

Rezoning Application: PD 25-1061
Zoning Hearing Master Date: April 27, 2026
BOCC Hearing Meeting Date: June 9, 2026

1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY

Applicant: Todd Pressman
FLU Category: Residential-6 (R-6)
Service Area: Urban
Site Acreage: 15.6 MOL
Community Plan Area: Gibsonton, SouthShore
Overlay: None



Introduction Summary:

The applicant requests to rezone approximately 15.6 acres from the existing PD and RSC-2 – MH zoning districts to a new Planned Development (PD 25-1061) to allow development of a 74-space RV resort with associated amenities. The site is located adjacent to Symmes Road, carries a Residential-6 (R-6) Future Land Use designation, and is currently approved for 36 single-family lots. The proposal would replace that approval with an RV-based lodging use while retaining the existing onsite wetland area.

	Existing		Proposed
District(s)	RSC-2-MH	PD 05-1783	PD 25-1061
Typical General Use(s)	Single-Family Residential (Conventional and Mobile Home)	Single-Family Residential (Conventional Only)	RV Park Resort
Acreage	1.75 MOL	13.85 MOL	15.60 MOL
Density/Intensity per Zoning	3 units 2 du/ga	36 units 2.54 du/ga	74 spaces 4.74 du/ga (12 u/a for RVs)
Mathematical Maximum per FLU*	10 units (6 u/a)	83 units (6 du/a)	187 spaces 12 du/ga (12 u/a for RVs)

*number represents a pre-development approximation

Development Standards:	Existing		Proposed
District(s)	RSC-2-MH	PD 05-1783	PD 25-1061
Lot Size / Lot Width	21,780 sf / 100'	7,000 sf / 50' 5,000 sf / 40'	2,000 sf / NA
Setbacks/Buffering and Screening	25' Front 25' Rear 10' Sides	25 - 20' Front 25 - 20' Rear 7.5' - 5' Sides	5' Front 5' Rear 5' Sides
Max Height	35'	35'	35'


Additional Information:

PD Variation(s)	None requested as part of this application
Waiver(s) to the Land Development Code	None requested as part of this application

Planning Commission Recommendation: Consistent	Development Services Recommendation: Approvable, subject to proposed conditions
--	---

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.1 Vicinity Map




Context of Surrounding Area:

The area consists of single-family residential, commercial and a utility. Adjacent to the north is single-family residential zoned RSC-2 and RSC-6. Also, to the north is a vacant parcel zoned AR. Adjacent to the east is single-family residential zoned RSC-2. Adjacent to the west is a TECO easement and utility zoned AR. Adjacent to the south is commercial zoned AR. To the south across Symmes Road is a TECO easement and single-family residential zoned PD 02-1310.

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.2 Future Land Use Map



Subject Site Future Land Use Category:	Residential-6 (R-6)
Maximum Density/F.A.R.:	6 du/ga; 0.25 FAR
Typical Uses:	Agricultural, residential, neighborhood commercial, office uses, multi-purpose projects and mixed-use development.

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
Symmes Rd.	County Collector - Urban	2 Lanes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Project Trip Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request			
	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	584	33	40
Proposed	76	5	7
Difference (+/-)	-508	-28	-33

*Trips reported are based on gross external trips unless otherwise noted.

Connectivity and Cross Access <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request				
Project Boundary	Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
North		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC
South	X	Pedestrian & Vehicular	None	Meets LDC
East		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC
West		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC
Notes:				

Design Exception/Administrative Variance <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Type	Finding
Symmes Rd. / Access Spacing	Administrative Variance Requested	Approvable
Symmes Rd. / Substandard Roadway	Design Exception Requested	Approvable
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Notes:		

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION & AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY				
Environmental:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Environmental Protection Commission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Wetlands Present.
Conservation & Environ. Lands Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Natural Resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Environmental Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Check if Applicable: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Other Surface Waters <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water Resource Protection Area <input type="checkbox"/> Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area <input type="checkbox"/> Urban/Suburban/Rural Scenic Corridor <input type="checkbox"/> Other:				
Public Facilities:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Transportation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off-site Improvements Provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	See Conditions of Approval
Hillsborough County School Board Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Inadequate <input type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Service Area/ Water & Wastewater <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> City of Tampa <input type="checkbox"/> Rural <input type="checkbox"/> City of Temple Terrace	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Impact/Mobility Fees (Fee estimate is based on a 1,500 square foot, Mobile Home Unit) Mobility: \$1,369 * 74 = \$101,306 Fire: \$299 * 74 = \$22,126 Total: \$123,432				
Comprehensive Plan:	Comments Received	Findings	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Planning Commission <input type="checkbox"/> Meets Locational Criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Locational Criteria Waiver Requested	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistent	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Compatibility

The proposed RV resort is similar in scale and intensity to the surrounding single-story residential and low-intensity uses. RV units, being single-story in nature, are consistent with the height and scale of nearby homes. Along the north and east property lines, a 25-foot building setback is provided, and within that setback a 5-foot Type “A” buffer is included, ensuring adequate separation and visual mitigation between the RV sites and adjacent residential properties.

The TECO easement along the west property line provides a substantial separation from adjacent uses, and no impacts are proposed to the existing onsite wetland, which is retained as part of the development.

The project’s location along Symmes Road, an existing collector roadway, supports the proposed use and provides appropriate vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle access. The RV resort would operate as a low-intensity lodging use compatible with the surrounding residential pattern and utility corridor.

Therefore, the rezoning of the subject property from PD 05-1783 and RSC-2-MH to PD 25-1061 to allow a 74-space RV resort with associated amenities is consistent with the existing zoning and development pattern in the area.

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the requested PD zoning district compatible with the surrounding uses and established development context.

5.2 Recommendation

Approval, subject to proposed conditions.

6.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Requirements for Certification:

Prior to PD site plan certification, the developer shall revise the PD site plan to:

1. Match the development standards in the proposed conditions.

Approval of the request, subject to the conditions listed, is based on the revised general site plan submitted April 6, 2026.

1. Development shall be limited to a 74-space Recreational Vehicle Park Resort with a private amenity area.

2. Development standards:

RV LOTS: 74 UNITS

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY: 12 DU/GA

MINIMUM FRONT YARD SPACE SETBACK: 5'

MINIMUM SIDE YARD SPACE SETBACK: 5'

MINIMUM REAR YARD SPACE SETBACK: 5'

MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT: 35'

MINIMUM RV PARK SETBACK FROM NORTHEAST AND EAST PROPERTY BOUNDARY: 25'

THE MINIMUM UNIT AREA OF PREMISES USED OR OCCUPIED AS A SINGLE-FAMILY LIVING SPACE FOR USE OF A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SHALL BE 2,000 SQUARE FEET.

3. A 5-foot wide buffer with Type A screening shall be provided where delineated on the site plan.
4. A 25-foot RV Park setback shall be provided along the northern and eastern boundaries of the RV Park.
5. The addition or attachment of any accessory structures such as metal awnings, porches, carports, or individual storage facilities, not specifically designed and included as a standard part of the original RV shall be expressly prohibited.
6. Vehicle sites shall be rented by the day or week only, and the occupant of the vehicle site shall remain at that site and within the RV park for a limited period of time consistent with the RV uses, but in not case exceeding 120 calendar days within any 360-day period, whether accumulated consecutively or intermittently.
7. The amenity recreational area shall be private.

8. The subject site shall be permitted one full access connection onto Symmes Rd.
9. As proffered by the developer, the developer shall dedicate and convey a 30-foot-wide strip of right-of-way along its Symmes Rd. frontage. Such dedication and conveyance shall occur prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development.
10. If PD 25-1061 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (dated and received November 14th, 2025) from the LDC Section 06.04.07 access spacing requirements, which was found approvable on March 16th, 2026. Approval of this Administrative Variance will permit a reduction of the minimum access spacing for the projects, Symmes Rd connection as follows:
 - a. A variance of +/- 81 ft from the closest access to the east (on the northern side of the road), resulting in an access spacing of +/- 164 ft.
11. If PD 25-1061 is approved the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated and received November 14th, 2025) which was found approvable by the County Engineer on March 16th, 2026, for the Symmes Rd. substandard roadway improvements with the following condition:
 - a. The 4-foot bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb

As Symmes Rd. has been identified as a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the Design Exception:

 - b. Construct a type "F" curb and gutter along the northern side of Symmes Rd. from the existing curb terminus +/- 58ft east of the project to the western property line.
12. All construction ingress and egress shall be limited to the Symmes Rd. project access. The developer shall include a note in each site/construction plan submittal which indicates same.
13. Notwithstanding anything herein or shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along PD boundaries.
14. Approval of this zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impact to wetlands, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
15. The construction and location of any proposed wetland impacts are not approved by this correspondence but shall be reviewed by EPC staff under separate application pursuant to the EPC Wetlands rule detailed in Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC, (Chapter 1-11) to determine whether such impacts are necessary to accomplish reasonable use of the subject property.
16. Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the approved wetland / other surface water (OSW) line must be incorporated into the site plan. The wetland/ OSW line must appear on all site plans, labeled as "EPC Wetland Line", and the wetland must be labeled as "Wetland Conservation Area" pursuant to the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).

- 17. Final design of buildings, stormwater retention areas, and ingress/egresses are subject to change pending formal agency jurisdictional determinations of wetland and other surface water boundaries and approval by the appropriate regulatory agencies.
- 18. Approval of this petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that Natural Resources approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impacts to trees, natural plant communities or wildlife habitat, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
- 19. The construction and location of any proposed environmental impacts are not approved by this correspondence, but shall be reviewed by Natural Resources staff through the site and subdivision development plan process pursuant to the Land Development Code.
- 20. If the notes and/or graphic on the site plan are in conflict with specific zoning conditions and/or the Land Development Code (LDC) regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall apply, unless specifically conditioned otherwise. References to development standards of the LDC in the above stated conditions shall be interpreted as the regulations in effect at the time of preliminary site plan/plat approval.
- 21. In accordance with LDC Section 5.03.07.C, the certified PD general site plan shall expire for the internal transportation network and external access points, as well as for any conditions related to the internal transportation network and external access points, if site construction plans, or equivalent thereof, have not been approved for all or part of the subject Planned Development within 5 years of the effective date of the PD unless an extension is granted as provided in the LDC. Upon expiration, re-certification of the PD General Site Plan shall be required in accordance with provisions set forth in LDC Section 5.03.07.C.

Zoning Administrator Sign Off:

J. Brian Grady

SITE, SUBDIVISION AND BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN & BUILDING REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

Approval of this re-zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the project will receive approvals/permits necessary for site development as proposed will be issued, nor does it imply that other required permits needed for site development or building construction are being waived or otherwise approved. The project will be required to comply with the Site Development Plan Review approval process in addition to obtain all necessary building permits for on-site structures.

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP


7.0 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Property Violation History

Agency	Number	Violation	Status
Code Enforcement*			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None current or pending			
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation(s)			
Building Code Compliance*			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None current or pending			
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation(s)			
Natural Resources*			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None current or pending			
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation(s)			
EPC*			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None current or pending			
<input type="checkbox"/> Violation(s)			

*past 12 months from intake date

8.0 PROPOSED SITE PLAN (FULL)



9.0 FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT (see following pages)

AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department

DATE: 03/16/2026

REVIEWER: Sarah Rose, Senior Planner

AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation

PLANNING AREA: Gibsonton

PETITION NO: RZ 25-1061

- This agency has no comments.
- This agency has no objection.
- This agency has no objection, subject to the listed or attached conditions.
- This agency objects for the reasons set forth below.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. The subject site shall be permitted one full access connection onto Symmes Rd.
2. As proffered by the developer, the developer shall dedicate and convey a 30-foot-wide strip of right-of-way along its Symmes Rd. frontage. Such dedication and conveyance shall occur prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development.
3. If PD 25-1061 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (dated and received November 14th, 2025) from the LDC Section 06.04.07 access spacing requirements, which was found approvable on March 16th, 2026. Approval of this Administrative Variance will permit a reduction of the minimum access spacing for the projects, Symmes Rd connection as follows:
 - a. A variance of +/- 81 ft from the closest access to the east (on the northern side of the road), resulting in an access spacing of +/- 164 ft.
4. If PD 25-1061 is approved the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated and received November 14th, 2025) which was found approvable by the County Engineer on March 16th, 2026, for the Symmes Rd. substandard roadway improvements with the following condition:
 - a. The 4-foot bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb

As Symmes Rd. has been identified as a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the Design Exception:

- b. Construct a type "F" curb and gutter along the northern side of Symmes Rd. from the existing curb terminus +/- 58ft east of the project to the western property line.
- 5. All construction ingress and egress shall be limited to the Symmes Rd. project access. The developer shall include a note in each site/construction plan submittal which indicates same.
- 6. Notwithstanding anything herein or shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along PD boundaries.

PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting to rezone two parcels totaling +/- 15.6 acres from Residential Single Family Conventional – 2 (RSC-2) and Planned Development (PD) 05-1783 to Planned Development (PD). The existing Planned Development (PD) is approved for thirty-six (36) single family dwelling units. The proposed Planned Development is seeking approval for a 74-unit Recreational Vehicle Park with Accessory Open Storage. The site is located on the north-western quadrant of the intersection of Symmes Rd. The Future Land Use designation of the site is Residential – 6 (R-6).

Trip Generation Analysis

In accordance with the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM), the developer submitted a transportation generation letter for the proposed project, indicating that the subject project will generate fewer than 50 peak hour trips. Staff have prepared a comparison of the trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer’s Trip Generation Manual, 12th Edition.

Approved Zoning:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM

RSC-2, Single Family Detached (ITE 210) 3 Units	28	3	3
PD, Single Family Detached (ITE 210) 36 Units	556	30	37
Total	584	33	40

Proposed Uses:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
PD, Recreational Vehicle Park (ITE 416) 74 Units	76	5	7

Trip Generation Difference:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
Difference	-508	-28	-33

TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

The site has frontage on Symmes Rd., a 2-lane, divided, substandard county-maintained, urban collector roadway. The roadway is characterized by +/- 11-foot-wide travel lanes, no bike lanes on either side of the roadway within the vicinity of the project, +/- 5-foot-wide sidewalks along the south side of the roadway, and within +/- 75ft of the right of way.

Pursuant to the Hillsborough County corridor preservation plan Symmes Rd is designated as a future 2-lane enhanced roadway. In accordance with the standards outlined in Typical Section – 4 (TS-4) of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manuel (TTM), 64ft plus an additional 11ft for the enhancement for a total of 75ft of right-of-way is required to accommodate this expansion. As there is sufficient right-of-way along the frontage of the subject site to accommodate this expansion no additional right-of-way is required to be preserved.

As displayed on the site plan and outlined in the above conditions of approval, the applicant has proffered to dedicate and convey a 30-foot-wide strip of right-of-way along its Symmes Rd. frontage. The applicants stated intent to provide such right-of-way to accommodate the placement of the external sidewalk required pursuant to Section 6.02.08. and/or Section 6.03.02. of the LDC. Staff notes that sidewalk placement is finalized at the time of plat/site construction which has not yet occurred, as such staff cannot definitively state that such right-of-way is sufficient to accommodate the required sidewalk. Staff notes, sidewalks will be required to be placed in

accordance with all applicable LDC and TTM requirements. Given the above staff has included a condition requiring dedication of the 30-foot-wide strip prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development.

ADMINISTRATIVE SPACING VARIANCE – SYMMES RD.

The applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Section 06.04.02.B Administrative variance (dated and received on November 14th, 2025) from the Section 06.04.07 LDC requirement, governing access spacing for the proposed Symmes Rd. access. Per the Hillsborough County LDC, Symmes road is a Class 5 roadway with a posted speed of 45 miles per hour or less which requires a minimum connection spacing of 245ft. The applicant is seeking the following variance:

- A variance of +/- 81 ft from the closest access to the east (on the northern side of the road), resulting in an access spacing of +/- 164 ft.

