Future Land Use Category is to "To designate areas for rural residential uses, compatible with short-term Agricultural Uses. Other uses including rural scale neighborhood commercial, office and multi-purpose projects may be permitted when complying with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Land Use Element and applicable development regulations and conforming to established locational criteria for specific land use". The subject site is surrounded by RES-1 on all sides. Light Industrial (LI) is further to the east and Residential-4 (RES-4) is located to the southwest of the subject site.

The subject site is located in the Rural Area which according to Objective 4 is reserved to provide areas for long term, agricultural uses and large lot, low density rural residential uses which can exist without the threat of urban or suburban encroachment. Although located in the Rural Area, the applicant is proposing uses that are generally lower intensity and would not encroach onto the agricultural and large lot low density residential areas, particularly to the north of the site. Therefore, the proposal meets the intent of Objective 4 or Policy 4.1 of the Future Land Use Element.

The proposed rezoning meets the intent of the Neighborhood Protection policies modifying FLUE Objective 16 (FLUE Policies 16.1, 16.2, 16.3 and 16.5). The development pattern of the surrounding area has a concentration of the most intense uses that front on Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Boulevard, especially that portion that is in the Urban Service Area. The proposed restricted uses meet the intent of FLUE Policy 16.5 that seeks to restrict higher intensity uses to arterial roads and that are external to established neighborhoods. Although the site is not directly located on the arterial roadway, it is located only one relatively narrow parcel (approximately 100 feet) north of it. The proposed restricted uses in this area would be complementary as they would be limited to a 0.25 FAR that would limit the scale of any future use of the site. The proposed restricted uses would also serve as a transition to the rural residential character north of the site as per FLUE Policy 16.3.

The subject site does not meet Commercial Locational Criteria as per Objective 22 and Policy 22.2 of the Future land Use Element, as the nearest qualifying intersection node is located at Mcintosh and Dr. Martin Luther King Drive Junior (greater than 300 feet away). Per FLUE Policy 22.8, the applicant has submitted a waiver request for review. The waiver request argues for the proposed use due to the presence of existing CG zoning districts to the east, which was rezoned in 2014 from CN to CG and to existing CG in the surrounding area. Planning Commission staff has reviewed the waiver and concluded that the proposal is unique in that the restricted uses are not Commercial, General in nature and are more in line with BPO uses, being less intense and more transitional in character. Furthermore, the Seffner Mango Community Plan also specifically notes that for those sites that meet Commercial Locational Criteria in this area, uses shall be limited to childcare, office uses, and places of worship only. The proposed rezoning limits the uses to business/professional office and indoor recreational uses, which meets the intent of the type of uses that the Community Plan envisions for commercial nodes. Planning Commission staff recommends that the Board grants a waiver to Commercial Locational Criteria.

The Community Design Component (CDC) of the FLUE provides guidance on commercial developments. Goal 9 and Policy 9.1.2 specifically discourage the proliferation of a pattern of strip commercial development. The proposed restricted uses are not Commercial, General in nature and typically would not be designed as a pattern of strip development, therefore meeting the intent of the CDC.

The subject site meets the intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. Goal 2 of the Community Plan discourages the encroachment of commercial uses in the residential areas between US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard. The proposed restricted uses would not encroach but would serve as a transition from the commercial on Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard and the residential uses to the north. The site also meets the intent of the strategies in Goal 3 of the Community Plan that restricts retail development along US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Boulevard outside the Urban Service Area to existing commercial zoning districts. The proposed restricted uses are not retail uses and would be limited in scale. Furthermore, the Community Plan specifically discourages further strip retail development along those portions of US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Boulevard that are in the Rural Service Area and as previously stated, the proposed restricted uses are not retail in nature and would not be developed with a strip pattern. Finally, any commercial uses that meet commercial locational criteria south of US 92 and north of Dr. Martin Luther King Junior Drive are to be limited to childcare, office, and place of worship, and Planning Commission staff believes that the proposed restricted uses meet the intent and facilitate the vision of the Seffner Mango Community Plan.

Overall, the proposed rezoning would allow for development that is consistent with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan* and is compatible with the existing and planned development pattern found in the surrounding area.

#### **Recommendation**

Based upon the above considerations, the Planning Commission staff finds the proposed rezoning **CONSISTENT** with the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan,* subject to the restrictions proposed by the Development Services Department.



# AGENCY COMMENTS

# TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department REVIEWER: Alex Steady, Senior Planner PLANNING AREA/SECTOR: Seffner Mango/ Northeast

DATE: 02/09/2023 AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation PETITION NO.: STD 22-1070

This agency has no comments.

X This agency has no objection.

This agency objects for the reasons set forth below.

# **REPORT SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

- The proposed rezoning would result in an increase of trips potentially generated by development of the subject site by 65 trips in the a.m. peak hour, and 175 trips in the p.m. peak hour.
- As this is a Euclidean zoning request, access will be reviewed at the time of plat/site/construction plan review for consistency with applicable rules and regulations within the Hillsborough County Land Development Code and Transportation Technical Manual.
- Transportation Review Section staff has no objection to the proposed rezoning.

# PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting to rezone two parcels totaling +/-4.45 acres from Agricultural Single Family Conventional -1 (ASC-1) to Commercial General - Restricted (CG-R). The site is located +/-100 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin Luther King Blvd and Shady Acres Road. The Future Land Use designation of the site is Residential -1 (R-1).

# Trip Generation Analysis

In accordance with the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM), no transportation analysis was required to process the proposed rezoning. Staff has prepared a comparison of the trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer's <u>Trip Generation Manual</u>, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition.

#### **Approved Zoning:**

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two- Way Volume Total Peak Hour Trips		
	way volume	AM	PM
ASC-1, 4 Single Family Dwelling Unit (ITE Code 210)	38	3	4

# **Proposed Zoning:**

CG-R, 52,000 sf Health/Fitness Club (ITE Code 492)N/A*AMPMN/A*68179	Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-	Total Peak Hour Trips	
$N/A^{*}$ 68 1/9		Way Volume	AM	PM
		N/A*	68	179

\*ITE does not provide a daily trip count for ITE Code 492

Trip Generation Difference:			
	24 Hour Two- Way Volume	Total Peak	
Zoning, Land Use/Size		Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
Difference	N/A	+65	+175

## TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

Martin Luther King Blvd is a 2-lane, undivided, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) maintained, Principal Arterial roadway with +/- 12-foot travel lanes. Martin Luther King Blvd has bike lanes on both side but no sidewalks or curb and gutter on either side of the roadway within the vicinity of the project. Shady Acres Road is private, substandard, local roadway with +/- 10 feet wide pavement. Shady Acres Road does not have sidewalk, bike lanes or curb and cutter on either side of the road within the vicinity of the project.

# HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY CORRIDOR PRESERVATION PLAN

Martin Luther King Blvd is shown as a 4-lane road in the Hillsborough County Corridor Preservation Plan. Additional Right of Way may need to be preserved on the subject property for the planned improvement.

# SITE ACCESS

It is anticipated that the site will have access to Martin Luther King Blvd. During the site review process, any access from the subject site to Shady Acres Road shall be closed, as it is a private residential roadway. As this is a Euclidean zoning request, access will be reviewed at the time of plat/site/construction plan review for consistency with applicable rules and regulations within the Hillsborough County Land Development Code and Transportation Technical Manual.

# **ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE**

Level of Service (LOS) information is reported below.

FDOT Generalized Level of Service				
Roadway	From	То	LOS Standard	Peak Hr Directional LOS
M L KING BLVD	VALRICO RD	MCINTOSH RD	D	D

Source: 2020 Hillsborough County Level of Service (LOS) Report

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
		21	Corridor Preservation Plan
Martin Luther King	FDOT Principal	2 Lanes □Substandard Road	□ Site Access Improvements
Blvd.	Arterial - Urban	$\Box$ Sufficient ROW Width	Substandard Road Improvements
			🗆 Other
		210000	Corridor Preservation Plan
,	Substandard Road	□ Site Access Improvements	
	Sufficient ROW Width	Substandard Road Improvements	
		□ Other	

# 3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Project Trip Generation   Not applicable for this request			
	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	38	3	4
Proposed	N/A	68	179
Difference (+/-)	N/A	(+)65	(+)175

\*Trips reported are based on net new external trips unless otherwise noted.

Project Boundary	Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
North		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
South		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
East		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
West		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

<b>Design Exception/Administrative Variance</b> ⊠Not applicable for this request		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Туре	Finding
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Notes:		

4.0 Additional Site Information & Agency Comments Summary			
Transportation	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
<ul> <li>Design Exception/Adm. Variance Requested</li> <li>Off-Site Improvements Provided</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	□ Yes □N/A ⊠ No	□ Yes ⊠N/A □ No	

# COMMISSION

Mariella Smith CHAIR Pat Kemp VICE-CHAIR Harry Cohen Ken Hagan Gwendolyn "Gwen" W. Myers Kimberly Overman Stacy White



#### DIRECTORS

Janet D. Lorton EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Elaine S. DeLeeuw ADMIN DIVISION Sam Elrabi, P.E. WATER DIVISION Rick Muratti, Esq. LEGAL DEPT Reginald Sanford, MPH AIR DIVISION Steffanie L. Wickham WASTE DIVISION Sterlin Woodard, P.E. WETLANDS DIVISION

#### AGENCY COMMENT SHEET

REZONING		
HEARING DATE: 08/15/2022	<b>COMMENT DATE:</b> 07/26/2022	
<b>PETITION NO.: 22-1070</b>	PROPERTY ADDRESS: 2922 Shady Acres Rd,	
EPC REVIEWER: Chantelle Lee	Dover, FL 33527 & 12780 Dr Martin Luther King Blvd, Dover, FL 33527	
<b>CONTACT INFORMATION:</b> (813) 627-2600 X 1358	FOLIO #: 084729-0000 & 084730-0000	
EMAIL: <u>leec@epchc.org</u>	STR: 06-29S-21E	
REQUESTED ZONING: ASC-1 to CG		
FINDINGS		
WETLANDS PRESENT	NO	
SITE INSPECTION DATE	07/21/2022	
WETLAND LINE VALIDITY	N/A	
WETLANDS VERIFICATION (AERIAL PHOTO, SOILS SURVEY, EPC FILES)	N/A	
INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS:		

Wetlands Division staff of the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) inspected the above referenced site in order to determine the extent of any wetlands and other surface waters pursuant to Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC. This determination was performed using the methodology described within Chapter 62-340, Florida Administrative Code, and adopted into Chapter 1-11. The site inspection revealed that no wetlands or other surface waters exist within the above referenced parcel.

Please be advised this wetland determination is informal and non-binding. A formal wetland delineation may be applied for by submitting a "WDR30 - Delineation Request Application". Once approved, the formal wetland delineation would be binding for five years.

Cl/mst

Environmental Excellence in a Changing World

#### WATER RESOURCE SERVICES REZONING REVIEW COMMENT SHEET: WATER & WASTEWATER

PETITION NO.: STD22-1070 REVIEWED BY: Randy Rochelle DATE: 7/21/2022

FOLIO NO.: 84729.0000 & 84730.0000

 $\square$ 

#### WATER

- The property lies within the \_\_\_\_\_ Water Service Area. The applicant should contact the provider to determine the availability of water service.
  - A \_\_\_\_\_ inch water main exists [] (adjacent to the site), [] (approximately \_\_\_\_\_ feet from the site) \_\_\_\_\_\_. This will be the likely point-of-connection, however there could be additional and/or different points-of-connection determined at the time of the application for service. This is not a reservation of capacity.
- Water distribution system improvements will need to be completed prior to connection to the County's water system. The improvements include \_\_\_\_\_\_ and will need to be completed by the \_\_\_\_\_ prior to issuance of any building permits that will create additional demand on the system.

# WASTEWATER

- The property lies within the \_\_\_\_\_ Wastewater Service Area. The applicant should contact the provider to determine the availability of wastewater service.
- A \_\_\_\_\_ inch wastewater gravity main exists [] (adjacent to the site), [] (approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ feet from the site) \_\_\_\_\_\_. This will be the likely point-of-connection, however there could be additional and/or different points-of-connection determined at the time of the application for service. This is not a reservation of capacity.
- Wastewater collection system improvements will need to be completed prior to connection to the County's wastewater system. The improvements include \_\_\_\_\_\_ and will need to be completed by the \_\_\_\_\_ prior to issuance of any building permits that will create additional demand on the system.
- COMMENTS: <u>The subject site is located outside of the Hillsborough County Urban Service</u> <u>Area, therefore water and/or wastewater service is not generally allowed. if the site is</u> <u>required or otherwise allowed to connect to the potable water and/or wastewater</u> <u>systems, there will be offsite improvements required that extend beyond a connection to</u> <u>the closest location with existing infrastructure. These points-of-connection will have to</u> <u>be determined at time of application of service as additional analysis will be required to</u> <u>make the final determination</u>.

#### AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: ZONING TECHNICIAN, Planning Growth Manag	ement         DATE: 28 June 2022
REVIEWER: Bernard W. Kaiser, Conservation and En	vironmental Lands Management
APPLICANT: Todd Pressman	PETITION NO: RZ-STD 22-1070
LOCATION:	
FOLIO NO: <u>84730.0000 &amp; 84729.0000</u>	SEC: TWN: RNG:

 $\square$  This agency has no comments.

This agency has no objection.

This agency has no objection, subject to listed or attached conditions.

This agency objects, based on the listed or attached conditions.

COMMENTS: The subject application is adjacent to the Town-N-Country Preserve. Per LDC 4.01.11, compatibility of the development with the preserve will be ensured with a compatibility plan that addresses issues related to the development such as, but not necessarily limited to, access, prescribed fire, and landscaping. The compatibility plan shall be proposed by the developer, reviewed and approved by the Conservation and Environmental Lands Management Department, and shall be required as a condition of granting a Natural Resources Permit.

# VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT

HILLSBC	DROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA
BOARD C	OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
IN RE:	)
ZONE HEARING MASTER	
HEARINGS	
	X
	HEARING MASTER HEARING OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE:	PAMELA JO HATLEY Land Use Hearing Master
DATE:	Monday, February 20, 2023
TIME:	Commencing at 6:00 p.m. Concluding at 8:11 p.m.
LOCATION:	Hillsborough County Planning Commission Board Room-2nd Floor 601 East Kennedy Boulevard Tampa, Florida 36602
	lsco Webex Videoconference by: mantha Kozlowski, CER

1	MR. GRADY: The next item is agenda item B.1 rezoning
2	standard 22-1070. This is a request to rezone from ASC-1 to
3	commercial general with restrictions. Madam Hearing Officer,
4	this case was remanded by the Board with with the direction
5	of the applicant to look at verifying the range of uses they
6	were proposing for this project. They haven't had restrictions.
7	Chris Grandlienard will provide the staff the staff
8	recommendation after presentation of the applicant.
9	HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you.
10	MR. PRESSMAN: Good evening, Hearing Officer. Todd
11	Pressman 21 (inaudible) South, Saint Petersburg. As staff has
12	indicated, we work closely with the staff to bring the case back
13	on remand from the prior commission, which comes now forward
14	with a staff recommendation support from both the AC and the
15	department. So I will go through this quickly. And I enter
16	this on the record.
17	This is 22107. We're located in the Dover general
18	area. This is a little closer view of it and this is the
19	project site outlined in red by the property appraiser. There's
20	actually two parcels that compose of the site. This is the
21	existing site. We're seeking rezoning from ASC-1 to CG. again,
22	this is a remand from the November 3rd clarifying restricted
23	uses. Planning Commission Development Services support. These
24	are the specific restrictions they're, of course, part of the
25	staff report. And I've also entered this into the record, but

primarily it's business professional offices with some specifics
 and clarification. Indoor uses, also specifically more clearly
 defined and operating hours.

4 Zoning map along the roadway is commercial in nature 5 in manufacturing. Existing uses include trucks and rentals both 6 in the CG and CN. The PDC is recorded from 1988 plan 7 development, which I have the record here for you as well. Abutting on the west is antenna and antenna farm. There are 8 three specific antennas that I have visualized for you here. 9 That's abutting on the west. Existing as CG on the east is this 10 11 Existing on the CG is this use. And those are the zoning use. categories layout along the roadway. Opposite side of the 12 13 roadway is CSX railroad tracks, they parallel the roadway 14 throughout that part of the county. This is just a quick 15 visualization of -- of that roadway or railway. The traffic --16 it is an arterial roadway. Per the county records, it carries anywhere from 14,300 vehicles per day to 11,200 vehicles per 17 18 day, depending upon what side you take to count. And the 19 roadway is also a specific designated truck route in -- in the 20 county. Planning Commission notes, they support the locational 21 waive, meets intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. And 22 they find it consistent. Under Seffner Mango Community Plan it 23 notes that commercial developments should be directed to US 19 Martin Luther King Boulevard corridors. It encourages advisor 24 revitalization in redevelopment of older existing commercial 25

1 areas and uses. And this is just visualization that the site 2 has had activity over many years, at least by the year 1999. So 3 with that, we ask for your consideration and approval request. 4 Thank you. Thank you. I'm happy to answer any questions you 5 might have.

HEARING MASTER: Don't have any for your right now,
Mr. Pressman. Thank you. All right. Development Services.

8 MR. GRANDLIENARD: Good evening. Chris Grandlienard 9 with Development Services. We're here to present application 10 22-1070, the remand. The application was remanded at the 11 November 3rd Board of County Commissioners land use meeting. In 12 order for the applicant to consider for further clarifying 13 proposed uses as he mentioned.

14 The applicant is proposing a rezone from existing 15 ASC-1 to commercial general restricted. The approximate 4.45 acre property's comprised of two parcels both zoned ASC-1, 16 agricultural single-family conventional. The subject parcels 17 18 are located 200 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin 19 Luther King Junior Boulevard and Shady Acres Road. The subject property is designated Residential-1 on the future land use map. 20 21 And regarding to compatibility -- previous compatibility 22 concerns that Development Services had -- and Planning 23 Commission had -- had -- the subject site did not -- does not mention -- meet commercial location criteria, as the nearest 24 qualifying note is at Macintosh and Martin Luther King 25

Boulevard. That is located greater than two -- 300 feet as per
 Policy 22.2 of the Future Use -- Land Development Element of a
 comprehensive plan. The applicant has requested a waiver, which
 is in the record.

5 Also, another compatibility concern about the Seffner 6 Mango Community Plan discourages retail uses along MLK Boulevard 7 in the rural area. And it discourages commercial encroachment 8 in residential areas between US 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King.