Based on factors presented in the Administrative Variance Request, the County Engineer found this request approvable on March 16th, 2026. If PD 25-1061 is approved by the Hillsborough County BOCC, the County Engineer will approve this Administrative Variance Request.

SUBSTANDARD ROADWAY DESIGN EXCEPTION – SYMMES RD.

The applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated and received November 14th, 2025) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on the factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the Design Exception request approvable on March 16th, 2026 with the following condition:

- The 4-foot-bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb.

Authorizing deviation from Typical Section -4 (TS-4) of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) which outlines the standards for 2-lane, urban, undivided, county collector roadways so that the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the Design Exception:

- Construct a type “F” curb and gutter along the northern side of Symmes Rd. from the existing curb terminus +/- 58ft east of the project to the western property line.

If PD 25-1061 is approved by the Hillsborough County BOCC, the County Engineer will approve this Design Exception request.

SITE ACCESS

The existing Planned Development is approved for one full access connection onto Symmes Rd. This application does not propose any modifications to the project’s existing access.

ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) INFORMATION

Level of Service (LOS) information for Symmes Rd. is reported below

FDOT Generalized Level of Service				
Roadway	From	To	LOS Standard	Peak Hr. Directional LOS
Symmes Rd.	US Hwy 41	Us Hwy 301	D	D

Source: [2024 Hillsborough County Level of Service \(LOS\) Report](#)

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

From: [Williams, Michael](#)
To: [Steven Henry](#)
Cc: [Todd Pressman](#); [Grandlienard, Christopher](#); [Rose, Sarah](#); [Drapach, Alan](#); [Tirado, Sheida](#); [De Leon, Eleonor](#); [PW-CEIntake](#)
Subject: RE: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:45:05 AM
Attachments: [25-1061 AVAd 11-14-25.pdf](#)
[25-1061 DEAd 11-14-25.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Steve,

This is to revise the previous APPROVABLE email to APPROVABLE with CONDITIONS for the Design Exception only. The condition will be as shown in the bullet below:

- The 4-foot bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb

If you have any questions, let me know.

Mike

Michael J. Williams, P.E.

Director, Development Review

County Engineer

Development Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851

M: (813) 614-2190

E: Williamsm@HillsboroughCounty.org

W: HCFLGov.net

Hillsborough County

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

-

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

From: Williams, Michael

Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:49 AM

To: Steven Henry <shenry@lincks.com>

Cc: Todd Pressman <todd@pressmaninc.com>; Grandlienard, Christopher <GrandlienardC@hcfl.gov>; Rose, Sarah <RoseSJ@hcfl.gov>; Drapach, Alan <DrapachA@hcfl.gov>; Tirado, Sheida <TiradoS@hcfl.gov>; De Leon, Eleonor <DeLeonE@hcfl.gov>; PW-CEIntake <PW-

CEIntake@hcfl.gov>

Subject: FW: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review

Steve,

I have found the attached Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (AV) and Design Exception (DE) for PD 25-1061 APPROVABLE.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon (DeLeonE@hillsboroughcounty.org or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to PW-CEIntake@hillsboroughcounty.org

Mike

Michael J. Williams, P.E.

Director, Development Review

County Engineer

Development Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851

M: (813) 614-2190

E: Williamsm@HillsboroughCounty.org

W: HCFLGov.net

Hillsborough County

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

APPLICATION NUMBER: PD 25-1061

ZHM HEARING DATE: April 27, 2026

BOCC HEARING DATE: June 9, 2026

Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

From: Tirado, Sheida <TiradoS@hcfl.gov>

Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 6:03 PM

To: Williams, Michael <WilliamsM@hcfl.gov>

Cc: Rose, Sarah <RoseSJ@hcfl.gov>; Drapach, Alan <DrapachA@hcfl.gov>

Subject: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review

Hello Mike,

The attached Administrative Variance and Design Exception are **Approvable** to me, please include the following people in your response email:

shenry@lincks.com

todd@pressmaninc.com

grandlienardc@hcfl.gov

rosesj@hcfl.gov

drapacha@hcfl.gov

Best Regards,

Sheida L. Tirado, PE

Transportation Review & Site intake Manager

Development Services

E: TiradoS@HCFL.gov

P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

HCFL.gov

[Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

Hillsborough County **Florida**

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.



Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews

Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Eleonor de Leon at deleone@HCFL.gov or via telephone at (813) 307-1707 if you have questions about how to complete this form.

Request Type (check one)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Manual Design Exception Request <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Parking Plan Request (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G3.) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Determination of Required Parking for Unlisted Uses (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G.1. and G.2.)
Submittal Type (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revised Request <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Information
Submittal Number and Description/Running History (check one and complete text box using instructions provided below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Access Spacing-Symmes Road 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Access Spacing-Symmes Road 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. 6.
<p><i>Important: To help staff differentiate multiple requests (whether of the same or different type), please use the above fields to assign a unique submittal number/name to each separate request. Previous submittals relating to the same project/phase shall be listed using the name and number previously identified. It is critical that the applicant reference this unique name in the request letter and subsequent filings/correspondence. If the applicant is revising or submitting additional information related to a previously submitted request, then the applicant would check the number of the previous submittal.</i></p>	
Project Name/ Phase	Lovegren South RV Park
<p><i>Important: The name selected must be used on all future communications and submittals of additional/revised information relating to this variance. If request is specific to a discrete phase, please also list that phase.</i></p>	
Folio Number(s)	50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
<input type="checkbox"/> Check This Box If There Are More Than Five Folio Numbers	
<p><i>Important: List all folios related to the project, up to a maximum of five. If there are additional folios, check the box to indicate such. Folio numbers must be provided in the format provided by the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's website (i.e. 6 numbers, followed by a hyphen, followed by 4 additional numbers, e.g. "012345-6789"). Multiple records should be separated by a semicolon and a space e.g. "012345-6789; 054321-9876").</i></p>	
Name of Person Submitting Request	Steven J. Henry, P.E.
<p><i>Important: All Administrative Variances (AV) and Design Exceptions (DE) must be Signed and Sealed by a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed in the State of Florida.</i></p>	
Current Property Zoning Designation	
<p><i>Important: For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional – 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html. For additional assistance, please contact the Zoning Counselors at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.</i></p>	
Pending Zoning Application Number	PD 25-1061
<p><i>Important: If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number preceded by the case type prefix, otherwise type "N/A" or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.</i></p>	
Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)	N/A
<p><i>Important: This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".</i></p>	

Received November 14, 2025
Development Services



November 14, 2025

Mr. Mike Williams
Hillsborough County Government
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Lovegren South RV Park
PD 25-1061
Folio Numbers: 50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
Lincks Project No. 25064 (25898.02.04)

The purpose of this letter is to request a Section 6.04.02.B Administrative Variance to Section 6.04.07 of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code for the access to Symmes Road. The property is proposed to be rezoned Planned Development to allow a 74 space RV Park.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project.

The access to serve the project is proposed to be via one (1) full access to Symmes Road. A copy of the PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The subject property is within the Urban Service Area and according to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, Symmes Road is a collector road.

The request is for an Administrative Variance to Section 6.04.07 of the Hillsborough County LDC for the access spacing on Symmes Road. Based on Section 6.04.07, the access spacing on Symmes Road is 245 feet. The distance to the driveway to the west is 283 feet and 164 feet to the east. This is graphically shown in Figure 1.

The justification for the variance is as follows:

(a) there is an unreasonable burden on the applicant,

The developer controls limited property frontage along Symmes Road. Given the property frontage, it is not physically possible to locate the driveway to meet the spacing criteria. Therefore, it is not reasonable or feasible for the project to modify the location of the access to meet the current LDC spacing criteria.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 2

(b) the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare,

The access is located between two ponds within the project and therefore is limited to the proposed location. The subject access meets the spacing criteria from the access to the west and also on the south side of Symmes Road. The only distance waiver is to the driveway east of the proposed access. The access to the east is a dirt driveway serving a U-Haul Facility, which is a low volume use. In addition, the proposed use of the subject property is a low volume use. Based on the above, the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.


(c) without the variance, reasonable access cannot be provided.

The only connection for the project is via Symmes Road. Due to property constraints, the only reasonable location for the access is shown on the attached exhibit.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry
President
Lincks & Associates, LLC
A TMC Company
P.E. #51555



Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

- Disapproved
- Approved
- Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E.

Date _____

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer

RECEIVED NOVEMBER 17, 2025
Development Services

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 3

TABLE 1
ESTIMATED PROJECT TRIP ENDS (1)

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>ITE</u> <u>LUC</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>	<u>AM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>		<u>PM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>			
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>
RV Park	240	74 DU's	527	6	23	29	27	16	43

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 4




Figure 1

Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

APPENDIX



Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

PD PLAN




Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



Received November 14, 2025
 Development Services



Received November 14, 2021
Development Services

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY LDC
SECTION 6.04.04



Sec. 6.04.04. - Design Standards

A. Driveway Width/Length

Driveways provide the physical transition between a site and the abutting roadway. Driveways should be located and designed to minimize impacts on traffic while providing safe entry and exit from the development served. The location and design of the connection must take into account characteristics of the roadway, the site, and the potential users.

The actual width and length of driveways shall be subject to internal and external traffic flow considerations. The driveway width considerations include, but are not limited to the number of lanes, the driveway geometrics, internal obstructions, traffic safety, etc. The length of driveways shall be designed to provide for an uninterrupted traffic flow on the public street. This will require that the entering vehicles not be confronted with maneuvering vehicles at the immediate point of entry, thus requiring other entering vehicle(s) to stop in the through traffic flow. The driveway length therefore, will be subject to the anticipated required stacking length of entering and exiting vehicle during the peak period.

1. For driveways that will be signalized, driveway length should be determined by a traffic study of expected traffic and queues. An important measurement in determining the driveway length is the outbound queue.
2. For unsignalized driveways, the following minimum lengths will be used:

Land Use	Driveway Length (In Feet)
Any major entrance with 4 or more total lanes in the in the driveway. Typically malls, and "Super" retail centers	300 or greater, based on traffic study
Regional Shopping Centers (over 150,000 sq. ft.)	250
Community Shopping Center (100-150,000 sq. ft.) (Supermarket, drug store, etc.)	150
Small Strip Shopping Center	50
Smaller Commercial Development (convenience store with gas pumps)	30

3. For residential developments, the maximum length shall be:

Land Use	Driveway Length (In Feet)
Residential Developments	250

B. Driveway Grades

1. Driveway grades shall conform to the requirements of FDOT Roadway and Traffic Design Standard Indices, latest edition.
2. For driveways with high volumes and where curve radii turnouts would be a prime benefit to traffic movements, the following factors should be considered:
 - a. It is desirable to have driveway slope upward from gutter line without any vertical curve. The upward slope with curbs will allow better control of drainage.

Received November 14, 21
Development Services

- b. It is desirable to have a relatively flat area adjacent to the roadway, where vehicles may turn off without an immediate climbing or descending need. Then exiting vehicles may wait to enter traffic flow at approximately roadway level.
- c. Within the limits of curve radii, no drop curb shall be allowed except as required for curb cut ramps.

C. Traffic Control Devices

- 1. The installation of signs and pavement markings at private roadways and residential or commercial driveways, and the installation of traffic signals at high-volume commercial Type III driveways may be required in order to provide for safe and efficient movement of traffic. All traffic control devices shall be installed in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and the current County standards and specifications and shall be approved by the County Public Works Department, Traffic Section.
- 2. The approval to install traffic signals shall be based on a traffic engineering study which addresses the warrants, the design, and the operation of the signals. The study and design shall be approved by the County Public Works Department, Traffic Section and FDOT, if on the State Highway System. The responsibility for the engineering study shall rest with the permittee. If a traffic signal is installed, all signal elements and appropriate portions of the access approach to assure efficient signal operation, shall be on public right-of-way or on easements granted to the public.
- 3. Any required traffic control devices, including signs, signals or pavement markings shall be installed by the permittee. The permittee shall be responsible for all purchase and installation costs involved.

D. Auxiliary Lanes

Auxiliary Lanes refer to left-turn, right-turn, acceleration, deceleration, and storage lanes. Developments which generate AM or PM Peak Hour Traffic which exceeds the following thresholds shall provide the following site related acceleration, deceleration, and storage lanes:

- 1. If more than 20 left turning vehicles per hour on a two-lane arterial or collector roadway, then left turn lanes are warranted,
- 2. If more than 50 right turning vehicles per hour on a two-lane arterial or collector roadway, then right turn lanes are warranted,
- 3. If more than 40 right turning vehicles per hour, on a four-lane rural roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
- 4. If more than 80 right turning vehicles per hour, on a four-lane urban roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
- 5. If more than 60 right turning vehicles per hour, on a six-lane rural roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
- 6. If more than 100 right turning vehicles per hour, on a six-lane urban roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
- 7. On multi-lane roadways, left turn lanes shall be constructed when there are more than 20 left turning vehicles.

Received November 14,
Development Services



November 14, 2025

Mr. Michael Williams, PE
County Engineer Development Review Director
Hillsborough County
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Lovegren South RV Park
PD 25-1061
Folio: 50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
Lincks Project No. 25064 (25898.02.04)

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for Symmes Road from Ekker Road to the project access.

The subject property is proposed to be rezoned to Planned Development to allow a 74 space RV Park.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project.

The access for the project is proposed to have one (1) full access to Symmes Road.

The proposed PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The subject site is within the Hillsborough County Urban Service Area. According to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, Symmes Road is a collector road.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-4 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for Symmes Road. The segment of Symmes Road currently has the following characteristics:

- Two (2) lane rural/urban roadway
- Eleven (11) foot lanes

The following exceptions are requested to accommodate the proposed project:

1. Lane Width – TS-4 has 11 foot lanes. The existing roadway has 11 foot lanes.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 2

2. Curb & Gutter – TS-4 has curb and gutter. The existing road has curb and gutter for approximately 550 feet west of Ekker Road and then a rural road along the property frontage.
3. Bike Lanes – TS-4 has 7 foot buffered bike lanes. The existing road has 4 foot bike lanes for approximately 550 feet west of Ekker Road.
4. Sidewalk – TS-4 has five (5) foot sidewalk on both sides of the road. There is sidewalk on the south side of the road.

The justification for the Design Exception is as follows:

1. The Developer proposes to extend the curb and gutter from the existing terminus east of the project to the western property line.

The proposed improvements and typical section are shown on Figure 1.

Received November 14, 2025
Development Services


Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 3

Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to Symmes Road will offset the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry
President
Lincks & Associates, LLC
A TMC Company
P.E. #51555



Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

- Disapproved**
- Approved**
- Approved with Conditions**

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E, (813) 276-8364, TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer

Mr. Mike Williams
 November 14, 2025
 Page 4

TABLE 1
 ESTIMATED PROJECT TRIP ENDS (1)

Land Use	ITE LUC	Size	Daily Trip Ends	AM Peak Hour Trip Ends		PM Peak Hour Trip Ends	
				In	Out	In	Out
RV Park	240	74 DU's	527	6	23	27	16
					Total		Total
					29		43

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 5




Figure 1

Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

APPENDIX



Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

PD PLAN




Received November 14, 2025
Development Services

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



Received November 14, 2021
 Development Services




Received November 14, 202

Development Services

TS-4



Received November 14, 2025
 Development Services



TYPICAL SECTION
 N.T.S.

5,000 TO 10,000 AADT

MAX. ALLOWABLE DESIGN SPEED - 40 MPH

1. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MINIMUM.
2. SEE APPROPRIATE SECTIONS OF TECHNICAL MANUAL FOR DESIGN PARAMETERS.
3. PROVIDE 2' MINIMUM CLEARANCE FROM FENCES, WALLS, HEDGES, ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS, DROP OFFS, OR FROM THE TOPS OF BANKS WITH SLOPES STEEPER THAN 1 TO 4, THAT INTERFERE WITH THE SAFE, FUNCTIONAL USE OF THE SIDEWALK. INTERMITTENT ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES, OR MATURE TREES, 2' OR LESS IN DIAMETER MAY BE PLACED IN THIS 2' STRIP AS FAR FROM THE SIDEWALK AS POSSIBLE, IF NOT IN THE CLEAR ZONE.
4. SEE SIDEWALK PROTECTION OPTIONS, DRAWING NO. TD-16 SHEET 7 OF 7 FOR USE WHEN TREES ARE PLANTED IN THE PARKWAY AREA (BETWEEN THE BACK OF CURB AND SIDEWALK).
5. SOD SHALL BE PLACED IN TWO ROWS STAGGERED. (BOTH TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT)

REVISION DATE: 10/17	TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL MANUAL	 Hillsborough County Florida	URBAN COLLECTORS (2 LANE UNDIVIDED) TYPICAL SECTION	DRAWING NO. TS-4 SHEET NO. 1 OF 1
-------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---	--------------------------------------

COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE
LAND USE HEARING OFFICER**

APPLICATION NUMBER: RZ PD 25-1061

DATE OF HEARING: April 27, 2026

APPLICANT: Todd Pressman /
Pressman & Assoc., Inc.

PETITION REQUEST: The request is to rezone a
parcel of land from PD 05-
1783 and RSC-2 MH to
PD

LOCATION: 600 feet east of the
intersection Symmes Rd.
and North Street on the
north side of Symmes Rd.

SIZE OF PROPERTY: 15.6 acres m.o.l.

EXISTING ZONING DISTRICT: PD 05-1783 & RSC-2 MH

FUTURE LAND USE CATEGORY: RES-6

SERVICE AREA: Urban

COMMUNITY PLANNING AREA: Gibsonton, Southshore

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW STAFF REPORT

Rezoning Application: PD 25-1061
Zoning Hearing Master Date: April 27, 2026
BOCC Hearing Meeting Date: June 9, 2026



1.0 APPLICATION SUMMARY

Applicant: Todd Pressman
FLU Category: Residential-6 (R-6)
Service Area: Urban
Site Acreage: 15.6 MOL
Community Plan Area: Gibsonton, SouthShore
Overlay: None



Introduction Summary:

The applicant requests to rezone approximately 15.6 acres from the existing PD and RSC-2 – MH zoning districts to a new Planned Development (PD 25-1061) to allow development of a 74-space RV resort with associated amenities. The site is located adjacent to Symmes Road, carries a Residential-6 (R-6) Future Land Use designation, and is currently approved for 36 single-family lots. The proposal would replace that approval with an RV-based lodging use while retaining the existing onsite wetland area.

	Existing		Proposed
District(s)	RSC-2-MH	PD 05-1783	PD 25-1061
Typical General Use(s)	Single-Family Residential (Conventional and Mobile Home)	Single-Family Residential (Conventional Only)	RV Park Resort
Acreage	1.75 MOL	13.85 MOL	15.60 MOL
Density/Intensity per Zoning	3 units 2 du/ga	36 units 2.54 du/ga	74 spaces 4.74 du/ga (12 u/a for RVs)
Mathematical Maximum per FLU*	10 units (6 u/a)	83 units (6 du/a)	187 spaces 12 du/ga (12 u/a for RVs)

*number represents a pre-development approximation


Development Standards:		Existing		Proposed
District(s)		RSC-2-MH	PD 05-1783	PD 25-1061
Lot Size / Lot Width		21,780 sf / 100'	7,000 sf / 50' 5,000 sf / 40'	2,000 sf / NA
Setbacks/Buffering and Screening		25' Front 25' Rear 10' Sides	25 - 20' Front 25 - 20' Rear 7.5' - 5' Sides	5' Front 5' Rear 5' Sides
Max Height		35'	35'	35'

Additional Information:	
PD Variation(s)	None requested as part of this application
Waiver(s) to the Land Development Code	None requested as part of this application

Planning Commission Recommendation: Consistent	Development Services Recommendation: Approvable, subject to proposed conditions
--	---

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.1 Vicinity Map




Context of Surrounding Area:

The area consists of single-family residential, commercial and a utility. Adjacent to the north is single-family residential zoned RSC-2 and RSC-6. Also, to the north is a vacant parcel zoned AR. Adjacent to the east is single-family residential zoned RSC-2. Adjacent to the west is a TECO easement and utility zoned AR. Adjacent to the south is commercial zoned AR. To the south across Symmes Road is a TECO easement and single-family residential zoned PD 02-1310.

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA


2.2 Future Land Use Map



Subject Site Future Land Use Category:	Residential-6 (R-6)
Maximum Density/F.A.R.:	6 du/ga; 0.25 FAR
Typical Uses:	Agricultural, residential, neighborhood commercial, office uses, multi-purpose projects and mixed-use development.

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA


2.3 Immediate Area Map



Adjacent Zonings and Uses				
Location:	Zoning:	Maximum Density/F.A.R. Permitted by Zoning District:	Allowable Use:	Existing Use:
North	AR, RSC-2-MH, RSC-6-MH	1 du/5 ga, 2 du/ga, 6 du/ga	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, SFR, SFR	Vacant, SFR, SFR
South	AR, PD 02-1310	1 du/5 ga, 6 du/ga	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, TECO Easement/SFR	Commercial, TECO Easement/SFR
East	RSC-2-MH	2 du/ga	SFR	SFR
West	AR	1 du/5 ga	Single-Family Residential/Agricultural, Utility	Utility

2.0 LAND USE MAP SET AND SUMMARY DATA

2.4 Proposed Site Plan (partial provided below for size and orientation purposes. See Section 8.0 for full site plan)



4.0 ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION & AGENCY COMMENTS SUMMARY

INFORMATION/REVIEWING AGENCY				
Environmental:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Environmental Protection Commission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Wetlands Present.
Conservation & Environ. Lands Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Natural Resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Environmental Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Check if Applicable: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetlands/Other Surface Waters <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water Resource Protection Area <input type="checkbox"/> Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area <input type="checkbox"/> Urban/Suburban/Rural Scenic Corridor <input type="checkbox"/> Other:				
Public Facilities:	Comments Received	Objections	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Transportation				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design Exc./Adm. Variance Requested	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	See Conditions of Approval
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off-site Improvements Provided				
Hillsborough County School Board				
Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Inadequate <input type="checkbox"/> K-5 <input type="checkbox"/> 6-8 <input type="checkbox"/> 9-12 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A				
Service Area/ Water & Wastewater				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> City of Tampa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rural <input type="checkbox"/> City of Temple Terrace				
Impact/Mobility Fees (Fee estimate is based on a 1,500 square foot, Mobile Home Unit) Mobility: \$1,369 * 74 = \$101,306 Fire: \$299 * 74 = \$22,126 Total: \$123,432				
Comprehensive Plan:	Comments Received	Findings	Conditions Requested	Additional Information/Comments
Planning Commission				
<input type="checkbox"/> Meets Locational Criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistent	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Locational Criteria Waiver Requested				

3.0 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY (FULL TRANSPORTATION REPORT IN SECTION 9 OF STAFF REPORT)

Adjoining Roadways (check if applicable)			
Road Name	Classification	Current Conditions	Select Future Improvements
Symmes Rd.	County Collector - Urban	2 Lanes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sufficient ROW Width	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corridor Preservation Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Site Access Improvements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substandard Road Improvements <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Project Trip Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request			
	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	584	33	40
Proposed	76	5	7
Difference (+/-)	-508	-28	-33

*Trips reported are based on gross external trips unless otherwise noted.

Connectivity and Cross Access <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request				
Project Boundary	Primary Access	Additional Connectivity/Access	Cross Access	Finding
North		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC
South	X	Pedestrian & Vehicular	None	Meets LDC
East		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC
West		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Meets LDC

Notes:

Design Exception/Administrative Variance <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable for this request		
Road Name/Nature of Request	Type	Finding
Symmes Rd. / Access Spacing	Administrative Variance Requested	Approvable
Symmes Rd. / Substandard Roadway	Design Exception Requested	Approvable
	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Notes:

APPLICATION NUMBER:	PD 25-1061	
ZHM HEARING DATE:	April 27, 2026	
BOCC HEARING DATE:	June 9, 2026	Case Reviewer: Chris Grandlienard, AICP

5.0 IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Compatibility

The proposed RV resort is similar in scale and intensity to the surrounding single-story residential and low-intensity uses. RV units, being single-story in nature, are consistent with the height and scale of nearby homes. Along the north and east property lines, a 25-foot building setback is provided, and within that setback a 5-foot Type “A” buffer is included, ensuring adequate separation and visual mitigation between the RV sites and adjacent residential properties.

The TECO easement along the west property line provides a substantial separation from adjacent uses, and no impacts are proposed to the existing onsite wetland, which is retained as part of the development.

The project’s location along Symmes Road, an existing collector roadway, supports the proposed use and provides appropriate vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle access. The RV resort would operate as a low-intensity lodging use compatible with the surrounding residential pattern and utility corridor.

Therefore, the rezoning of the subject property from PD 05-1783 and RSC-2-MH to PD 25-1061 to allow a 74-space RV resort with associated amenities is consistent with the existing zoning and development pattern in the area.

Based on the above considerations, staff finds the requested PD zoning district compatible with the surrounding uses and established development context.

5.2 Recommendation

Approval, subject to proposed conditions.

Zoning conditions, which were presented Zoning Hearing Master hearing, were reviewed and are incorporated by reference as a part of the Zoning Hearing Master recommendation.

SUMMARY OF HEARING

THIS CAUSE came on for hearing before the Hillsborough County Land Use Hearing Officer on April 27, 2026. Ms. Michelle Heinrich of the Hillsborough County Development Services Department introduced the agenda item.

Mr. Todd Pressman 200 Second Avenue South #451 St. Petersburg testified as the applicant. Mr. Pressman showed a PowerPoint presentation and stated that both planning staffs support the rezoning to PD on 15.6 acres in the Gibsonton SouthShore area. He stated that the property is comprised of two parcels. An existing PD on-site is approved for 36 single-family homes. The rezoning request is for 74 luxury upscale Recreational Vehicles (RV's) with amenities. Mr. Pressman showed photos to discuss what the park may look like. He testified that there is a TECO easement on the west side of the property and no development will occur in that area. He detailed the setbacks and proposed buffering and screening and stated that there will be a reduction in trips when compared to the existing entitlements. Mr. Pressman concluded his presentation by stating that the Planning Commission report stated that the site could be considered for up to 93 dwelling units but the applicant is requesting 74 RV spaces. He added that a community meeting was held and adjacent property notice was mailed out.

Hearing Master Finch asked Mr. Pressman to confirm that the proposed access through the TECO easement is permissible. Mr. Pressman replied that Mr. Couch would answer the question. Mr. Jeremy Couch 17937 Hunting Bow Circle replied that the access will be through the easement. He stated that the prior subdivision approval had full access approved from TECO and that the approval will be updated for the RV park.

Mr. Chris Grandlienard, Development Services staff, testified regarding the County's staff report. He stated that the applicant is requesting to rezone 15.6 acres from PD and RSC-2 to Planned Development to permit a 74 space RV resort with associated amenities. Mr. Grandlienard detailed the current approval for 36 single-family homes and described the surrounding area and proposed setbacks. Staff finds the request approvable subject to the proposed conditions.

Ms. Lilyann Linehan, Planning Commission staff testified regarding the Planning Commission staff report. Ms. Linehan stated that the site is designated Residential-6 by the Comprehensive Plan and located in the Urban Service Area and the Gibsonton Community Plan. Ms. Linehan stated that the proposed use is compatible with the existing development pattern She added that the request is consistent with the intent of the Gibsonton Community Plan. Staff found the rezoning consistent with the Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan.

Hearing Master Finch asked for members of the audience in support of the application. No one replied.

Hearing Master Finch asked for members of the audience in opposition to the application. No one replied.

County staff and Mr. Pressman did not have additional comments.

The hearing was then concluded.

EVIDENCE SUBMITTED

Mr. Pressman submitted a copy of his PowerPoint presentation into the record.

PREFACE

All matters that precede the Summary of Hearing section of this Decision are hereby incorporated into and shall constitute a part of the ensuing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The subject property is 15.6 acres in size and is currently zoned Residential Single-Family Conventional-2 Mobile Home (RSC-2 MH) and Planned Development (PD 05-1783) and is designated Residential-6 (RES-6) by the Comprehensive Plan. The property is located within the Urban Service Area and the Gibsonton Community Plan.
2. The applicant is requesting a rezoning to the Planned Development (PD) zoning district to permit a 74-space Recreational Vehicle (RV) park with amenities.
3. The existing PD currently permits the development of 36 single-family homes.
4. No waivers of PD variations are requested.
5. The Planning Commission supports the rezoning request and found the proposed use consistent with both the Gibsonton Community Plan and the Comprehensive Plan.
6. No testimony in opposition was provided at the Zoning Hearing Master hearing or submitted into the record.

7. The County's transportation staff noted that there will be a decrease in the number of vehicular trips when compared to the existing entitlements.
8. A TECO easement is located on the western portion of the subject property. Access through the easement from Symmes Road is proposed. The applicant's civil engineer testified that the existing PD has subdivision approval for the access and that will be amended to reflect the access for the RV park if the rezoning is approved.
9. The rezoning request to PD for the development of a 74-space RV park is consistent with the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan.

FINDINGS OF COMPLIANCE/NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The rezoning request is in compliance with and does further the intent of the Goals, Objectives and the Policies of the Future of Hillsborough Comprehensive Plan.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the Findings of Fact cited above, there is substantial competent evidence to demonstrate that the requested Planned Development rezoning is in conformance with the applicable requirements of the Land Development Code and with applicable zoning and established principles of zoning law.

SUMMARY

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from RSC-2 MH and PD 05-1783 to the PD zoning district to permit a 74-space RV park with amenities.

No waivers or PD variations are requested.


The Planning Commission staff support the request and found the proposed use compatible with the existing development pattern.

No testimony in opposition was provided at the Zoning Hearing Master hearing.

The rezoning request to PD for the development of the 74-space RV park is consistent with the Land Development Code and the Comprehensive Plan.

RECOMMENDATION


Based on the foregoing, this recommendation is for **APPROVAL** of the Planned Development rezoning request as indicated by the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law stated above subject to the zoning conditions prepared by the Development Services Department.



May 18, 2026

Susan M. Finch, AICP
Land Use Hearing Officer

Date



**Hillsborough County
City-County
Planning Commission**

Plan Hillsborough
planhillsborough.org
planner@plancom.org
813 – 272 – 5940
601 E Kennedy Blvd
18th floor
Tampa, FL, 33602

Unincorporated Hillsborough County Rezoning Consistency Review	
Hearing Date: April 27, 2026 Report Prepared: April 16, 2026	Case Number: PD 25-1061 Folio(s): 50679.0000 & 50681.6000 General Location: North of Symmes Road, south of Gibsonton Drive and west of Ekker Road
Comprehensive Plan Finding	CONSISTENT
Adopted Future Land Use	Residential-6 (6 du/ga; 0.25 FAR)
Service Area	Urban
Community Plan(s)	Gibsonton + SouthShore Areawide Systems
Rezoning Request	Planned Development (PD) for a 74-spaces Recreational Vehicle (RV) resort.
Parcel Size	+/- 15.6 acres
Street Functional Classification	Symmes Road – County Collector Gibsonton Drive – County Arterial Ekker Road – Local
Commercial Locational Criteria	Not applicable
Evacuation Area	Zone B

Table 1: COMPARISON OF SURROUNDING PROPERTIES

Vicinity	Future Land Use Designation	Zoning	Existing Land Use
Subject Property	Residential-6	PD + RSC-2	Vacant
North	Residential-6 + Natural Preservation	AR, RSC-2, RSC-6	Vacant + Single Family/Mobile Home + Agricultural
South	Residential-4, Suburban Mixed Use-6	RSC-6, RMC-12, CN, CG, BPO + PD	Light Commercial + Light Industrial +Agricultural
East	Residential-6 + Natural Preservation	PD + AR	Single Family/Mobile Home + Public/Quasi-Public
West	Residential-6	RSC-2, RSC-6, AR	Public Utilities + Single Family/Mobile Home

Staff Analysis of Goals, Objectives, and Policies:

The subject site comprises approximately 15.6 ± acres and is located north of Symmes Road, south of Gibsonton Drive, and west of Ekker Road. The property lies within the Urban Service Area (USA) and is further situated within the boundaries of both the Gibsonton Community Plan and the SouthShore Areawide Systems Plan. The applicant is requesting approval of a Planned Development (PD) to allow for the development of a 74-space Recreational Vehicle (RV) resort.