In response to the -- in response to these concerns, 9 the applicant has offered the following mitigating restrictions. 10 11 The business and/or profess -- business and/or professional offices, including but not limited to, accounts -- accountants, 12 13 banks, lawyers, real estate and title companies, as well as the 14 county code definition of business service. Number two, indoor 15 uses only as defined in the official county code. The definition of recreational uses, general indoor, outdoor. 16 For 17 profit or nonprofit recreational uses and facilities providing 18 sports or recreation opportunities within an closed building. Such uses shall include, but not be limited to bowling alleys, 19 20 skating rinks, movie theaters, gymnasium, fitness centers, 21 desks, schools, miniature golf, volleyball, etcetera, located 22 completely within an enclosed building. Number three, the 23 operating hours will be restricted to weekdays 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. and weekends 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. 24 With these proposed restrictions, the Planning Commission found the 25

proposed rezoning consistent with the comprehensive plan and 1 Development Services concurs with that assessment. 2 The proposed restrictions limit retail and lower the 3 4 intensity for the potential uses, which provides a transition to 5 the residential neighborhood. The proposed office and indoor 6 uses meet the intent of the Seffner Mango Community Plan. Also, 7 the restricted operating hours provided noise and traffic congestion relief to the neighboring residential area. 8 Based on the above considerations, staff finds the 9 request to commercial general restricted zoning district 10 11 compatible with the existing rezoning -- existing zoning and 12 development patterns in the area. And we would find it 13 approvable for commercial general restricted. And I'm glad to 14 answer any questions you may have. 15 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you very much. No questions this time. Thank you. All right. Planning 16 Commission. 17 18 MS. LLANOS: Karla Llanos with Planning Commission 19 Staff. Would you like me to do a full testimony or would you 20 like me to skip and go into the nitty gritty? 21 HEARING MASTER: Just -- yes. The nitty gritty. 22 Address the -- the remand and then -- that changed some of the 23 findings and the basis for that please. 2.4 MS. LLANOS: Understood. 25 HEARING MASTER: Thank you.

1	MS. LLANOS: So in response to the remand directly by
2	the Board of County Commissioners on November 3rd, the applicant
3	is restricting and allowable uses to business and professional
4	office and indoor recreational uses. Planning Commission Staff
5	did review the list of the proposed uses and they are in in
6	agreement. So Planning Commission Staff also reviewed a waiver
7	because the area has some presence of like CG zoning districts,
8	etcetera, which we found that it was kind of rezoned around 2014
9	from CM to CG uses and to CG existing uses in the area.
10	Now after we reviewed the waiver, we included in the
11	proposals mean we it has kind of restricted uses that are not
12	commercial general in nature, but more VPO uses, which is this
13	is professional office uses. And it's more than less intense
14	and traditional transitional in character. So with that,
15	Planning Commission Staff finds that the proposed list of uses
16	and the way the request is right now is consistent with a
17	comprehensive plan. In addition, it's it's consistent with
18	the Saffner Mango Community Plan Goals two and three. It
19	specifically calls out for residential, you know, encroachment
20	of commercial uses and residential areas between 92 and Dr.
21	Martin Luther King. It discourages strict commercial, which
22	again, the applicant's proposing this is for professional office
23	with some in indoor recreational uses. So it kind of goes away
24	from that retail strip idea. So on top of that overall, the
25	proposed rezoning, we've heard Development that it is consistent

objective with the goal's objectives and policies of 1 Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan. 2 And it is compatible with the existing and plan development pattern 3 4 found within the surrounding area. 5 With that said, we did also look at the parcel in 6 front of the subject site. We did notice that it was -- for the 7 department of transportation. So that's a further indication of 8 how we want to keep that development and pattern as well. So at this point, based upon those considerations, 9 Planning Commission Staff is again -- finding this request 10 11 consistent with the Unincorporated Hillsborough County 12 Comprehensive Plan, subject to the conditions proposed by 13 Development Services Department. 14 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you, Ms. --15 Ms. Llanos. 16 All right. Is -- is there anyone here or online who 17 is just to speak in support of this application? I do not hear 18 Is there anyone here or online wishes just to speak in anyone. 19 opposition to this application? I do not not hear anyone? Development Services, anything further? 20 21 MR. GRADY: Nothing further. 22 HEARING MASTER: Okay. Applicant, anything further? 23 Okay. Okay. Then this will close the hearing on rezoning 2.4 standard 22-1070. 25

#### Zoning Hearing Master Hearing January 17, 2023

HILLS	SBOR	ROUGH	CC	)UNTY,	FLORIDA
BOARD	OF	COUNT	Ϋ́	COMMIS	SSIONERS

	X
IN RE:	) )
ZONE HEARING MASTER HEARINGS	) ) )
	) X

### ZONING HEARING MASTER HEARING TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE:	SUSAN FINCH			
	Land	Use	Hearing	Master

- DATE: Tuesday, January 17, 2023
- TIME: Commencing at 6:04 p.m. Concluding at 11:35 p.m.

Reported via Cisco Webex Videoconference by: Diane DeMarsh, CER No. 1654

# Zoning Hearing Master Hearing January 17, 2023

Г

1	The first item's Item A.1, major mod application
2	22-0671. This application is out of order to be heard and is
3	being continued to the February 20, 2023 Zoning Hearing Master
4	Hearing.
5	Item A.2, R Rezoning PD 22-0853. This application
6	is being with withdrawn from the Zoning Hearing Master
7	process.
8	Item A.3, RZ-PD 22-0856. This application is out of
9	order to be heard and is being continued to the
10	February 20, 2022 Zoning Hearing Master Hearing.
11	Item A.4, Rezoning PD 22-0865. This application is
12	being withdrawn from the Zoning Hearing Master process.
13	Item A.5, major mod application 22-0884. This
14	application's being continued by the application to
15	February 20, 2023 Zoning Hearing Master Hearing.
16	Item A.6, Rezoning Standard 22-0945. This
17	application's being withdrawn from the Zoning Hearing Master
18	process.
19	Item A.7, Rezoning PD 22-0948. This application is
20	being continued by the applicant to the February 20, 2023 Zoning
21	Hearing Master Hearing.
22	Item A.8, Rezoning Standard 22-1070. This application
23	is being continued by staff to the February 20, 2023 Zoning
24	Hearing Master Hearing.
25	Item A.9, Rezoning PD 22-1082. This application is

	Page 1 LSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA RD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
IN RE: ZONE HEARING MAS: HEARINGS	X ) ) ) TER ) ) )
	ING HEARING MASTER HEARING PT OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE:	PAMELA JO HATLEY Land Use Hearing Master
DATE:	Monday, September 19, 2022
TIME:	Commencing at 6:00 p.m. Concluding at 8:34 p.m.
PLACE:	Robert W. Saunders, Sr. Public Library Ada T. Payne Community Room 1505 N. Nebraska Avenue Tampa, Florida 33602
Reported via	a Cisco Webex Videoconference by:
Exe U	Christina M. Walsh, RPR ecutive Reporting Service lmerton Business Center Automobile Blvd., Suite 130 Clearwater, FL 33762 (800) 337-7740