The site is within the Urban Service Area, Objective 1.1 of the Future Land Use Section (FLUS) directs approximately 80 percent of the County’s future growth to this area. Concentrating development within the USA promotes efficient provision of public facilities and services and supports compact development patterns. The proposed RV resort aligns with this objective by utilizing land within an area designated for growth and development.

In addition, FLUS Objective 3.1 and Policy 3.1.3 emphasize the importance of compatibility between new development and surrounding land uses. These provisions clarify that compatibility does not require identical land uses, but rather a development pattern that is sensitive to and maintains the character of the surrounding area. The existing development pattern surrounding the subject site is predominantly characterized by single-family mobile home uses, which are located to the north, east, and west, including areas adjacent to the public communication center. To the south, the area transitions to commercial uses, including a U-Haul storage facility and a vehicle repair shop. The proposed RV resort is residential in nature and shares similar characteristics with the surrounding mobile home uses, particularly in terms of scale, intensity, and occupancy patterns. To further ensure compatibility and minimize potential impacts to

adjacent residential properties, the site design incorporates buffering between the amenity area and surrounding residential uses. Specifically, a 5-foot Type A buffer is provided along the south and west property boundaries, and a 20-foot Type B buffer is provided along the north and east property boundaries. These buffering measures enhance visual screening and provide separation, thereby reinforcing compatibility with the established development pattern. Therefore, the request is consistent with FLUS Objective 1.1, Objective 3.1, and Policy 3.1.3.

Furthermore, the Neighborhood Protection provisions under FLUS Objective 4.4 and Policy 4.4.1 require that new development respect and be compatible with the character of existing neighborhoods. Given that the surrounding area is largely composed of mobile home residential uses, the introduction of an RV park, also residential in character, represents a compatible and complementary land use. The inclusion of perimeter buffers further supports neighborhood protection by mitigating potential impacts and preserving the character of adjacent residential areas. Accordingly, the request is consistent with applicable FLUS objectives and policies related to neighborhood protection and compatibility.

FLUS Goal 2, along with Objectives 2.1 and 2.2 and their associated policies, establishes the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) and defines the range of allowable uses within each land use category. These categories are distinguished by factors such as building type, residential density, functional use, and overall physical development pattern, all of which contribute to the intended character of each designation. While the listed uses within each category are not exhaustive, they are representative of the types of development that are appropriate within the designation. The subject property is designated Residential-6 (RES-6) on the FLUM. This category permits a range of uses, including agricultural, residential, neighborhood commercial, office, multi-purpose projects, and mixed-use development. The RES-6 designation allows a maximum density of 6 dwelling units per gross acre. Based on the 15.6-acre site, this would typically allow up to 93 dwelling units. However, under Planned Development standards and depending on the specific classification of RV spaces, higher equivalent densities may be evaluated. Even under a more intensive calculation (15.6 acres x 12 units per acre = 187.2 units), the proposed 74 RV spaces remain well below the maximum potential density. Given that RV parks are generally considered a form of residential or transient accommodation and are consistent with the broader range of uses permitted within the RES-6 designation, the proposed Planned Development is compatible with the intent and character of the land use category. Therefore, the request is consistent with FLUS Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1 and 2.2.

The Comprehensive Plan requires that all development meet or exceed the land development regulations in Hillsborough County (FLUS Policy 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.6). However, at the time of uploading this report, Hillsborough County Development Services Department and Transportation Division comments were not yet available in Optix and thus were not taken into consideration for analysis of this request.

FLUS Objective 3.2 and Policy 3.2.4 require community plans throughout the county to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The site is within the limits of the Gibsonton Community Plan. The proposed Planned Development is consistent with Goal 2 of the Gibsonton Community Plan, which seeks to improve and enhance neighborhoods through revitalization and the introduction of a broader range of housing options. The development of a 74-space RV resort contributes to the diversification of housing types by providing a form of residential use that can accommodate both short-term and longer-term occupants, thereby supporting the Plan's objective of incorporating new rental and alternative housing opportunities. While the request does not directly redevelop an existing mobile home park, it represents reinvestment in the area through a well-planned and managed development, which can enhance the overall character of the surrounding community and potentially encourage further revitalization efforts. As such, the request aligns with the intent of Goal 2 by promoting neighborhood enhancement and expanding housing

options within the Gibsonton community. The subject site is also within the boundaries of the SouthShore Areawide Systems Plan. However, the Plan does not contain any specific goals, objectives, or policies that are applicable to the proposed request.

Overall, staff finds that the proposed 74-space RV resort is compatible with the existing development pattern found within the surrounding area and supports the vision and goals of the Gibsonton Community Plan. The proposed Planned Development would allow for development that is consistent with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan*.

Recommendation

Based upon the above considerations and the following Goals, Objectives and Policies, Planning Commission staff finds the proposed Planned Development **CONSISTENT** with the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan*, subject to the conditions proposed by the Hillsborough County Development Services Department.

Staff Identified Goals, Objectives and Policies of the *Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan* Related to the Request:

FUTURE LAND USE SECTION

Urban Service Area

Objective 1.1: Direct at least 80% of new population growth into the USA and adopted Urban expansion areas through 2045. Building permit activity and other similar measures will be used to evaluate this objective.

Land Use Categories

Goal 2: Ensure that the character, compatibility and location of land uses optimize the combined potential for economic benefit, fiscal sustainability, protection of natural resources and maintaining viable agriculture. Ensure density and intensities are maintained through the Future Land Use Map.

Objective 2.1: The Future Land Use Map is a regulatory tool governing the pattern of development in unincorporated Hillsborough County through the year 2045.

Policy 2.1.1: The Future Land Use Map shall identify Future Land Use categories, summarized in Table 2.2 and further described in Appendix A, that establish permitted land uses and maximum densities and intensities.

Objective 2.2: The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) Shall identify Land Use Categories, summarized in table 2.2 of the Future Land Use Element.

Policy 2.2.1: The character of each land use category is defined by building type, residential density, functional use, and the physical composition of the land. The integration of these factors sets the general atmosphere and character of each land use category. Each category has a range of potentially permissible uses which are not exhaustive, but are intended to be illustrative of the character of uses permitted within

the land use designation. Not all of those potential uses are routinely acceptable anywhere within that land use category.

Community Context and Compatibility

Objective 3.1: *New developments should recognize the existing community and be designed in a way that is compatible (as defined in FLUE Policy 3.1.3) with the established character of the surrounding neighborhood.*

Policy 3.1.3: *Compatibility is defined as the characteristics of different uses or activities or design which allow them to be located near or adjacent to each other in harmony. Some elements affecting compatibility include the following: height, scale, mass and bulk of structures, pedestrian or vehicular traffic, circulation, access and parking impacts, landscaping, lighting, noise, odor and architecture. Compatibility does not mean “the same as.” Rather, it refers to the sensitivity of development proposals in maintaining the character of existing development.*

Objective 3.2: *Hillsborough County is comprised of many diverse communities and neighborhoods. The comprehensive plan is effective in providing an overall growth management strategy for development within the entire County. Strategies shall be developed that ensure the long-range viability of its communities through a community and special area studies planning effort.*

Relationship to Land Development Regulations

Policy 3.2.4: *The County shall assist the Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission in developing community plans for each planning area that are consistent with and further the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Comprehensive Plan. The community plans will be adopted as part of the Comprehensive Plan in the Livable Communities Element. These community specific policies will apply in guiding the development of the community. Additional policies regarding community planning and the adopted community plans can be found in the Livable Communities Element*

Development

Objective 4.1: *All existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations as per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.*

Policy 4.1.1: *Each land use plan category shall have a set of zoning districts that may be permitted within that land use plan category, and development shall not be approved for zoning that is inconsistent with the plan.*

Policy 4.1.2: *Developments must meet or exceed the requirements of all land development regulations as established and adopted by Hillsborough County, the state of Florida and the federal government unless such requirements have been previously waived by those governmental bodies.*

Policy 4.1.6: *Existing and future land development regulations shall be made consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and all development approvals shall be consistent with those development regulations per the timeframe provided for within Chapter 163, Florida Statutes. Whenever feasible and*

consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, land development regulations shall be designed to provide flexible, alternative solutions to problems.

Neighborhood and Community Development

Objective 4.4: Neighborhood Protection – *Enhance and preserve existing neighborhoods and communities. Design neighborhoods which are related to the predominant character of their surroundings.*

Policy 4.4.1: *Any density or intensity increases shall be compatible with existing, proposed or planned surrounding development. Development and redevelopment shall be integrated with the adjacent land uses through:*

- a) the creation of like uses; and*
- b) creation of complementary uses; and*
- c) mitigation of adverse impacts; and*
- d) transportation/pedestrian connections; and*
- e) Gradual transitions of intensity*

HOUSING SECTION

Policy 1.2.9: *Manufactured housing and mobile homes shall be acknowledged as a viable means to provide affordable housing.*

LIVABLE COMMUNITIES ELEMENT: GIBSONTON COMMUNITY PLAN

Goal 2: *Gibsonton will improve and enhance its neighborhoods by:*


- Revitalizing older residential areas;*
- Revitalizing outdated mobile home parks; and*
- Incorporating new single-family and rental units offering a range of housing choices.*

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY FUTURE LAND USE RZ-PD 25-1061


Rezoning
STATUS



PENDING




Tampa Service Area




Urban Service Area



Shoreline



County Boundary



Jurisdiction Boundary




Roads



Parcels



Water



AGRICULTURAL/MINING-1/20 (.25 FAR)




PEC PLANNED ENVIRONMENTAL COMMUNITY-1/2 (.25 FAR)




AGRICULTURAL-1/10 (.25 FAR)



AGRICULTURAL/RURAL-1/5 (.25 FAR)



AGRICULTURAL ESTATE-1/2.5 (.25 FAR)



RESIDENTIAL-1 (.25 FAR)



RESIDENTIAL-2 (.25 FAR)



RESIDENTIAL-4 (.25 FAR)



RESIDENTIAL-6 (.25 FAR)




RESIDENTIAL-9 (.35 FAR)




RESIDENTIAL-12 (.35 FAR)




RESIDENTIAL-16 (.35 FAR)




RESIDENTIAL-20 (.35 FAR)




RESIDENTIAL-35 (1.0 FAR)



NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED USE-4 (0.1, .35 FAR)




SUBURBAN MIXED USE-6 (.35 FAR)




COMMUNITY MIXED USE-12 (.50 FAR)




URBAN MIXED USE-20 (1.0 FAR)




REGIONAL MIXED USE-35 (2.0 FAR)




INNOVATION CORRIDOR MIXED USE-35 (2.0 FAR)




OFFICE COMMERCIAL-20 (.75 FAR)




RESEARCH CORPORATE PARK (1.0 FAR)




ENERGY INDUSTRIAL PARK (.50 FAR USES OTHER THAN RETAIL, .25 FAR RETAIL/COMMERCE)




LIGHT INDUSTRIAL PLANNED (.75 FAR)



LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (.75 FAR)




HEAVY INDUSTRIAL (.75 FAR)




PUBLIC/QUASH-PUBLIC



NATURAL PRESERVATION



WIMAUMA VILLAGE RESIDENTIAL-2 (.25 FAR)




CITRUS PARK VILLAGE

DATA SOURCES: Rezoning boundaries from The Planning Commission and are not official. Parcel lines and data from Hillsborough County Property Appraiser. This map is intended for informational purposes only and should not be used for any legal or financial decisions without specific approval of the Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission. ACCURACY: It is intended to be accurate. However, such accuracy is not guaranteed by the Hillsborough County City-County Planning Commission. This map is for informational purposes only. For the most current data and information, use appropriate resources.



Map Printed from Rezoning System: 4/14/2026
Author: R Mathie
File: C:\Rezoning\System\MapProjects\HC\Regr_jhc\Rezoning_2.mxd





**GENERAL
SITE PLAN
FOR
CERTIFICATION**



**Hillsborough
County Florida**

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

PO Box 1110, Tampa, FL 33601-1110
(813) 272-5600

**HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT**

GENERAL SITE PLAN REVIEW/CERTIFICATION

**BOARD OF COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS**

Chris Boles
Donna Cameron Cepeda
Harry Cohen
Ken Hagan
Christine Miller
Gwendolyn "Gwen" Myers
Joshua Wostal

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Bonnie M. Wise

COUNTY ATTORNEY

Julia Mandell

COUNTY INTERNAL AUDITOR

Melinda Jenzarli

DEPUTY COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Gregory S. Horwedel

Project Name: NONE

Zoning File: RZ-PD 25-1061 Modification: NONE

Atlas Page: NONE Submitted: 5/4/26

To Planner for Review: 5/5/26 Date Due: ASAP

Contact Person: Todd Pressman Phone: (727) 804-1760/todd@pressmaninc.com

Right-Of-Way or Land Required for Dedication: Yes No

The Development Services Department HAS NO OBJECTION to this General Site Plan.

The Development Services Department RECOMMENDS DISAPPROVAL of this General Site Plan for the following reasons:

Reviewed by: Christopher Grandlienard Date: 05-05-26

Date Agent/Owner notified of Disapproval: _____



AGENCY COMMENTS

AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: Zoning Technician, Development Services Department

DATE: 03/16/2026

REVIEWER: Sarah Rose, Senior Planner

AGENCY/DEPT: Transportation

PLANNING AREA: Gibsonton

PETITION NO: RZ 25-1061

- This agency has no comments.
- This agency has no objection.
- This agency has no objection, subject to the listed or attached conditions.
- This agency objects for the reasons set forth below.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. The subject site shall be permitted one full access connection onto Symmes Rd.

2. As proffered by the developer, the developer shall dedicate and convey a 30-foot-wide strip of right-of-way along its Symmes Rd. frontage. Such dedication and conveyance shall occur prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development.

3. If PD 25-1061 is approved, the County Engineer will approve a Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (dated and received November 14th, 2025) from the LDC Section 06.04.07 access spacing requirements, which was found approvable on March 16th, 2026. Approval of this Administrative Variance will permit a reduction of the minimum access spacing for the projects, Symmes Rd connection as follows:
 - a. A variance of +/- 81 ft from the closest access to the east (on the northern side of the road), resulting in an access spacing of +/- 164 ft.

4. If PD 25-1061 is approved the County Engineer will approve a Design Exception (dated and received November 14th, 2025) which was found approvable by the County Engineer on March 16th, 2026, for the Symmes Rd. substandard roadway improvements with the following condition:
 - a. The 4-foot bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb

As Symmes Rd. has been identified as a substandard collector roadway, the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the Design Exception:

- b. Construct a type “F” curb and gutter along the northern side of Symmes Rd. from the existing curb terminus +/- 58ft east of the project to the western property line.
5. All construction ingress and egress shall be limited to the Symmes Rd. project access. The developer shall include a note in each site/construction plan submittal which indicates same.
 6. Notwithstanding anything herein or shown on the PD site plan to the contrary, bicycle and pedestrian access may be permitted anywhere along PD boundaries.

PROJECT SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

The applicant is requesting to rezone two parcels totaling +/- 15.6 acres from Residential Single Family Conventional – 2 (RSC-2) and Planned Development (PD) 05-1783 to Planned Development (PD). The existing Planned Development (PD) is approved for thirty-six (36) single family dwelling units. The proposed Planned Development is seeking approval for a 74-unit Recreational Vehicle Park with Accessory Open Storage. The site is located on the north-western quadrant of the intersection of Symmes Rd. The Future Land Use designation of the site is Residential – 6 (R-6).

Trip Generation Analysis

In accordance with the Development Review Procedures Manual (DRPM), the developer submitted a transportation generation letter for the proposed project, indicating that the subject project will generate fewer than 50 peak hour trips. Staff have prepared a comparison of the trips potentially generated under the existing and proposed zoning designations, utilizing a generalized worst-case scenario. Data presented below is based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer’s Trip Generation Manual, 12th Edition.

Approved Zoning:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM

RSC-2, Single Family Detached (ITE 210) 3 Units	28	3	3
PD, Single Family Detached (ITE 210) 36 Units	556	30	37
Total	584	33	40

Proposed Uses:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
PD, Recreational Vehicle Park (ITE 416) 74 Units	76	5	7

Trip Generation Difference:

Zoning, Land Use/Size	24 Hour Two-Way Volume	Total Peak Hour Trips	
		AM	PM
Difference	-508	-28	-33

TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE SERVING THE SITE

The site has frontage on Symmes Rd., a 2-lane, divided, substandard county-maintained, urban collector roadway. The roadway is characterized by +/- 11-foot-wide travel lanes, no bike lanes on either side of the roadway within the vicinity of the project, +/- 5-foot-wide sidewalks along the south side of the roadway, and within +/- 75ft of the right of way.

Pursuant to the Hillsborough County corridor preservation plan Symmes Rd is designated as a future 2-lane enhanced roadway. In accordance with the standards outlined in Typical Section – 4 (TS-4) of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM), 64ft plus an additional 11 ft for the enhancement for a total of 75ft of right-of-way is required to accommodate this expansion. As there is sufficient right-of-way along the frontage of the subject site to accommodate this expansion no additional right-of-way is required to be preserved.

As displayed on the site plan and outlined in the above conditions of approval, the applicant has proffered to dedicate and convey a 30-foot-wide strip of right-of-way along its Symmes Rd. frontage. The applicants stated intent to provide such right-of-way to accommodate the placement of the external sidewalk required pursuant to Section 6.02.08. and/or Section 6.03.02. of the LDC. Staff notes that sidewalk placement is finalized at the time of plat/site construction which has not yet occurred, as such staff cannot definitively state that such right-of-way is sufficient to accommodate the required sidewalk. Staff notes, sidewalks will be required to be placed in

accordance with all applicable LDC and TTM requirements. Given the above staff has included a condition requiring dedication of the 30-foot-wide strip prior to or concurrent with the initial increment of development.

ADMINISTRATIVE SPACING VARIANCE – SYMMES RD.

The applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Section 06.04.02.B Administrative variance (dated and received on November 14th, 2025) from the Section 06.04.07 LDC requirement, governing access spacing for the proposed Symmes Rd. access. Per the Hillsborough County LDC, Symmes road is a Class 5 roadway with a posted speed of 45 miles per hour or less which requires a minimum connection spacing of 245ft. The applicant is seeking the following variance:

- A variance of +/- 81 ft from the closest access to the east (on the northern side of the road), resulting in an access spacing of +/- 164 ft.

Based on factors presented in the Administrative Variance Request, the County Engineer found this request approvable on March 16th, 2026. If PD 25-1061 is approved by the Hillsborough County BOCC, the County Engineer will approve this Administrative Variance Request.

SUBSTANDARD ROADWAY DESIGN EXCEPTION – SYMMES RD.

The applicant's Engineer of Record (EOR) submitted a Design Exception request (dated and received November 14th, 2025) to determine the specific improvements that would be required by the County Engineer. Based on the factors presented in the Design Exception request, the County Engineer found the Design Exception request approvable on March 16th, 2026 with the following condition:

- The 4-foot-bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb.

Authorizing deviation from Typical Section -4 (TS-4) of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual (TTM) which outlines the standards for 2-lane, urban, undivided, county collector roadways so that the developer will be required to make the following improvements consistent with the Design Exception:

- Construct a type “F” curb and gutter along the northern side of Symmes Rd. from the existing curb terminus +/- 58ft east of the project to the western property line.

If PD 25-1061 is approved by the Hillsborough County BOCC, the County Engineer will approve this Design Exception request.

SITE ACCESS

The existing Planned Development is approved for one full access connection onto Symmes Rd. This application does not propose any modifications to the project’s existing access.

ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) INFORMATION

Level of Service (LOS) information for Symmes Rd. is reported below

FDOT Generalized Level of Service				
Roadway	From	To	LOS Standard	Peak Hr. Directional LOS
Symmes Rd.	US Hwy 41	Us Hwy 301	D	D

Source: [2024 Hillsborough County Level of Service \(LOS\) Report](#)

From: [Williams, Michael](#)
To: [Steven Henry](#)
Cc: [Todd Pressman](#); [Grandlienard, Christopher](#); [Rose, Sarah](#); [Drapach, Alan](#); [Tirado, Sheida](#); [De Leon, Eleonor](#); [PW-CEIntake](#)
Subject: RE: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:45:05 AM
Attachments: [25-1061 AVAd 11-14-25.pdf](#)
[25-1061 DEAd 11-14-25.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Steve,

This is to revise the previous APPROVABLE email to APPROVABLE with CONDITIONS for the Design Exception only. The condition will be as shown in the bullet below:

- The 4-foot bike lane shall also be continued for the same limits as the curb

If you have any questions, let me know.

Mike

Michael J. Williams, P.E.
Director, Development Review
County Engineer
Development Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851
M: (813) 614-2190
E: Williamsm@HillsboroughCounty.org
W: HCFLGov.net

Hillsborough County
601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [HCFL Stay Safe](#)

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

From: Williams, Michael
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:49 AM
To: Steven Henry <shenry@lincks.com>
Cc: Todd Pressman <todd@pressmaninc.com>; Grandlienard, Christopher <GrandlienardC@hcfl.gov>; Rose, Sarah <RoseSJ@hcfl.gov>; Drapach, Alan <DrapachA@hcfl.gov>; Tirado, Sheida <TiradoS@hcfl.gov>; De Leon, Eleonor <DeLeonE@hcfl.gov>; PW-CEIntake <PW-

CEIntake@hcfl.gov>

Subject: FW: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review

Steve,

I have found the attached Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance (AV) and Design Exception (DE) for PD 25-1061 APPROVABLE.

Please note that it is you (or your client's) responsibility to follow-up with my administrative assistant, Eleonor De Leon (DeLeonE@hillsboroughcounty.org or 813-307-1707) after the BOCC approves the PD zoning or PD zoning modification related to below request. This is to obtain a signed copy of the DE/AV.

If the BOCC denies the PD zoning or PD zoning modification request, staff will request that you withdraw the AV/DE. In such instance, notwithstanding the above finding of approvability, if you fail to withdraw the request, I will deny the AV/DE (since the finding was predicated on a specific development program and site configuration which was not approved).

Once I have signed the document, it is your responsibility to submit the signed AV/DE(s) together with your initial plat/site/construction plan submittal. If the project is already in preliminary review, then you must submit the signed document before the review will be allowed to progress. Staff will require resubmittal of all plat/site/construction plan submittals that do not include the appropriate signed AV/DE documentation.

Lastly, please note that it is critical to ensure you copy all related correspondence to PW-CEIntake@hillsboroughcounty.org

Mike

Michael J. Williams, P.E.

Director, Development Review

County Engineer

Development Services Department

P: (813) 307-1851

M: (813) 614-2190

E: Williamsm@HillsboroughCounty.org

W: HCFLGov.net

Hillsborough County

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602

Please note: All correspondence to or from this office is subject to Florida's Public Records law.

From: Tirado, Sheida <TiradoS@hcfl.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 6:03 PM
To: Williams, Michael <WilliamsM@hcfl.gov>
Cc: Rose, Sarah <RoseSJ@hcfl.gov>; Drapach, Alan <DrapachA@hcfl.gov>
Subject: RZ PD 25-1061 Design Exception & Design Exception Review

Hello Mike,

The attached Administrative Variance and Design Exception are **Approvable** to me, please include the following people in your response email:

shenry@lincks.com
todd@pressmaninc.com
grandlienardc@hcfl.gov
rosesj@hcfl.gov
drapacha@hcfl.gov

Best Regards,

Sheida L. Tirado, PE
Transportation Review & Site intake Manager
Development Services
E: TiradoS@HCFL.gov
P: (813) 276-8364 | M: (813) 564-4676

601 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602
HCFL.gov



**Hillsborough
County Florida**
Development Services

Supplemental Information for Transportation Related Administrative Reviews

Instructions:

- This form must be provided separately for each request submitted (including different requests of the same type).
- This form must accompany all requests for applications types shown below. Staff will not log in or assign cases that are not accompanied by this form, or where the form is partially incomplete.
- A response is required in every field. Blank fields or non-responsive answers will result in your application being returned.
- All responses must be typed.
- Please contact Eleonor de Leon at deleone@HCFL.gov or via telephone at (813) 307-1707 if you have questions about how to complete this form.

Request Type (check one)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 6.04.02.B. Administrative Variance <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Manual Design Exception Request <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Parking Plan Request (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G3.) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Determination of Required Parking for Unlisted Uses (Reference LDC Sec. 6.05.02.G.1. and G.2.)
Submittal Type (check one)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revised Request <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Information
Submittal Number and Description/Running History (check one and complete text box using instructions provided below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Access Spacing-Symmes Road <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Access Spacing-Symmes Road <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 6.
<p>Important: To help staff differentiate multiple requests (whether of the same or different type), please use the above fields to assign a unique submittal number/name to each separate request. Previous submittals relating to the same project/phase shall be listed using the name and number previously identified. It is critical that the applicant reference this unique name in the request letter and subsequent filings/correspondence. If the applicant is revising or submitting additional information related to a previously submitted request, then the applicant would check the number of the previous submittal.</p>	
Project Name/ Phase	Lovegren South RV Park
<p>Important: The name selected must be used on all future communications and submittals of additional/revised information relating to this variance. If request is specific to a discrete phase, please also list that phase.</p>	
Folio Number(s)	50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
<input type="checkbox"/> Check This Box If There Are More Than Five Folio Numbers	
<p>Important: List all folios related to the project, up to a maximum of five. If there are additional folios, check the box to indicate such. Folio numbers must be provided in the format provided by the Hillsborough County Property Appraiser's website (i.e. 6 numbers, followed by a hyphen, followed by 4 additional numbers, e.g. "012345-6789"). Multiple records should be separated by a semicolon and a space e.g. "012345-6789; 054321-9876").</p>	
Name of Person Submitting Request	Steven J. Henry, P.E.
<p>Important: All Administrative Variances (AV) and Design Exceptions (DE) must be Signed and Sealed by a Professional Engineer (PE) licensed in the State of Florida.</p>	
Current Property Zoning Designation	
<p>Important: For Example, type "Residential Multi-Family Conventional – 9" or "RMC-9". This is not the same as the property's Future Land Use (FLU) Designation. Typing "N/A" or "Unknown" will result in your application being returned. This information may be obtained via the Official Hillsborough County Zoning Atlas, which is available at https://maps.hillsboroughcounty.org/maphillsborough/maphillsborough.html. For additional assistance, please contact the Zoning Counselors at the Center for Development Services at (813) 272-5600 Option 3.</p>	
Pending Zoning Application Number	PD 25-1061
<p>Important: If a rezoning application is pending, enter the application number proceeded by the case type prefix, otherwise type "N/A" or "Not Applicable". Use PD for PD rezoning applications, MM for major modifications, PRS for minor modifications/personal appearances.</p>	
Related Project Identification Number (Site/Subdivision Application Number)	N/A
<p>Important: This 4-digit code is assigned by the Center for Development Services Intake Team for all Certified Parcel, Site Construction, Subdivision Construction, and Preliminary/Final Plat applications. If no project number exists, please type "N/A" or "Not Applicable".</p>	



November 14, 2025

Mr. Mike Williams
Hillsborough County Government
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Lovegren South RV Park
PD 25-1061
Folio Numbers: 50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
Lincks Project No. 25064 (25898.02.04)

The purpose of this letter is to request a Section 6.04.02.B Administrative Variance to Section 6.04.07 of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code for the access to Symmes Road. The property is proposed to be rezoned Planned Development to allow a 74 space RV Park.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project.

The access to serve the project is proposed to be via one (1) full access to Symmes Road. A copy of the PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The subject property is within the Urban Service Area and according to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, Symmes Road is a collector road.

The request is for an Administrative Variance to Section 6.04.07 of the Hillsborough County LDC for the access spacing on Symmes Road. Based on Section 6.04.07, the access spacing on Symmes Road is 245 feet. The distance to the driveway to the west is 283 feet and 164 feet to the east. This is graphically shown in Figure 1.

The justification for the variance is as follows:

(a) there is an unreasonable burden on the applicant,

The developer controls limited property frontage along Symmes Road. Given the property frontage, it is not physically possible to locate the driveway to meet the spacing criteria. Therefore, it is not reasonable or feasible for the project to modify the location of the access to meet the current LDC spacing criteria.

5023 West Laurel Street
Tampa, FL 33607
813 289 0039 Telephone
8133 287 0674 Telefax
www.Lincks.com Website

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 2

(b) the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare,

The access is located between two ponds within the project and therefore is limited to the proposed location. The subject access meets the spacing criteria from the access to the west and also on the south side of Symmes Road. The only distance waiver is to the driveway east of the proposed access. The access to the east is a dirt driveway serving a U-Haul Facility, which is a low volume use. In addition, the proposed use of the subject property is a low volume use. Based on the above, the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.


(c) without the variance, reasonable access cannot be provided.

The only connection for the project is via Symmes Road. Due to property constraints, the only reasonable location for the access is shown on the attached exhibit.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry
President
Lincks & Associates, LLC
A TMC Company
P.E. #51555



Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

- _____ Disapproved
- _____ Approved
- _____ Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E.

Date _____

Sincerely,

Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer

TABLE 1
 ESTIMATED PROJECT TRIP ENDS (1)

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>ITE</u> <u>LUC</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>	<u>AM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>		<u>PM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>		
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>
RV Park	240	74 DU's	527	6	23	27	16	43

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 4




Figure 1

APPENDIX



PD PLAN



HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



**HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION**
Infrastructure & Development Services




Legend

- Functional Classifications Authority Classification
- State, Principal Arterial
- State, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Collector
- Urban Service Area Boundary
- City Limits

The Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map will be used in all matters in the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) that relate to functional classification of roads. Some, but not all, examples of those matters are as follows:

PART 3.02.00 INTERSTATE-75 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 3.03.00 STATE-94 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 5.03.00 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 6.02.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
 PART 6.07.00 FENCES AND WALLS
 PART 6.08.00 SIGNAGE AND SIGNAGE STANDARDS
 PART 12.01.00 DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL USES
 OTHER PARTS OF THE LDC NOT LISTED ABOVE.


In addition to subject matters in the LDC, Functional Classification of roads plays an important role in administering the Residential Traffic Control Program and the Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program.



NOTE: Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of this map. Hillsborough County does not warrant the accuracy of the information shown on this map, and it is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared. The map is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.

SOURCE: This map has been prepared for the inventory of road property lines within Hillsborough County and is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared. The map is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.

601 E. Kennedy Blvd
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 272-5610
printroom@hillsboroughcounty.org



HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY LDC
SECTION 6.04.04



Sec. 6.04.04. - Design Standards

A. Driveway Width/Length

Driveways provide the physical transition between a site and the abutting roadway. Driveways should be located and designed to minimize impacts on traffic while providing safe entry and exit from the development served. The location and design of the connection must take into account characteristics of the roadway, the site, and the potential users.

The actual width and length of driveways shall be subject to internal and external traffic flow considerations. The driveway width considerations include, but are not limited to the number of lanes, the driveway geometrics, internal obstructions, traffic safety, etc. The length of driveways shall be designed to provide for an uninterrupted traffic flow on the public street. This will require that the entering vehicles not be confronted with maneuvering vehicles at the immediate point of entry, thus requiring other entering vehicle(s) to stop in the through traffic flow. The driveway length therefore, will be subject to the anticipated required stacking length of entering and exiting vehicle during the peak period.