	Page 24
1	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
2	ZONING HEARING MASTER HEARINGS
3	September 19, 2022 ZONING HEARING MASTER: PAMELA JO HATLEY
4	ZONING NEARING MASIER. FAMELA DO NAILEI
5	C3:
6	Application Number: RZ-PD 22-1070 Applicant: Bremalie Homes, LLC
7	Location: 200' NW of Martin Luther King Blvd. & Shady Acres Rd.
8	intersection Folio Number: 084729.0000 & 084730.0000
9	Follo Number:084729.0000 & 084730.0000Acreage:4.45 acres, more or lessComprehensive Plan:R-1
10	Service Area: Rural
11	Existing Zoning: ASC-1 Request: Rezone to CG
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 25 MR. GRADY: The first case is agenda item 1 2 C-3. Again, C-1 and C-2 were continued as part of 3 the changes at the beginning of the agenda. So the first item on tonight's agenda is item C-3, 4 5 Rezoning-Standard 22-1070. 6 The applicant is Bremalie Homes, LLC. The 7 request is to rezone from ASC-1 to Commercial 8 General. Chris Grandlienard will provide staff recommendation after presentation by the applicant. 9 10 MR. PRESSMAN: Good evening, Hearing Officer. Todd Pressman, 200 Second Avenue South, 11 12 No. 451, St. Petersburg. I have a PowerPoint for 13 you. We're located, roughly, to the Seffner area. 14 15 Next slide, please. That's fine. We're located on 16 574. This is one of two parcels, but just to give 17 you an idea of the location. Next slide, please. 18 This is the property indicated in the red on 19 Martin Luther King Boulevard. Next slide, please. 20 And, again, it is two separate parcels coming in 21 together. Next slide, please. 22 This is the existing site. As you can see, 23 it's quite busy. Next slide, please. The issue is 24 rezoning from AS-1 to CG. Next slide, please. So 25 looking at the zoning map, which I think is one of

Page 26 the critical factors here, is that there's a clear 1 2 trend for intense zoning. From the site, it is virtually abutting a 3 CG, then CN, PD-C, which is older, and two Ms. So 4 there is a lot of intensity by zone-wise on the 5 6 roadway. Next slide, please. 7 CG contains trucks and CN contains rentals. 8 Next slide, please. This is from 1988. The PD-C is older but approvable for commercial. 9 Next slide, please. This is what's existing on the CG. 10 11 Next slide, please. 12 And this is -- actually, this should be 13 existing on the CN. That would be my error. So 14 let me clarify that for the record. Next slide, 15 please. 16 Now, along with what I've shown you in the 17 intensity on the south side is the CSX Railroad 18 track that runs throughout the region. Next slide, 19 please. The arrow is so you can see how it runs. 20 Next slide, please. And this would be on the 21 ground. You can see Martin Luther King on the 22 right and the railroad tracks. Next slide, please. 23 Now, in terms of traffic counts, this is --24 MLK is an arterial roadway in terms of traffic 25 counts. One count on one side of the property on

Page 27 MLK is 14,300 vehicles per day. On the other side 1 2 is 11,200 vehicles per day. That's an intense roadway, but there's another dimension to the 3 4 roadway. Next slide, please. 5 And that is, it is one of the official truck 6 routes in Hillsborough County. So we know that we 7 have a high volume of traffic. We know that we 8 have an intensity of traffic in terms of what's travelling on that roadway. Next slide, please. 9 Now, the difference between the Planning 10 Commission and their characterization as rural is 11 compared -- and that's, of course, my impression of 12 13 their position to you -- that along the major 14 roadways is simply not rural in any way, shape, or 15 form. 16 With respect to Planning Commission, they're 17 not recognizing the intensity of the immediate area 18 of the MLK. Not recognizing the roadway usage. 19 Not recognizing directed truck traffic as truck 20 route. Not recognizing the railroad. Not 21 recognizing the zoning trend, and not recognizing 22 the intense uses in the immediate area. 23 That's what I would say, Hearing Officer, 24 the difference between the reports and our view of 25 property. Next slide, please. So they promote a

Page 28

1 rural -- a remote rural residential use on a high 2 volume route -- high volume road that is loud, high 3 traffic, truck traveled, railroad traveled, 4 arterial roadway. Intensive use is already 5 present.

And those are the elements of the locational waiver that's also been submitted into the record. Next slide, please. When you look at the Seffner-Mango Community Plan Goal No. 3 very clearly, starkly does note commercial should be directed to the U.S. 92 and Martin Luther King Boulevard.

Now, I think it's fair to say that there are other policies that look at differences between the Rural Area and the Urban Area, but I do want to put this goal out specifically because it is a clear goal and is a major part of this Seffner Community Plan. Next slide, please.

Other goal is to encourage revitalization and redevelopment of older, existing commercial areas and uses, which is clearly what's happening here. Mr. Kappaz will be upgrading and improving the site from what it has been operating as. Next slide, please.

It's also been will there for quite a long

#### Executive Reporting Service

25

Page 29 time. 1999 had some activity. I believe that's 1 2 2004 that's blanked out, what you can see the current site and current activity. So back to 2004 3 and somewhere between '99 and '04, it was 4 5 established and was in the dynamic or configuration 6 it is now. Next slide, please. 7 And that would be it. So in summary, I 8 think I've been very clear. The stark difference between the staff reports and their opinions and 9 their direction and their stance as what ours is. 10 11 Be happy to answer any questions. 12 HEARING MASTER HATLEY: I don't have any for 13 you yet, Mr. Pressman. Thank you. 14 MR. PRESSMAN: And just as an aside, I have 15 a copy of the PowerPoint in my car. I'm going to 16 run out real quick and put it in the record if I 17 may. 18 HEARING MASTER HATLEY: Yes. 19 MR. PRESSMAN: Thank you. 20 HEARING MASTER HATLEY: Thank you. 21 All right. Development Services. 22 MR. GRANDLIENARD: Good evening. Chris 23 Grandlienard, Development Services, here to present 24 application Standard Rezoning 22-1070. 25 The applicant is proposing to rezone from the

Page 30 existing ASC-1, Agricultural Single-Family 1 2 Conventional, to Commercial General, CG. Approximate 4.5-acre property, it's comprised of 3 two parcels both zoned ASC-1, Agricultural 4 5 Single-Family Conventional. 6 Subject parcels are located 200 feet northwest of the intersection of Martin Luther King 7 8 Jr. Boulevard and Shady Acres Road. The area is comprised of single-family residential and 9 commercial. 10 The subject parcels are directly adjacent to 11 single-family residential zoned ASC-1 to the north. 12 13 To the south, the parcels are adjacent to vacant 14 parcels zoned ASC-1 and RSC-6. To the east, the 15 parcels are adjacent to single-family residential 16 zoned ASC-1 and CG-Restricted. To the west, the 17 parcels are adjacent to a radio tower complex zoned 18 ASC-1. 19 Further south across State Road 574 are CSX 20 Railroad tracks. The parcel is located in the 21 Rural Service Area. The subject property is 22 designated Residential-1, RES-1 on the Future Land 23 Use Map. 24 The Planning Commission in the report 25 mentions several compatibility concerns regarding