1. For driveways that will be signalized, driveway length should be determined by a traffic study of expected traffic and queues. An important measurement in determining the driveway length is the outbound queue.
2. For unsignalized driveways, the following minimum lengths will be used:

Land Use	Driveway Length (In Feet)
Any major entrance with 4 or more total lanes in the in the driveway. Typically malls, and "Super" retail centers	300 or greater, based on traffic study
Regional Shopping Centers (over 150,000 sq. ft.)	250
Community Shopping Center (100-150,000 sq. ft.) (Supermarket, drug store, etc.)	150
Small Strip Shopping Center	50
Smaller Commercial Development (convenience store with gas pumps)	30

3. For residential developments, the maximum length shall be:

Land Use	Driveway Length (In Feet)
Residential Developments	250

B. Driveway Grades

1. Driveway grades shall conform to the requirements of FDOT Roadway and Traffic Design Standard Indices, latest edition.
2. For driveways with high volumes and where curve radii turnouts would be a prime benefit to traffic movements, the following factors should be considered:
 - a. It is desirable to have driveway slope upward from gutter line without any vertical curve. The upward slope with curbs will allow better control of drainage.

- b. It is desirable to have a relatively flat area adjacent to the roadway, where vehicles may turn off without an immediate climbing or descending need. Then exiting vehicles may wait to enter traffic flow at approximately roadway level.
- c. Within the limits of curve radii, no drop curb shall be allowed except as required for curb cut ramps.

C. Traffic Control Devices

1. The installation of signs and pavement markings at private roadways and residential or commercial driveways, and the installation of traffic signals at high-volume commercial Type III driveways may be required in order to provide for safe and efficient movement of traffic. All traffic control devices shall be installed in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and the current County standards and specifications and shall be approved by the County Public Works Department, Traffic Section.
2. The approval to install traffic signals shall be based on a traffic engineering study which addresses the warrants, the design, and the operation of the signals. The study and design shall be approved by the County Public Works Department, Traffic Section and FDOT, if on the State Highway System. The responsibility for the engineering study shall rest with the permittee. If a traffic signal is installed, all signal elements and appropriate portions of the access approach to assure efficient signal operation, shall be on public right-of-way or on easements granted to the public.
3. Any required traffic control devices, including signs, signals or pavement markings shall be installed by the permittee. The permittee shall be responsible for all purchase and installation costs involved.

D. Auxiliary Lanes

Auxiliary Lanes refer to left-turn, right-turn, acceleration, deceleration, and storage lanes. Developments which generate AM or PM Peak Hour Traffic which exceeds the following thresholds shall provide the following site related acceleration, deceleration, and storage lanes:

1. If more than 20 left turning vehicles per hour on a two-lane arterial or collector roadway, then left turn lanes are warranted,
2. If more than 50 right turning vehicles per hour on a two-lane arterial or collector roadway, then right turn lanes are warranted,
3. If more than 40 right turning vehicles per hour, on a four-lane rural roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
4. If more than 80 right turning vehicles per hour, on a four-lane urban roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
5. If more than 60 right turning vehicles per hour, on a six-lane rural roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
6. If more than 100 right turning vehicles per hour, on a six-lane urban roadway, then a right turn lane is warranted,
7. On multi-lane roadways, left turn lanes shall be constructed when there are more than 20 left turning vehicles.

(Ord. No. 00-38, § 2, 11-2-00; Ord. No. 05-22, § 2, 11-17-05; Ord. No. 07-18, § 2, 7-19-07, eff. 10-1-07)



November 14, 2025

Mr. Michael Williams, PE
County Engineer Development Review Director
Hillsborough County
601 East Kennedy Blvd., 20th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602

Re: Lovegren South RV Park
PD 25-1061
Folio: 50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000
Lincks Project No. 25064 (25898.02.04)

The purpose of this letter is to request a Design Exception to the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual per Section 1.7.2 to meet Land Development Code Section 6.04.03L for Symmes Road from Ekker Road to the project access.

The subject property is proposed to be rezoned to Planned Development to allow a 74 space RV Park.

Table 1 provides the trip generation for the proposed project.

The access for the project is proposed to have one (1) full access to Symmes Road.

The proposed PD plan is included in the Appendix of this letter.

The subject site is within the Hillsborough County Urban Service Area. According to the Hillsborough County Roadways Functional Classification Map, Symmes Road is a collector road.

The request is for a Design Exception to TS-4 of the Hillsborough County Transportation Technical Manual for Symmes Road. The segment of Symmes Road currently has the following characteristics:

- Two (2) lane rural/urban roadway
- Eleven (11) foot lanes

The following exceptions are requested to accommodate the proposed project:

1. Lane Width – TS-4 has 11 foot lanes. The existing roadway has 11 foot lanes.

5023 West Laurel Street
Tampa, FL 33607
813 289 0039 Telephone
8133 287 0674 Telefax
www.Lincks.com Website

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 2

2. Curb & Gutter – TS-4 has curb and gutter. The existing road has curb and gutter for approximately 550 feet west of Ekker Road and then a rural road along the property frontage.
3. Bike Lanes – TS-4 has 7 foot buffered bike lanes. The existing road has 4 foot bike lanes for approximately 550 feet west of Ekker Road.
4. Sidewalk – TS-4 has five (5) foot sidewalk on both sides of the road. There is sidewalk on the south side of the road.

The justification for the Design Exception is as follows:

1. The Developer proposes to extend the curb and gutter from the existing terminus east of the project to the western property line.

The proposed improvements and typical section are shown on Figure 1.


Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 3

Based on the above, it is our opinion, the proposed improvements to Symmes Road will offset the impact of the project and meet the intent of the Transportation Technical Manual to the extent feasible.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Best Regards,

Steven J Henry
President
Lincks & Associates, LLC
A TMC Company
P.E. #51555



Based on the information provided by the applicant, this request is:

- Disapproved
- Approved
- Approved with Conditions

If there are any further questions or you need clarification, please contact Sheida L. Tirado, P.E., (813) 276-8364, TiradoS@hillsboroughcounty.org.

Sincerely,

**Michael J. Williams
Hillsborough County Engineer**

TABLE 1
 ESTIMATED PROJECT TRIP ENDS (1)

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>ITE</u> <u>LUC</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Daily</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>	<u>AM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>		<u>PM Peak Hour</u> <u>Trip Ends</u>			
				<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>Total</u>	
RV Park	240	74 DU's	527	6	23	29	27	16	43

(1) Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021.

Mr. Mike Williams
November 14, 2025
Page 5




Figure 1

APPENDIX



PD PLAN



HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP



**HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
ROADWAYS
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION**
Infrastructure & Development Services




Legend

- Functional Classifications Authority Classification
- State, Principal Arterial
- State, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Arterial
- Hillsborough, Collector
- Urban Service Area Boundary
- City Limits

The Hillsborough County Roadway Functional Map will be used in all matters in the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) that relate to functional classification of roads. Some, but not all, examples of those matters are as follows:

PART 3.02.00 INTERSTATE-75 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 3.03.00 STATE-90 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 5.03.00 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS
 PART 6.02.00 SUBDIVISION STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
 PART 6.07.00 FENCES AND WALLS
 PART 12.01.00 DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL USES
 OTHER PARTS OF THE LDC NOT LISTED ABOVE.

In addition to subject matters in the LDC, Functional Classification of roads plays an important role in administering the Residential Traffic Control Program and the Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program.




NOTE: Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of this map. Hillsborough County does not warrant the accuracy of the map, and it is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared. The map is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.

SOURCE: This map has been prepared for the inventory of road property lines within Hillsborough County and is not intended to be used for any other purpose. The map is not intended to be used for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.


Uses of the map are hereby notified that the above-stated public information source should be consulted for verification of the information contained on this map.

601 E. Kennedy Blvd
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 272-5610
printroom@hillsboroughcounty.org



TS-4





TYPICAL SECTION

N.T.S.

5,000 TO 10,000 AADT

MAX. ALLOWABLE DESIGN SPEED - 40 MPH

1. ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE MINIMUM.
2. SEE APPROPRIATE SECTIONS OF TECHNICAL MANUAL FOR DESIGN PARAMETERS.
- * 3. PROVIDE 2' MINIMUM CLEARANCE FROM FENCES, WALLS, HEDGES, ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES OR IMPROVEMENTS, DROP OFFS, OR FROM THE TOPS OF BANKS WITH SLOPES STEEPER THAN 1 TO 4, THAT INTERFERE WITH THE SAFE, FUNCTIONAL USE OF THE SIDEWALK. INTERMITTENT ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES, OR MATURE TREES, 2' OR LESS IN DIAMETER MAY BE PLACED IN THIS 2' STRIP AS FAR FROM THE SIDEWALK AS POSSIBLE, IF NOT IN THE CLEAR ZONE.
- † 4. SEE SIDEWALK PROTECTION OPTIONS, DRAWING NO. TD-16 SHEET 7 OF 7 FOR USE WHEN TREES ARE PLANTED IN THE PARKWAY AREA (BETWEEN THE BACK OF CURB AND SIDEWALK).
5. SOD SHALL BE PLACED IN TWO ROWS STAGGERED. (BOTH TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT)

REVISION DATE:
10/17

**TRANSPORTATION
TECHNICAL
MANUAL**



**Hillsborough
County Florida**

**URBAN COLLECTORS
(2 LANE UNDIVIDED)
TYPICAL SECTION**

DRAWING NO. **TS-4**

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1

COMMISSION

Gwendolyn “Gwen” W. Myers CHAIR
 Harry Cohen VICE-CHAIR
 Chris Boles
 Donna Cameron Cepeda
 Ken Hagan
 Christine Miller
 Joshua Wostal



DIRECTORS

Janet D. Lorton EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
 Elaine S. DeLeeuw ADMIN DIVISION
 Sam Elrabi, P.E. WATER DIVISION
 Diana M. Lee, P.E. AIR DIVISION
 Michael Lynch WETLANDS DIVISION
 Rick Muratti, Esq. LEGAL DEPT
 Steffanie L. Wickham WASTE DIVISION

AGENCY COMMENT SHEET

REZONING	
HEARING DATE: October 20, 2025 PETITION NO.: 25-1061 EPC REVIEWER: Kelly M. Holland CONTACT INFORMATION: (813) 627-2600 x 1222 EMAIL: hollandk@epchc.org	COMMENT DATE: August 15, 2025 PROPERTY ADDRESS: 7814 Symmes Road, Gibsonton FOLIO #s: 0506790000, 0506810000 and 0506816000 STR: 25-30S-19E
REQUESTED ZONING: Modification to an existing Planned Development (PD)	
FINDINGS	
WETLANDS PRESENT	YES
SITE INSPECTION DATE	DATE
WETLAND LINE VALIDITY	EXPIRED - March 7, 2024
WETLANDS VERIFICATION (AERIAL PHOTO, SOILS SURVEY, EPC FILES)	Wetland in northwest portion of the property; OSW fishponds, ponds and ditches throughout the site
<p>Please allow these comments to supersede and cancel the comments issued to Hillsborough County on Month Day, Year in their entirety.</p> <p>The EPC Wetlands Division has reviewed the proposed rezoning. In the site plan’s current configuration, a resubmittal is not necessary. If the zoning proposal changes and/or the site plans are altered, EPC staff will need to review the zoning again. This project as submitted is conceptually justified to move forward through the zoning review process as long as the following conditions are included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of this zoning petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that the Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County (EPC) approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impact to wetlands, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals. The construction and location of any proposed wetland impacts are not approved by this correspondence but shall be reviewed by EPC staff under separate application pursuant to the 	

Environmental Excellence in a Changing World

Environmental Protection Commission - Roger P. Stewart Center
 3629 Queen Palm Drive, Tampa, FL 33619 - (813) 627-2600 - www.epchc.org

EPC Wetlands rule detailed in Chapter 1-11, Rules of the EPC, (Chapter 1-11) to determine whether such impacts are necessary to accomplish reasonable use of the subject property.

- Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the approved wetland / other surface water (OSW) line must be incorporated into the site plan. The wetland/ OSW line must appear on all site plans, labeled as "EPC Wetland Line", and the wetland must be labeled as "Wetland Conservation Area" pursuant to the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).
- Final design of buildings, stormwater retention areas, and ingress/egresses are subject to change pending formal agency jurisdictional determinations of wetland and other surface water boundaries and approval by the appropriate regulatory agencies.

INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS:

The following specific comments are made for informational purposes only and to provide guidance as to the EPC review process. However, future EPC staff review is not limited to the following, regardless of the obviousness of the concern as raised by the general site plan and EPC staff may identify other legitimate concerns at any time prior to final project approval.

- The subject property may contain wetland/OSW areas, which have not been delineated. Knowledge of the actual extent of the wetland and OSW are necessary in order to verify the avoidance of wetland impacts pursuant to Chapter 1-11. Prior to the issuance of any building or land alteration permits or other development, the wetlands/OSWs must be field delineated in their entirety by EPC staff or Southwest Florida Water Management District staff (SWFWMD) and the wetland line surveyed. Once delineated, surveys must be submitted for review and formal approval by EPC staff.
- Chapter 1-11 prohibits wetland impacts unless they are necessary for reasonable use of the property. Staff of the EPC recommends that this requirement be taken into account during the earliest stages of site design so that wetland impacts are avoided or minimized to the greatest extent possible. The size, location, and configuration of the wetlands may result in requirements to reduce or reconfigure the improvements depicted on the plan.
- The Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC) defines wetlands and other surface waters as Environmentally Sensitive Areas. Pursuant to the LDC, wetlands and other surface waters are further defined as Conservation Areas or Preservation Areas and these areas must be designated as such on all development plans and plats. A minimum setback must be maintained around the Conservation/Preservation Area and the setback line must also be shown on all future plan submittals.
- Any activity interfering with the integrity of wetland(s) or other surface water(s), such as clearing, excavating, draining or filling, without written authorization from the Executive Director of the EPC or authorized agent, pursuant to Section 1-11.07, would be a violation of Section 17 of the Environmental Protection Act of Hillsborough County, Chapter 84-446, and of Chapter 1-11.

Kmh / app

ec: Lovegren Symmes Development, LLC, property owner - swilli39@tampabay.rr.com
Todd Pressman, applicant - todd@pressmaninc.com

Environmental Excellence in a Changing World

Environmental Protection Commission - Roger P. Stewart Center
3629 Queen Palm Drive, Tampa, FL 33619 - (813) 627-2600 - www.epchc.org



Adequate Facilities Analysis: Rezoning

Date: September 4, 2025

Acreage: 16.24(+/- acres)

Jurisdiction: Hillsborough County

Proposed Zoning: Planned Development

Case Number: 25-1061

Future Land Use: R-6

HCPS #: RZ-712

Maximum Residential Units: 74

Address: Ekker Rd and Lovegreen Ln, South of Symmes Rd

Residential Type: Mobile Homes

Parcel Folio Number(s): 50681.60000
50679.0000 50681.0000

School Data	Gibsonton Elementary	Dowdell Middle	East Bay High
FISH Capacity Total school capacity as reported to the Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH)	822	1128	2485
2024-25 Enrollment K-12 enrollment on 2024-25 40 th day of school. This count is used to evaluate school concurrency per Interlocal Agreements with area jurisdictions	452	666	1822
Current Utilization Percentage of school capacity utilized based on 40 th day enrollment and FISH capacity	55%	59%	73%
Concurrency Reservations Existing concurrency reservations due to previously approved development. Source: CSA Tracking Sheet as of 9/4/2025	10	260	330
Students Generated Estimated number of new students expected in development based on adopted generation rates. Source: Duncan Associates, School Impact Fee Study for Hillsborough County, Florida, Dec. 2019	14	6	7
Proposed Utilization School capacity utilization based on 40 th day enrollment, existing concurrency reservations, and estimated student generation for application	58%	83%	87%

Notes: At this time, adequate capacity exists at Gibsonton Elementary, Dowdell Middle, and East Bay High School for the proposed rezoning.

This is an analysis for adequate facilities only and is NOT a determination of school concurrency. A school concurrency review will be issued PRIOR TO preliminary plat or site plan approval.