Page 31 the proposed rezoning. Number one, the subject 1 2 site does not meet commercial locational criteria as the nearest qualifying node at McIntosh and 3 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard is located 4 5 greater than 300 feet as per Policy 2.22.2 of the 6 Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan. 7 The applicant has requested a waiver, which 8 is in the record. Number two, the Seffner-Mango 9 Community Plan discourages retail uses along 10 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and the Rural 11 Area and discourages commercial encroachment in 12 residential areas between U.S. 92 and Martin Luther 13 King Jr. Boulevard. Development Services concurs with this 14 15 assessment by Planning Commission. The further 16 encroachment of commercial into the residential 17 area raises compatibility issues concerns with the 18 neighboring lots. 19 Based on the above considerations, including 20 the inconsistencies of the Hillsborough 21 Comprehensive Plan, the staff finds the requested 22 CG zoning district incompatible with the existing 23 zoning and development pattern in the area and not 24 supportable. 25 That concludes my staff report. Glad to

Page 32 1 answer any questions you may have. 2 HEARING MASTER HATLEY: I don't have any 3 questions for you. Thank you. We'll hear from the Planning Commission. 4 5 MS. MASSEY: Good evening, Madam Hearing 6 Officer. This is Jillian Massey with Planning 7 Commission staff. 8 The subject site is located in the Rural Area and within the limits of the Seffner-Mango 9 Community Plan. It's located within the 10 Residential-1 Future Land Use Category. 11 12 The subject site is surrounded by 13 Residential-1 on all sides. Light Industrial is 14 further to the east and Residential-4 is located to 15 the southwest of the subject site. 16 The applicant is proposing a zoning district that will allow uses that would encroach into the 17 18 agricultural and large lot, low density residential 19 areas, particularly to the north of the site and 20 thus does not meet the intent of Objective 4 or Policy 4.1 of the Future Land Use Element. 21 22 Proposing to expand CG uses in this area 23 would not be complementary to the rural residential 24 character to the north of the site as per Policy 16.3. 25

Page 33

A rezoning to CG would further a pattern of 1 2 strict commercial encroaching onto residential areas in the Rural Area and does not reflect a 3 4 development pattern that concentrates the most 5 intense uses towards the intersection but rather, 6 introduces more intense uses where uses should be 7 transitioning to a lower intensity as per Policy 16.2. 8

9 The subject site does not meet commercial 10 locational criteria as per Objective 22 of -- and 11 Policy 22.2 of the Future Land Use Element as the 12 nearest qualifying intersection node is located at 13 McIntosh and Dr. Martin Luther King Drive Jr. [Jr. 14 Boulevard] is located greater than 300 feet away.

Planning Commission staff has reviewed the waiver and concluded that it is inconsistent with the explicit direction of the Seffner-Mango Community Plan that specifically states restrict retail development along U.S. 92 and Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard outside the Urban Service Area to the existing commercial zoning districts.

Goal 2 of the community plan discourages the encroachment of commercial uses in the residential areas between U.S. 92 and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, and the subject site is located

Page 34

1 within this area.

2 Moreover, any commercial uses that meet 3 locational criteria south of U.S. 92 and north of Martin Luther King Jr. Drive are to be limited to 4 5 childcare, office, and place of worship; none of 6 which are identified in this rezoning. 7 And thus the rezoning to CG would not facilitate the vision of the Seffner-Mango 8 9 Community Plan. 10 And based upon these considerations, Planning Commission finds that the proposed 11 12 rezoning is inconsistent with the Future of 13 Hillsborough Comprehensive Plan for unincorporated 14 Hillsborough County. Thank you. 15 HEARING MASTER HATLEY: All right. Thank 16 you, Ms. Massey. 17 Is there anyone here or online who wishes to 18 speak in support of this application? All right. 19 I don't hear anyone. 20 Is there anyone here or online who wishes to 21 speak in opposition to this application? I do not 22 hear anyone. 23 All right. Development Services, anything 24 further? 25 MR. GRADY: Nothing further.

	Page 35
1	HEARING MASTER HATLEY: Applicant, you have
2	five minutes for rebuttal or summation, if you
3	wish.
4	MR. PRESSMAN: Thank you. That's all.
5	HEARING MASTER HATLEY: All right. Thank
6	you.
7	That will close the hearing on
8	Rezoning-Standard 22-1070.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

T

	Page 1 LSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA RD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
IN RE: ZONE HEARING MAST HEARINGS	) )
-	ING HEARING MASTER HEARING PT OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE:	SUSAN FINCH Land Use Hearing Master
DATE:	Monday, August 15, 2022
TIME:	Commencing at 6:00 p.m. Concluding at 10:09 p.m.
PLACE:	Robert W. Saunders, Sr. Public Library Ada T. Payne Community Room 1505 N. Nebraska Avenue Tampa, Florida 33602
Reported via	a Cisco Webex Videoconference by:
Exe U]	Christina M. Walsh, RPR ecutive Reporting Service Imerton Business Center Automobile Blvd., Suite 130 Clearwater, FL 33762 (800) 337-7740
Page 12 Item A-31, Rezoning-Standard 22-1070. 1 This 2 application is out of order to be heard and is being continued to the September 19, 2022, Zoning 3 Hearing Master Hearing. 4 5 That concludes all withdrawals and 6 continuances. HEARING MASTER FINCH: Thank you, Mr. Grady. 7 8 I appreciate it. 9 Just to make sure that the record is clear and the information to the audience that if you are 10 here for D-4, D as in dog, Major Modification 11 12 22-0109, that application has been withdrawn and 13 will not be heard at tonight's hearing. 14 All right. So let me start by going through 15 our hearing procedures. Our hearing today consists 16 of agenda items that require a public hearing by a 17 Zoning Hearing Master. 18 I'll conduct a hearing on each agenda item and will file a recommendation within 15 business 19 20 days following tonight's hearing. That 21 recommendation is then sent to the Board of County 2.2 Commissioners who will make the final decision. 23 Our hearing tonight is informal. I'll ask 24 questions related to the scope of direct testimony. 25 I may call and question witnesses as I deem

#### Executive Reporting Service

# EXHIBITS SUBMITTED DURING THE ZHM HEARING

PAGE OF 4 SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM, PHM. LUHO HEARING MASTER: Pamela Jo Harley DATE/TIME: 9/19/2022 PRINT CLEARLY. THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING PLEASE PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** Clark Iddie NAME 100 # 1100 Ashley Dr. RZ 22-0461 **MAILING ADDRESS** CITY lampa PLEASE PRINT APPLICATION # NAME RZ 22-01.90 **MAILING ADDRESS** Jax 1 CMIPA STATE / CZIP 3768 PHONE CITY PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** NAME KZ 22- 0927 MAILING ADDRESS ZIP PHON TATE PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** NAME KZ 22-670 MAILING ADDRESS ZIP2270/PHONE  $\begin{array}{c} \text{PLEASE PRINT} \\ \textbf{NAME} \end{array} \overrightarrow{\bigvee}$ **APPLICATION #** neca 101 E. enneda MAILING ADDRESS \_STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP 33602 PHONE <u>695-0469</u> CITY PLEASE PRINT Rhea Lopes **APPLICATION #** NAME 13/1 22-1240 RZ **MAILING ADDRESS** CITY <u>Sarasota</u> STATE <u>F</u> ZIP 34243 PHONE

PAGE QOF 4 SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM, PHM, LUHO HEARING MASTER: Pamela Jo Hayley **DATE/TIME:** 9/19/2022 PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING NAME PANUL ONIZ ALL **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 2810 N Central Are MM 22-6313 \_\_\_\_\_PHONE 8136178492 STATE CITY ZIP PLEASE PRINT David **APPLICATION #** right NAME RZ 22-0477 MAILING ADDRESS P.G. Box 27 lampe STATE 1-2 ZIP 376 SPHONE CITY PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** Jake Cremer NAME KL 22-0684 MAILING ADDRESS 401 & Juckson St #2100 CITY Janpa STATE FL ZIP 33602 PHONE 313-222 50 51 PLEASE PRIN **APPLICATION #** NAME Jushin Wright MAILING ADDRESS 13421 Gilen Harwell Rd 1(2 22-0684 CITY Drive STATE 1/1 ZIP 3337 PHONE 813-391-6088 **APPLICATION #** NAME THRADOL INRICIN 22-6684 MAILING ADDRESS 13421 Gun Norvell Re CITY OV STATE TL ZIP 335 PHONE 813-G PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** lane-NAME RL 22-6084 1in+alebring 12 MAILING ADDRESS 15755FATE FL ZIP PHONE 813-2 CITY

PAGE 3 OF 4 SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM, PHM, LUHO HEARING MASTER: Pamela Jo Hatley **DATE/TIME:** 9/19/2022 PRINT CLEARLY. PLEASE THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** Javid M. Smith MAILING ADDRESS\_ 4 0/ E. Jackson Strat Ste 2100 22-0692 \_ ZIP 3360/PHONE &13 222-50N STATE F CITY 1 NAME DIANA LEENE **APPLICATION #** 1 Taniler & 8 X0° 22-0692 MAILING ADDRESS \_PHONE \$13 7840723 L ZIP S STATE / CITY PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** RZ 22-0864 MAILING ADDRESS \_ ZIP 22406 PHONE CITY STATE PLEASE PRINT 🖌 **APPLICATION #** 0. West Sabello NAME MAILING ADDRESS 1000 N. arhey KZ 22-B864 \_\_\_\_\_STATE <u>FC\_\_\_\_\_</u>ZIP<u>33621</u>PHONE<u>331-09</u>76 CIT **APPLICATION #** Varilyon Bears NAME 7 KZ 22-0864 MAILING ADDRESS 14215 Kh Maglaline Red \_\_\_\_\_STATE T\_\_\_\_\_ZIP 3 32/ SHONE 80 CITY Tango PLEASE PRIN **APPLICATION #** NAME SUZeHe Murphree KL 22-0864 MAILING ADDRESS 13805 Cherry Creak Drive, \_\_\_\_\_STATE <u>FL\_\_</u> zip<u>3361</u> PHONE 813-695-3971 CITYLampa

PAGE LOF SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM, PHM, LUHO HEARING MASTER: <u>| Camela Jo Ektley</u> **DATE/TIME:** 9/19/2022 PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING PLEASE PRINT **APPLICATION #** NAME Jarron Brall MAILING ADDRESS LOI E Chapman Rd. KZ 22-0864 CITY LUT STATE f/ ZIP 3354 PHONE \$3-73(-513 NAME SAVANNAH GROOM **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 14225 LAKE Mogdaline Blvd. KZ 22-0864 CITY Tampa STATE F1 ZIP 3361 PHONE 813406.8582 NAME Hope Hamilfor **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 2413 CLARL road RZ 22-0864 CITY Tampa STATE FL ZIP 813 PHONE 766-186 NAME BAMA CAWAM **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 116 Carther (1h Rl KZ 22-0864 CITY COLO STATE CL ZIP 35 CHONE NAME ANDREA Albert **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 4510 3rd St CiR W #312 RZ 22-6864 CITY Brodenter FI ZIBY20 TPHONE 941 4050422 NAME SOMUCI AMOS **APPLICATION #** MAILING ADDRESS 3327 +(iPOII 6101 RZ 22-0864 CITY PUMA STATE <u>F1</u> ZIP 3)950 PHONE 763-360 90520

H:\groups\wpodocs\zoning\signin.frm

### **HEARING TYPE:**

ZHM, PHM, VRH, LUHO

DATE: 9/19/2022

**HEARING MASTER:** 

Pamela Jo Hatley

**PAGE: 1 OF 1** 

APPLICATION #	SUBMITTED BY	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED	HRG. MASTER YES OR NO
MM 22-0313	Brian Grady	1. Revised Staff Report	No
RZ 22-0461	Brian Grady	1. Staff Supplemental Document	No
RZ 22-1240	Brian Grady	1. Revised Staff Report	No
RZ 22-0692	Brian Grady	1. Revised Staff Report	No
RZ 22-0692	David Smith	1. Applicant Presentation	Yes (Copy)
RZ 22-1070	Todd Pressman	1. Applicant Presentation	No
RZ 22-0684	Jacob Cremer	1. Applicant Presentation	No

#### SEPTEMBER 19, 2022 - ZONING HEARING MASTER

The Zoning Hearing Master (ZHM), Hillsborough County, Florida, met in Regular Meeting, scheduled for Monday, September 19, 2022, at 6:00 p.m., in the Ada T. Payne Community Room, Robert W. Saunders Sr. Public Library, Tampa, Florida, and held virtually.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls the meeting to order and leads in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

A. WITHDRAWALS AND CONTINUANCES

Brian Grady, Development Services, introduces staff and reviews changes/withdrawals/continuances.