Andrea A. Stingone

Andrea A. Stingone, M.Ed.
Department Manager, Planning & Siting
Growth Management Department
Hillsborough County Public Schools
E: andrea.stingone@hcps.net
P: 813.272.4429 C: 813.345.6684



AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

NOTE: THIS IS ONLY FOR ESTIMATE PURPOSES, BASED ON THE FEES AT THE TIME THE REVIEW WAS MADE. ACTUAL FEES WILL BE ASSESSED BASED ON PERMIT APPLICATIONS RECEIVED AND BASED ON THE FEE SCHEDULE AT THE TIME OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION.

TO: Zoning Review, Development Services

DATE: 05/04/2023

REVIEWER: Ron Barnes, Impact & Mobility Fee Coordinator

APPLICANT: Pressman, Todd - Pressman & Assoc, Inc.

PETITION NO: 23-0109

LOCATION: 7814 Symmes Rd & vacant log w/no address

FOLIO NO: 50681.6000 50679.0000 50681.0000 (partial)

Estimated Fees:

(Fee estimate is based on a 1,500 square foot, Mobile Home Unit)

Mobility: \$1,369 * 74 = \$101,306

Fire: \$299 * 74 = \$22,126

Total: \$123,432

Project Summary/Description:

Urban Mobility, South Park, Central Fire - 74 lot RV resort

AGENCY COMMENT SHEET

TO: **Zoning/Code Administration, Development Services Department**

FROM: **Reviewer: Andria McMaugh Date: 08/06/2025**

Agency: Natural Resources Petition #: 25-1061

- This agency has **no comment**
- This agency has **no objections**
- This agency has **no objections, subject to listed or attached conditions**
- This agency **objects, based on the listed or attached issues.**

1. Approval of this petition by Hillsborough County does not constitute a guarantee that Natural Resources approvals/permits necessary for the development as proposed will be issued, does not itself serve to justify any impacts to trees, natural plant communities or wildlife habitat, and does not grant any implied or vested right to environmental approvals.
2. The construction and location of any proposed environmental impacts are not approved by this correspondence, but shall be reviewed by Natural Resources staff through the site and subdivision development plan process pursuant to the Land Development Code.
3. If the notes and/or graphic on the site plan are in conflict with specific zoning conditions and/or the Land Development Code (LDC) regulations, the more restrictive regulation shall apply, unless specifically conditioned otherwise. References to development standards of the LDC in the above stated conditions shall be interpreted as the regulations in effect at the time of preliminary site plan/plat approval.

AGENCY REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

TO: ZONING TECHNICIAN, Planning Growth Management

DATE: 08/04/2025

REVIEWER: Sherri A. Wilson, Conservation and Environmental Lands Management

APPLICANT: Todd Pressman

PETITION NO: 25-1061

LOCATION: 7909 Symmes Rd. Gibsonton, FL 33534

FOLIO NO: 50679.0000, 50681.0000, 50681.6000

SEC: 25 TWN: 30 RNG: 19

- This agency has no comments.

- This agency has no objection.

- This agency has no objection, subject to listed or attached conditions.

- This agency objects, based on the listed or attached conditions.

COMMENTS: _____.



Agency Review Comment Sheet

NOTE: Wellhead Resource Protection Areas (WRPA), Potable Water Wellfield Protection Areas (PWWPA), and Surface Water Resource Protection Areas (SWRPA) reviews are based on the most current available data on the Hillsborough County maps, as set forth in Part 3.05.00 of the Land Development Code.

TO: Zoning Review, Development Services **REQUEST DATE:** 7/29/2025
REVIEWER: Kim Cruz, Environmental Supervisor **REVIEW DATE:** 8/4/2025
PROPERTY OWNER: Lovegren Symmes Development LLC **PID:** 25-1061
Symmes Development LLC
APPLICANT: Todd Pressman
LOCATION: 7814 Symmes Rd. Gibsonton, FL 33534
FOLIO NO.: 50681.6000, 50679.0000, and portion of 50681.0000

AGENCY REVIEW COMMENTS:

At this time, according to the Hillsborough County BOCC approved maps adopted in the Comprehensive Plan, the site is not located within a Wellhead Resource Protection Area (WRPA) and/or Surface Water Resource Protection Area (SWRPA), as defined in Part 3.05.00 of the Hillsborough County Land Development Code (LDC).

At this time, according to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection well location information, the site is not located within 500-feet of non-transient non-community and/or community water system wells; therefore, the site is not located within a Potable Water Wellfield Protection Area (PWWPA).

At this time, Hillsborough County Environmental Services Division has no objection to the applicant's request as it relates to the County's wellhead and surface water protection regulations.

**WATER RESOURCE SERVICES
REZONING REVIEW COMMENT SHEET: WATER & WASTEWATER**

PETITION NO.: RZ-STD 25-0000 REVIEWED BY: Clay Walker, E.I. DATE: 7/21/2025

FOLIO NO.: 50681.6000, 50679.0000, 50681.0000

WATER

- The property lies within the _____ Water Service Area. The applicant should contact the provider to determine the availability of water service.
- A 12 inch water main exists (approximately ___ feet from the site), (adjacent to the site), and is located south of the subject property within the south Right-of-Way of Symmes Road. This will be the likely point-of-connection, however there could be additional and/or different points-of-connection determined at the time of the application for service. This is not a reservation of capacity.
- Water distribution system improvements will need to be completed prior to connection to the County's water system. The improvements include _____ and will need to be completed by the _____ prior to issuance of any building permits that will create additional demand on the system.

WASTEWATER

- The property lies within the _____ Wastewater Service Area. The applicant should contact the provider to determine the availability of wastewater service.
- A 8 inch wastewater forcemain exists (approximately ___ feet from the project site), (adjacent to the site) and is located south of the subject property within the north Right-of-Way of Symmes Road. This will be the likely point-of-connection, however there could be additional and/or different points-of-connection determined at the time of the application for service. This is not a reservation of capacity.
- Wastewater collection system improvements will need to be completed prior to connection to the County's wastewater system. The improvements include _____ and will need to be completed by the _____ prior to issuance of any building permits that will create additional demand on the system.

COMMENTS: The subject rezoning includes parcels that are within the Urban Service Area and would require connection to the County's potable water and wastewater systems. The subject area is located within the Hillsborough County Wastewater Service Area and will be served by the Falkenburg Wastewater Treatment Plant. If all of the development commitments for the referenced facility are added together, they would exceed the existing reserve capacity of the facility. However, there is a plan in place to address the capacity prior to all of the existing commitments connecting and sending flow to the referenced facility. As such, an individual permit will be required based on the following language noted on the permits: The referenced facility currently does not have, but will have prior to placing the proposed project into operation, adequate reserve capacity to accept the flow from this project.



VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

IN RE:
ZONING HEARING MASTER MEETING

ZONING HEARING MASTER MEETING
TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: Susan Finch
Zoning Hearing Master
DATE: April 27, 2026
TIME: Commencing at 6:00 p.m.
TIME: Concluded at 8:53 p.m.
LOCATION: Hillsborough County BOCC
Development Services Department
601 East Kennedy Boulevard
Second Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602

Reported by:
Billy Allred

1 MS. HEINRICH: Our next application is Item D.1. PD
2 Rezoning 25-1061. The applicant is requesting to rezone
3 property from PD 05-1783 and RSC-2 MH to planned development.

4 Chris Grandlienard, with Development Services will
5 provide staff findings after the applicant presentation.

6 HEARING MASTER: Good evening.

7 MR. PRESSMAN: Good evening, Hearing Officer,
8 staff. Todd Pressman, 200 Second Avenue South, Number 451 in
9 St. Petersburg. This is PD 25-1061, 15.6 acres in the
10 Gibsonton SouthShore area. DSD supports, Planning Commission
11 supports, no agency objections, compatible with the community
12 plan per the Planning Commission findings.

13 Located on map, as shown here, Hillsborough County.
14 Located on Symmes Road at a significant TECO easement, as you
15 can see, running on the mapping here. Composed of two
16 parcels. You can see the TECO easement and Symmes Road.
17 Current -- excuse me. Current approved PD is 36
18 single-family homes. What's at issue is a 74-space upscale
19 luxury RV resort lodging, use, and amenities. Would have
20 high amenity uses, clubhouse, pool, pickleball, full exercise
21 facility, social activities, dog park, business center,
22 billiards, library, and other uses.

23 Just to give you a rough idea how the RV parks work
24 and how they look, there's a few photos, a few pictures,
25 which would be analogous to what's proposed here.

1 One element that stands out is the TECO easement,
2 which contains a large area, as you can see here. The
3 project site is in the two parcels 1 and 2, shown here.
4 There'll be no development in the TECO easement. Towards the
5 front on Symmes Road is a U-Haul facility. Just to give you
6 the idea of the intensity of the TECO site, this is the TECO
7 site looking from Symmes Road. So it's a major component of
8 not the project, but in terms of what's involved with the
9 project and what's driving the project.

10 So this is the PD plan showing the TECO easement,
11 three areas of RVs with the amenities shown as well. 25
12 foot, 5 foot type A setback, along with a 6-foot fence. And
13 amenity area buffering would include a 5-foot type A buffer
14 as well.

15 The County transportation report notes a reduction
16 of trips of 508 trips per day, 28 trip reduction in the a.m.,
17 33 trip reduction in the p.m. with this project.

18 The zoning you'll see is mostly residential
19 surrounding, RSC-2, RSC-6, and AR. PD to the south. Future
20 land use category is R-6, which by the way would allow a
21 maximum density of 187 units.

22 You look in the vicinity uses to the north, it's
23 vacant and single-family. To the south it's commercial, and
24 TECO easement, and single-family. To the east is
25 single-family, and to the west is TECO.

1 Planning Commission notes the area is predominantly
2 characterized by single-family. Area transitions to
3 commercial uses on the south. RV resort is residential in
4 nature, and shares similar characteristics with surrounding
5 mobile home uses and is compatible and complementary.

6 Planning Commission notes this site, at 15.6 acres,
7 would typically allow up to 93 dwelling units. The proposed
8 74 RV spaces remain well below maximum potential. And they
9 note it is consistent, would improve the neighborhoods. It
10 would introduce a broader range of housing, which is a major
11 direction of the comprehensive plan.

12 DSD notes that it is consistent with the existing
13 zoning development pattern in the area, similar in scale and
14 intensity to surrounding single-story residential and
15 low-intensity uses. RV units, being single story in nature,
16 are consistent with the height and scale of the nearby homes.
17 We did notice 39 persons several times. So we had a total of
18 156 notices, including two HOAs. And we did do a community
19 meeting out at the community, where we had a fair amount of
20 residents come by, which was probably a few months ago.

21 So with that, we're happy to answer any questions
22 or concerns you might have.

23 HEARING MASTER: I just want to verify, for the
24 record, that access point through the TECO easement appears
25 to be your only opportunity for access, based on the property

1 configuration. But I just want to make sure, on the record,
2 that that is permissible.

3 MR. PRESSMAN: We'll have Jeremy come up and answer
4 the question. Thank you.

5 HEARING MASTER: Perfect. Thank you.

6 MR. COUCH: Good evening, Hearing Officer Finch.
7 Jeremy Couch with Tampa Civil, 17937 Hunting Bow Circle.

8 Yes, ma'am. That is our only access, is through
9 the easement.

10 HEARING MASTER: All right. And that is
11 permissible?

12 MR. COUCH: Yes. There was a subdivision that was
13 previously fully entitled, permitted for construction. We
14 did have a full approval from TECO for that access. After
15 the zoning, when we redesigned for the RV park, we'll go back
16 and update that access with the new design.

17 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you.

18 MR. COUCH: Thank you.

19 HEARING MASTER: All right. Then with that, we
20 will go to Development Services. Good evening.

21 MR. GRANDLIENARD: Good evening. Chris
22 Grandlienard, planner with Development Services, here to
23 present PD 25-1061, a request to rezone approximately 15.6
24 acres along Symmes Road from the existing PD and RSC-2
25 districts to a new planned development, allowing a 74 space

1 RV resort with associated amenities.

2 The site currently is approved for 36 single-family
3 lots. The applicant is requesting a rezoning to change the
4 existing entitlements and replace the current residential
5 approval with a 74-space RV resort use. The existing onsite
6 wetland area is shown to remain undisturbed as part of the
7 submitted plan. The surrounding area includes single-family
8 residential zoning to the north and the east, TECO easement
9 to the west, and a combination of commercial and residential
10 uses to the south, along Symmes Road.

11 The plan includes 25-foot building setbacks along
12 the north and east boundaries, along with a 5-foot type A
13 buffer. A TECO easement along the west property line
14 provides additional separation. No impacts are proposed on
15 the onsite wetlands.

16 Staff has determined the rezoning request to be
17 compatible, and found it approvable, subject to the
18 conditions in the staff report. That concludes my report.
19 I'll be glad to answer any questions you might have.

20 HEARING MASTER: Okay. Thank you.

21 MR. GRANDLIENARD: Thank you.

22 HEARING MASTER: Thank you.

23 Planning Commission?

24 MS. LINEHAN: Lilyann Linehan, Planning Commission.

25 The subject site is located in the Residential-6

1 future land use category. It is in the urban service area
2 and within the limits of the Gibsonton Community Plan and
3 SouthShore Area-Wide Systems Plan. The proposed rezoning is
4 for planned development for 74 spaces of recreational vehicle
5 resort.

6 The existing development pattern surrounding the
7 subject site is predominantly characterized by single-family
8 mobile home uses, which are located to the north, east, and
9 west, including areas adjacent to the public communication
10 center. The proposed RV resort is residential in nature, and
11 shares similar characteristics with the surrounding mobile
12 home uses, particularly in terms of scale, intensity, and
13 occupancy patterns. To further ensure compatibility and
14 minimize potential impacts to adjacent residential
15 properties, the site design incorporates buffering between
16 the amenity area and the surrounding residential uses.
17 Specifically, a 5-foot type A buffer is provided along the
18 south and west property boundaries, and a 20-foot type D
19 buffer is provided along north and eastern property
20 boundaries. These buffering measures enhance visual
21 screening and provide separation, thereby reinforcing
22 compatibility with the established development pattern.

23 The proposed planned development is consistent with
24 goal 2 of the Gibsonton Community Plan, which seeks to
25 improve and enhance neighborhoods through revitalization and

1 the introduction of a broader range of housing options. The
2 development of an RV resort contributes to the
3 diversification of housing types, by providing a form of
4 residential use that can accommodate both short-term and
5 longer-term occupants, thereby supporting the plan's
6 objective of incorporating new rental and alternative housing
7 opportunities.

8 Based on the above considerations, Planning
9 Commission staff finds the proposed planned development
10 consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the
11 Unincorporated Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan.

12 HEARING MASTER: Thank you so much. Is there
13 anyone in the room or online that would like to speak in
14 support? Anyone in favor? Seeing no one.