D.3. RZ 22-0461

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0461.

Addie Clark, applicant rep, requests continuance.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/continues RZ 22-0461.

#### C.1. RZ 22-0698

Brian Grady, calls RZ 22-0698.

David Wright, applicant rep, requests continuance.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls for proponents/opponents/continues RZ 22-0698.

#### C.2. RZ 22-0927

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0927.

Todd Pressman, applicant rep, requests continuance.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/continues RZ 22-0927.

#### C.4. RZ 22-1096

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-1096 and requests continuance.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.

Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls for proponents/opponents/continues RZ 22-1096.

D.7. MM 22-1112

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls MM 22-1112 staff continuance

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/continues MM 22-1112.

Brian Grady, Development Services, continues changes/withdrawals/continuances.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, overview of ZHM process.

Cameron Clark, Senior Assistant County Attorney, overview of oral argument/ZHM process.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, Oath.

B. REMANDS

C. REZONING STANDARD (RZ-STD):

C.3. RZ 22-1070

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-1070.

Todd Pressman, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Chris Grandlienard, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/Development Services/applicant rep/closes RZ 22-1070.

#### C.5. RZ 22-1105

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-1105.

John LaRocca, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Chris Granlienard, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/Development Services/applicant rep/closes RZ 22-1105.

C.6. RZ 22-1240

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-1240.

Rhea Lopes, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Isis Brown, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/Development Services/applicant rep/closes RZ 22-1240.

D. REZONING-PLANNED DEVELOPMENT (RZ-PD) & MAJOR MODIFICATION (MM):

D.1. MM 22-0313

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls MM 22-0313.

Patricia Ortiz, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions applicant rep.

Patricia Ortiz, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.

Patricia Ortiz, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.

Tim Lampkin, Development Services, staff report.

Pamela Ho Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.

Tim Lampkin, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/Development Services/applicant rep.

Patricia Ortiz, applicant rep, provides rebuttal.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0313.

D.2. RZ 22-0433

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0433.

David Wright, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Tim Lampkin, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents/opponents/Development Services/ applicant rep/closes RZ 22-0433.

D.4. RZ 22-0684

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0684.

Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Justin Wright, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.

Justin Wright, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.

Amanda Wright, applicant rep, presents testimony

Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, continues testimony.

Israel Monsanto, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents.

Janet Lorton, proponent, presents testimony.

<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services</li> <li>Israel Monsanto, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, statement for record.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Dacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Dacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Damela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant reo, answers ZHM questions and provides rebuttal.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Israel Monsanto, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, statement for record.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> </ul>	Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.
<ul> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, statement for record.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	$\blacktriangleright$ Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, statement for record.</li> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Israel Monsanto, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.
<ul> <li>Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions.
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.</li> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, statement for record.
<ul> <li>D.5. RZ 22-0692</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Jacob Cremer, applicant rep, answers ZHM statement for record.
<ul> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0684.
<ul> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	<u>D.5. RZ 22-0692</u>
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0692.
<ul> <li>David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	David Smith, applicant rep, presents testimony.
<ul> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	$\blacktriangleright$ Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.</li> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	$\blacktriangleright$ David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues testimony
<ul> <li>Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Sam Ball, Development Services, staff report.
<ul> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.</li> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	$\blacktriangleright$ Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.
<ul> <li>Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Sam Ball, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents</li> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Brian Grady, Development Services, answers ZHM questions.
<ul> <li>Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.
<ul> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.</li> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents
<ul> <li>Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.</li> <li>Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.</li> </ul>	Diana Keene, proponent, presents testimony.
Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.	Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services.
	Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.
David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and provides rebuttal.	$\blacktriangleright$ Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep.
	$\blacktriangleright$ David Smith, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and provides rebuttal.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, closes RZ 22-0692.D.6. RZ 22-0864

Brian Grady, Development Services, calls RZ 22-0864.

William Molloy, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, presents testimony.

Michelle Heinrich, Development Services, staff report.

Jillian Massey, Planning Commission, staff report.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls proponents.

Marilynn Bearss, proponent, presents testimony.

Suzette Murphree, proponent, presents testimony.

Jarrod Bragg, proponent, presents testimony.

Savannah Grooms, proponent, presents testimony.

Hope Hamilton, proponent, presents testimony.

Barry Lawrence, proponent, presents testimony.

Andrea Albert, proponent, presents testimony.

Samuel Amos, proponent, presents testimony.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls opponents/Development Services/applicant rep.

Isabelle Albert, Development Services, provides rebuttal.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to applicant rep

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, answers ZHM questions and continues rebuttal.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, questions to Development Services.

Brian Grady, Development Services, questions to applicant rep.

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, answers Development Services questions and continues rebuttal.

Brian Grady, Development Services, statement for record.

James Ratliff, Development Services Transportation, questions to applicant rep.

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, answers Development Services Transportation questions.

James Ratliff, Development Services Transportation, statement for record.

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, continues rebuttal.

James Ratliff, Development Services Transportation, statement for record.

Isabelle Albert, applicant rep, continues rebuttal.

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, calls closes RZ 22-0864.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Pamela Jo Hatley, ZHM, adjourns meeting.



















REVISED PLNAL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	MEETING OF: MEETING DATE: PETITION NUMBER: DATE TYPED:	County Commissioners March 29, 1988 88-16 April 15, 1988
Approval With Conditions - Staff recommenda District request with the conditions listed General Development Site Plan received Febru defined, described, noted, referenced, and list	- Staff recommends approve conditions listed below. Plan received February 1, referenced, and listed the	approval of the PD-C(N) Zoning below. Approval is based on the Mary 1, 1988, and all data shown, ted thereon.
<ol> <li>The floor area ratio (FAM) for succed 0.20.</li> </ol>		the proposed commercial project shall not
2. The maximum height of the PD-000		development shall be 35 feet.
3. The development in t	The development in the FO-C district shall be limited to PD-O(N) uses.	limited to PD-0(N) uses.
4. Parking shall be Hillsborough County	provided in accordance Zoning Code.	accordance with Section 10.6 of the

















Planning Commission sees and characterizes this

area as rural.

Along the major roadways, it is not rural

 Note recognizing directed the truck traffic Not recognizing uses in immediate area Not recognizing the roadway usage - Not recognizing the intensity area Not recognizing the zoning trend - Not recognizing the railroad

Plan Comm. promotes remote rural residential uses <u>Elements of the Locational Waiver</u> ntensive uses already present official truck traveled -arterial roadway -railroad tracked high volume - high traffic - loud on a:







# PARTY OF RECORD