15 Anyone in opposition to this request? No one.
16 Then, Ms. Heinrich, anything else?

17 MS. HEINRICH: No, ma'am.

18 HEARING MASTER: Mr. Pressman, anything further?

19 MR. PRESSMAN: No, but thank you.

20 HEARING MASTER: All right. Thank you. Then with
21 that, we'll close rezoning 25-1061.

22 Let's go to the next application.
23
24
25



**EXHIBITS SUBMITTED
DURING THE ZHM HEARING**

SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM, PHM, LUHO

PAGE 1 OF 4

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan Finch

PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

VS APPLICATION # RZ 26-0310	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>David Wright</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>8956 Westerland Drive</u> CITY <u>Land O'Lakes</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>34637</u> PHONE _____
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0541	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>RUAN MANASSE</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>400 N. ASHLEY DR STE 3103</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-225-2500</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0541	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>SUSAN SWIFT</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>3021 S. Hesperides</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE _____ ZIP <u>33629</u> PHONE <u>703-914-1792</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0609	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Alex Schaber</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>400 W. Ashley Dr. Suite 100</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-220-9100</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 25-1061	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Todd Ferguson</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 7th Ave S. Ste 200</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>707-900-1200</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 25-1061	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Jeremy Cal</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>17931 Dunbar Blvd</u> CITY <u>Lutz</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33508</u> PHONE <u>813 920 2500</u>

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan Finch

PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>25-1415</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Todd Fressling</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 204 Ave S #49</u> CITY <u>St Pete</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33711</u> PHONE <u>727-251-1700</u>
APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0215</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Isabelle Albert</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>1000 W. Ashley Dr. 900</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-331-0976</u>
APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0215</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Samuel Berkman</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>20478 Needletree Drive</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>33647</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0328</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Key Morgan Rock Solid</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>3501 BESSIE COLEMAN Blvd</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33622</u> PHONE <u>813-200-8825</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0349</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Kami Corbett</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>101 E Kennedy Blvd Ste 3700</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-227-8421</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0431</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Anne Pollack</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 Central Ave Ste 1600</u> CITY <u>St Pete</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33701</u> PHONE <u>727-820-3989</u>

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan FinchPLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0432</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Justyna Gale</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>514 Howell</u> CITY <u>Dunedin</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>34698</u> PHONE <u>352-514-6108</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Vinette Cefelia</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>401 E Jackson Street</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>850-222-5070</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Shelley Thonon</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>15820 Circa Crossing Dr.</u> CITY <u>Lithia</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>813-334-6804</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>SANTINO PAVENZANO</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>13830 Circa Crossing Dr</u> CITY <u>LITHIA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>813-761-1185</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Tina Erblat</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>8404 Deepwater Creek Lane</u> CITY <u>Panama City Beach</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>32413</u> PHONE <u>904-797-0288</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>MARLISE TALBERT-JONES</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>7401 THOMAS WAY</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33619</u> PHONE <u>813-833-7405</u>

SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM PHM, LUHO

PAGE 4 OF 4

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm

HEARING MASTER: Susan Finch

PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Christopher Oaks</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>13580 Circa Crossing Drive</u> CITY <u>Lithia</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33547</u> PHONE _____
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Teresa Corden</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>1018 Estabwood Dr</u> CITY <u>Brandon</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33510</u> PHONE <u>(813) 468-2887</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Rex Huggins</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>838 S. Parker Dr.</u> CITY <u>Florence</u> STATE <u>SC</u> ZIP <u>29509</u> PHONE <u>843 621 2193</u>
APPLICATION # MM 26-0441	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>MICHAEL YATES</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>PAUL TRAFFIC, 4006 S. MacDILL Ave</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33611</u> PHONE <u>813 205 8057</u>
APPLICATION # MM 26-0441	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Kami Corbett</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>101 E Kennedy Blvd Ste 3700</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813 227 8421</u>
APPLICATION #	PLEASE PRINT NAME _____ MAILING ADDRESS _____ CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____ PHONE _____

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan FinchPLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

VS APPLICATION # RZ 26-0310	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>David Wright</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>8956 W. Tremont Drive</u> CITY <u>Land O'Lakes</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>34637</u> PHONE _____
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0541	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>RUAN MANASSE</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>400 N. ASHLEY DR. STE 3103</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-225-2500</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0541	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>SUSAN SWIFT</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>3621 S. Hesperides St</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE _____ ZIP <u>33629</u> PHONE <u>703-914-6792</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0609	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Alex Schaler</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>400 N. Ashley Dr. Suite 100</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-220-9100</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 25-1061	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Todd Freymeyer</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 7th Ave S. Ste 505</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>707-894-1260</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 25-1061	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Jeremy Cal</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>17931 Hunter Bar</u> CITY <u>Lutz</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33538</u> PHONE <u>813-920-2223</u>

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan Finch

PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>25-1415</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Todd Fressling</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 204 Ave S #49</u> CITY <u>St Pete</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33711</u> PHONE <u>727-251-1700</u>
APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0215</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Isabelle Albert</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>1000 W. Ashley Dr. 900</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-331-0976</u>
APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0215</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Samuel Berkman</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>20478 Needletree Drive</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>33647</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0328</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Key Morgan Rock Solid</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>3501 BESSIE COLEMAN Blvd</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33622</u> PHONE <u>813-200-8825</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0349</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Kami Corbett</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>101 E Kennedy Blvd Ste 3700</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813-227-8421</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0431</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Anne Pollack</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>200 Central Ave Ste 1600</u> CITY <u>St Pete</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33701</u> PHONE <u>727-820-3989</u>

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm HEARING MASTER: Susan FinchPLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING

APPLICATION # <u>MM</u> <u>26-0432</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Justyna Gale</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>514 Howell</u> CITY <u>Dunedin</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>34698</u> PHONE <u>352-514-6108</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Vinette Cefelia</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>401 E Jackson Street</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>850-222-5070</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Shelley Thonon</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>15820 Circa Crossing Dr.</u> CITY <u>Lithia</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>813-334-6804</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>SANTINO PAVENZANO</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>13830 Circa Crossing Dr</u> CITY <u>LITHIA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33647</u> PHONE <u>813-761-1185</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Tina Erblat</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>8404 Deepwater Creek Lane</u> CITY <u>Panama City Beach</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>32413</u> PHONE <u>904-797-0288</u>
APPLICATION # <u>RZ</u> <u>26-0436</u>	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>MARLISE TALBERT-JONES</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>7401 THOMAS WAY</u> CITY <u>Tampa</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33619</u> PHONE <u>813-833-7405</u>

SIGN-IN SHEET: RFR, ZHM PHM, LUHO

PAGE 4 OF 4

DATE/TIME: 4-27-26 6pm


HEARING MASTER: Susan Finch

PLEASE **PRINT CLEARLY**, THIS INFORMATION WILL BE USED FOR MAILING


APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Christopher Oaks</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>13580 Circa Crossing Drive</u> CITY <u>Lithia</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33547</u> PHONE _____
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Teresa Corden</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>1018 Estabwood Dr</u> CITY <u>Brandon</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33510</u> PHONE <u>(813) 468-2887</u>
APPLICATION # RZ 26-0436	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Rex Huggins</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>838 S. Parker Dr.</u> CITY <u>Florence</u> STATE <u>SC</u> ZIP <u>29509</u> PHONE <u>843 621 2193</u>
APPLICATION # MM 26-0441	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>MICHAEL YATES</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>PAUL TRAFFIC, 4006 S. MacDILL Ave</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33611</u> PHONE <u>813 205 8057</u>
APPLICATION # MM 26-0441	PLEASE PRINT NAME <u>Kami Corbett</u> MAILING ADDRESS <u>101 E Kennedy Blvd Ste 3700</u> CITY <u>TAMPA</u> STATE <u>FL</u> ZIP <u>33602</u> PHONE <u>813 227 8421</u>
APPLICATION #	PLEASE PRINT NAME _____ MAILING ADDRESS _____ CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____ PHONE _____

APRIL 27, 2026 - ZONING HEARING MASTER


The Zoning Hearing Master (ZHM), Hillsborough County, Florida, met in Regular Meeting, scheduled for Monday, April 27, 2026, at 6:00 p.m., in the Boardroom, Frederick B. Karl County Center, Tampa, Florida, and held virtually.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and led in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

A. WITHDRAWALS AND CONTINUANCES

 Michelle Heinrich, Development Services (DS), introduced staff and reviewed the changes/withdrawals/continuances.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, overview of ZHM process.

 Senior Assistant County Attorney Mary Dorman, overview of evidence/ZHM/BOCC Land Use agenda process.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, Oath.

B. REMANDS - **None**.

C. REZONING STANDARD (RZ-STD):

C.1. RZ 26-0310

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0310.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0310.

C.2. RZ 26-0541

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0541.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0541.

C.3. RZ 26-0609

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0609.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0609.


MONDAY, APRIL 27, 2026

D. REZONING-PLANNED DEVELOPMENT (RZ-PD) & MAJOR MODIFICATION (MM) :

D.1. RZ 25-1061

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 25-1061.


 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 25-1061.

D.2. MM 25-1415

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called MM 25-1415.


 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed MM 25-1415.

D.3. MM 26-0215

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called MM 26-0215.


 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed MM 26-0215.

D.4. RZ 26-0328

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0328.


 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0328.

D.5. RZ 26-0349

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0349.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0349.

MONDAY, APRIL 27, 2026

D.6. RZ 26-0431

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0431.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0431.

D.7. MM 26-0432

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called MM 26-0432.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed MM 26-0432.

D.8. RZ 26-0436

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called RZ 26-0436.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed RZ 26-0436.

D.9. MM 26-0441

 Michelle Heinrich, DS, called MM 26-0441.

 Testimony provided.

 Susan Finch, ZHM, closed MM 26-0441.

E. ZHM SPECIAL USE - **None**.

ADJOURNMENT

 Susan Finch, ZHM, adjourned the meeting at 8:55 p.m.

PD 25-1061

15.6 acres MOL

Gibsonton, Southshore area


Application No. RZ 25-1061
Name: Todd Pressman
Entered at Public Hearing: ZHM
Exhibit # 1
Date: 4/27/2026


DSD supports


Plan Comm. Supports

No agency objections

**Compatible with Community Plan (Plan
Comm.)**







TECO EASEMENT

SYMME'S RD

I-75




15.6 acres

2 parcels


Current Approved PD


36 single family



ISSUE: 74 space upscale, luxury RV Resort lodging use & amenities.

High amenity uses: clubhouse, pool, pickle ball, full exercise facility, social activities, dog park, business center, billiards, library, etc.














TECO Easement




TECO, on the EAST



25' Setback
 5' Type "A"
 6' Fence



Amenity Area Buffering




Project Trip Generation Not applicable for this request

	Average Annual Daily Trips	A.M. Peak Hour Trips	P.M. Peak Hour Trips
Existing	584	33	40
Proposed	76	5	7
Difference (+/-)	-508	-28	-33

*Trips reported are based on gross external trips unless otherwise noted.


ZONING




FLU Categories

R-6

(max. density
would allow 187
units)





Vicinity uses:

- NORTH, vacant and SFR
- SOUTH, Comm & TECO Easement & SFR
 - EAST, SFR
 - WEST, TECO

Plan Commission: “The existing development pattern surrounding the subject site is **predominantly characterized by single-family mobile home** uses, which are located to the north, east, and west, including areas adjacent to the public communication center. To the **south, the area transitions to commercial uses,** including a U-Haul storage facility and a vehicle repair shop. The proposed **RV resort is residential in nature and shares similar characteristics with the surrounding mobile home uses,** particularly in terms of scale, intensity, and occupancy patterns... the introduction of an RV park, also residential in character, represents a **compatible and complementary land use.**

Plan Comm.: “Based on the 15.6-acre site, **this would typically allow up to 93 dwelling units.** However, under Planned Development standards and depending on the specific classification of RV spaces, higher equivalent densities may be evaluated. Even under a more intensive calculation (15.6 acres x 12 units per acre = 187.2 units), **the proposed 74 RV spaces remain well below the maximum potential density.”**

Plan Comm, “consistent...& seeks to improve and enhance neighborhoods through revitalization and the introduction of a broader range of housing options. The development of a 74-space RV resort contributes to the diversification of housing types by providing a form of residential use that can accommodate both short-term and longer-term occupants, thereby supporting the **Plan’s objective of incorporating new rental and alternative housing opportunities...supports the vision and goals of the Gibsonton Community Plan.**


DSD: “Therefore, the rezoning of the subject property from PD 05-1783 and RSC-2-MH to PD 25-1061 to allow a 74-space RV resort with associated amenities is **consistent with the existing zoning and development pattern in the area.**

Similar in scale and intensity to the surrounding single-story residential and low-intensity uses. RV units, being single-story in nature, are consistent with the height and scale of nearby homes”.

39 persons noticed, X 4 = 156 total. 2 HOA's.

<u>Folio 0506710000</u> CHINTHE INVESTMENTS INC 1910 E PALM AVE UNIT 10 305 TAMPA, FL 33605	<u>Folio 0506710010</u> TIMOTHY WADE THOMAS AND YUJEL RUST BEAZLEY 7810 SYMMES RD GIBSONTON, FL 33534-5322	<u>Folio 0506710025</u> DEBORAH J AND BOYD MULLINS JR PO BOX 61 GIBSONTON, FL 33534-0061
<u>Folio 0506710050</u> BOYD JR AND DEBORAH JEAN MULLINS PO BOX 61 GIBSONTON, FL 33534-0061	<u>Folio 0506710100</u> JODY K GAINER PO BOX 157 GIBSONTON, FL 33534-0157	<u>Folio 0506730000</u> TAMPA ELECTRIC CO TECO ENERGY CORP TAX DEPT PO BOX 111 TAMPA, FL 33601-0111









**PARTY OF
RECORD**

Rivas, Keshia

From: Rodney Santamaria <rodneysellsflorida@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 11:51 AM
To: Hearings
Subject: FORMAL OBJECTION: Rezoning Application RZ-PD 25-1061 - Proposed RV Park on 7814 Symmes Road and 2 adjacent parcels - Affected Property Owner

External email: Use caution when clicking on links, opening attachments or replying to this email.

Zoning Hearing Master and Hillsborough County Development Services Staff,

I am writing to formally and strongly object to Rezoning Application RZ-PD 25-1061, submitted by Todd Pressman, which proposes to change the zoning to Planned Development (PD) for the purpose of constructing a high-end RV park on Symmes Road.

I am the property owner and resident of 8026 Carriage Pointe Dr, Gibsonton, FL 33534, directly across the street from the subject site. The proposed development is a high-impact, commercial, transient use that will have severe, detrimental, and irreversible impacts on my property, the surrounding neighborhood, and public safety. I request that this formal objection be included in the official public record for the hearing on December 15, 2025.

1. Traffic, Congestion, and Conflict with County Improvement Projects

The introduction of high-volume RV traffic will create a significant safety hazard and directly contradict the County's efforts to improve traffic flow in this area:

Conflict with Planned Infrastructure: The proposed traffic directly undermines the County's investment in the Symmes Road and East Bay Road Intersection Improvements Project (Project Number: 69600314). The frequent maneuvering of slow-moving, oversized RVs will negate the intended safety and congestion-reduction benefits of the planned roundabout installation.

Safety Hazard: Oversized vehicles entering and exiting the site on Symmes Road will create severe sight distance issues and safety risks for local drivers, especially near existing residential driveways.

2. Noise, Light, and Aesthetic Pollution

The proposed RV park is fundamentally incompatible with the existing residential/rural character of the Symmes Road corridor.

Direct Impact on Adjacent Property: My property, located directly across the street, will be exposed to round-the-clock noise pollution from RV engines, generators, air conditioning units, and high-volume tourist activity.

Intense Light Spillage: The required security and wayfinding lighting for a commercial park will cause significant light pollution and glare directly impacting my residential home, permanently diminishing my

quality of life.

3. Diminution of Property Value

As a real estate agent myself, I can see that the clustering of non-residential, high-intensity use across the street will generate negative externalities (noise, traffic, pollution) that will directly and permanently devalue my property and the properties of my neighbors who purchased homes under the expectation of compatible zoning.

4. Strain on Essential Public Services

This high-density commercial resort will place an undue burden on local infrastructure, including increased strain on waste collection, sewage treatment capacity, and emergency service access due to increased traffic congestion.

Conclusion

I strongly urge the Zoning Hearing Master to DENY Application RZ-PD 25-1061. This development is ill-suited for this location and represents a failure of responsible planning given the existing residential community and the County's own infrastructure projects in this specific area.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my formal objection.

Sincerely,

Rodney D. Santamaria

REALTOR® Lic# SL3462128

FLORIDA REALTY INVESTMENTS

TAMPA OFFICE-SOUTH

324 N. DALE MABRY HWY., SUITE 303

TAMPA, FL 33609

M: 786-614-7838


O: 407-207-2220

F: 407-207-2101

Toll-free: 800-677-5513

E: rodneysellsflorida@gmail.com

w: <https://flarealtyinvestments.com/>



"The best compliment you could give me is a referral"

Confidentiality Disclosure: This message contains confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged. If you are not the addressee, or the person responsible for delivering it to the addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and delete the original message immediately thereafter.